

CONFIDENTIAL

ARCHIVES

1406

NO 14

FURTHER CORRESPONDENCE

RESPECTING

EASTERN AFFAIRS

PART 23

JULY-DEC 1938

CLOSED
UNTIL

1979

62

CONFIDENTIAL

(13548)

FURTHER CORRESPONDENCE

RESPECTING

EASTERN AFFAIRS

PART XXIII

JULY TO DECEMBER 1928

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

No. and Name.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page.
Chapter I.—ARABIA.			
1 Agent, Jeddah No. 61. Tel.	1928 July 1	Iraq-Nejd relations. Hejaz Government protest against statement by Nouri Pasha that money already voted would probably soon be needed for defence against Nejd. Dr. Damluji informed that statement was not intended to be provocative	1
2 Mr. Stonchewer-Bird (Jeddah) No. 87	June 7	Situation in the Hejaz. Transmits report for May 1928	1
3 Senior Naval Officer, Red Sea Sloops (Telegraphic)	...	Situation in the Yemen. Reports Zeidi counter-attack on Sulaik	4
4 Italian Ambassador (Communicated)	July 6	Situation in the Yemen. Informa of message received by Italian Governor of Eritrea from Imam Yahia regarding British policy in the Yemen	4
5 Sir G. Clayton to Colonial Office	" 10	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Iraq-Nejd). Submits report on his first mission to the Hejaz	5
6 Mr. Stonchewer-Bird No. 84. Tel.	" 11	Iraq-Nejd relations. Refers to No. 1. Instructs Mr. Bird to inform Hejaz Government of true nature of Nuri Pasha's statement	13
7 Mr. Stonchewer-Bird No. 83	June 10	Hejaz situation, &c. Transmits second list of local notables	13
8 Mr. Stonchewer-Bird No. 94	" 16	Egyptian relations with Hejaz. Two missions from Egypt have visited the Hejaz. Mr. Philby has been sent to Egypt by the King	13
9 To Italian Ambassador	...	Situation in the Yemen. Refers to No. 4. Justifies policy of His Majesty's Government to Imam, and reiterates desire of His Majesty's Government for a settlement of outstanding differences	15
10 Mr. Stonchewer-Bird No. 101	June 26	Situation in the Yemen. Departure of Yemeni Mission from Jeddah. Coldness displayed by Hejazis to the mission	16
11 Mr. Stonchewer-Bird No. 106	July 1	Situation in the Hejaz. Submits report for June 1928	16
12 Mr. Stonchewer-Bird No. 107	" 4	Iraq-Nejd relations. Refers to No. 1. Transmits translation of letter from Hejazi Minister for Foreign Affairs regarding speech of Nouri Pasha in Iraq Parliament. Records interview with Dr. Damluji and Yusuf Yarsiz	19
13 Colonial Office	" 25	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Iraq-Nejd). Transmits copy of instructions from Colonial Office to Sir G. Clayton on the subject of the resumed negotiations with Ibn Saud	20
14 Colonial Office (Secret)	" 30	Relations of Ibn Saud with the Italian Government and with the Imam of the Yemen. Transmits report of conversation with Ibn Saud by Sir G. Clayton	24
15 Mr. Stonchewer-Bird No. 36. Tel.	Aug. 1	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Iraq-Nejd). Sir P. Cox denies that Uqair Protocol contained any additional understanding. That the words in the agreement "in the vicinity of" should be taken as extending to the distance claimed by Ibn Saud	26

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

No. and Name.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page.
16 British Agent, Jeddah No. 68. Tel.	1928 Aug. 7	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Iraq-Nejd). Message from Sir G. Clayton. Ibn Saud refuses to recede from his interpretation of Uqair Protocol. Has informed Ibn Saud he will return to London and report. Leaves Port Sudan 9th August	27
17 British Agent, Jeddah No. 70. Tel.	" 9	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Iraq-Nejd). Message from Sir G. Clayton. Though refusing to give way, Ibn Saud has been most conciliatory and friendly. Emphasises necessity for observing strictly existing agreements in almost certain eventuality of further raids and effervescence in the autumn. Moderate communiqué has been agreed	27
18 British Agent, Jeddah No. 72. Tel.	" 10	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Iraq). Has received protest from Minister for Foreign Affairs against meeting of Hejazis in Iraq	29
19 British Agent, Jeddah No. 73. Tel.	" 10	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Iraq-Nejd). Communiqué referred to in No. 17 has been published	28
20 Mr. Stonchewer-Bird No. 119	July 29	Hejaz affairs. Transmits copy of decree governing composition and functions of Legislative Council	28
21 British Agent, Jeddah No. 77. Tel.	Aug. 19	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Iraq). Refers to protest in No. 18. Before replying, enquires whether His Majesty's Government agree with Ilagdad that Iraq is not British territory for purpose of Treaty of Jeddah	30
22 British Agent, Jeddah No. 78. Tel.	" 19	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Iraq-Nejd). Has received protest against reported reinforcement of Iraq frontier, and against statement of Iraqi Prime Minister in Parliament	30
23 Mr. Stonchewer-Bird No. 121	" 3	Situation in the Hejaz. Transmits report for July 1928	31
24 Mr. Stonchewer-Bird No. 124. Conf.	" 10	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Iraq-Nejd). Transmits copy of communiqué referred to in No. 19	33
25 To Mr. Stonchewer-Bird No. 50. Tel.	Sept. 4	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Iraq-Nejd). Refers to No. 21. Instructs to inform Ibn Saud while Treaty of Jeddah is not applicable to Iraq. His Majesty's Government will urge Iraq Government to act in conformity with article 2	34
26 Mr. Stonchewer-Bird No. 81. Tel.	" 5	Situation in the Hejaz. Ibn Saud left for Riyadh on 4th September	35
27 To Mr. Stonchewer-Bird No. 101	" 6	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Iraq-Nejd). Transmits copy of letter from Sir G. Clayton to Ibn Saud, informing him of his arrival in London and of delivery of Ibn Saud's letter to His Majesty the King	35
28 Colonial Office	" 7	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Iraq-Nejd). Transmits copy of report by Sir G. Clayton on his mission	36
29 Colonial Office	" 19	Situation in the Yemen. Transmits copy of despatch from the Resident at Aden containing an account of the general impressions of Mr. J. Loder Park, American vice-consul at Aden, of his visit to Sanaa	40
30 To M. Bordonaro (Italian Ambassador)	" 22	Pilgrimage. Gives reasons for opinion of His Majesty's Government that no useful purpose would be served by setting up Sanitary Co-ordination Commission contemplated by Treaty of Lausanne	41

No. and Name.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page.
81 Mr. Stonchewer-Bird (Jeddah) to High Commissioner, Bagdad No. 88. Tel.	1928 Sept. 27	Iraq-Nejd relations. Refers to alleged interview given by High Commissioner to "Palestine Bulletin," con- taining statements regarding Ibn Saud. Acting Minister for Foreign Affairs desires to know what actually occurred	42
82 Mr. Stonchewer-Bird No. 141	Aug. 31	Situation in the Hejaz. Transmits report for August 1928	42
83 Mr. Stonchewer-Bird No. 152	Sept. 12	Pilgrimage. Transmits report on pilgrimage of 1928... ..	46
84 Mr. Stonchewer-Bird No. 89. Tel.	Oct. 10	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Transjordan). Reports complaints received from Ibn Saud against raiding from Transjordan and cross- ing of frontier by aeroplanes	70
85 Mr. Stonchewer-Bird No. 154	Sept. 24	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Iraq-Nejd). Reports interview with Acting Minister for Foreign Affairs regarding question of troop move- ments in Iraq	71
86 Mr. Stonchewer-Bird No. 158	" 30	Situation in the Hejaz. Transmits report for September 1928	72
87 To Mr. Jakins ... (Jeddah) No. 85. Tel.	Oct. 25	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Transjordan). Refers to No. 84. Instructs as to lines of reply to Hejaz Government	76
88 To Mr. Jakins No. 215	Nov. 1	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Iraq-Nejd). Instructs to communicate note to Ibn Saud concerning recent abortive mission of Sir G. Clayton. Affirms His Majesty's Government's reasons for maintaining their interpretation of Uqair Protocol. Proposes arbitration... ..	75
89 Mr. Jakins ... No. 167	Oct. 20	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Transjordan). Refers to No. 84, and transmits trans- lation of letter from Ibn Saud. Records conversation with Acting Minister for Foreign Affairs when pre- sents note	81
90 Mr. Jakins ... No. 98. Tel.	Nov. 13	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Transjordan). Has received protest against raids from Transjordan on 24th and 26th September	82
91 To Mr. Jakins ... No. 57. Tel.	" 21	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Transjordan). British aeroplanes may have crossed frontier by accident on 31st August. Instructs to inform Hejaz Government	83
92 Mr. Jakins ... No. 174	" 1	Situation in the Hejaz. Transmits report for October 1928	83
93 To Sir H. Humbold ... (Berlin) No. 1548	" 26	German relations with Arabia. German Government has enquired of His Majesty's Government with regard to according German recognition to Hejaz and Yemen	86
94 Mr. Jakins ... No. 100. Tel.	Dec. 13	Situation in Nejd. Reports regarding rumours of dis- turbances in Nejd from Egyptian press	86
95 Mr. Jakins ... No. 101. Tel.	" 15	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Iraq-Nejd). Refers to No. 88. Ibn Saud accepts in principle His Majesty's Government's offer of arbitra- tion	87
96 Mr. Jakins ... No. 102. Tel.	" 15	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Iraq-Nejd). Has been informed by Minister for Foreign Affairs that conference at Riyadh approved Ibn Saud's policy	87

No. and Name.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page.
47 Mr. Jakins ... No. 105. Tel.	1928 Dec. 19	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Iraq-Nejd). Refers to No. 45. Gives summary of letter from Ibn Saud accepting arbitration	87
48 Mr. Jakins ... No. 106. Tel.	" 20	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Transjordan). Refers to No. 37. Ibn Saud would like to consider question of aeroplanes tracks further if they are intended to demarcate Nejd-Transjordan frontier	88
49 To Herr Sthamer ... (German Ambassador)	" 20	German relations with Arabia. Informs of situation of His Majesty's Government vis-à-vis the Imam of the Yemen	88
50 To Mr. Jakins ... No. 61. Tel.	" 21	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Iraq-Nejd). Refers to No. 47. Enquires as to meaning of points in Ibn Saud's letter	88
51 Mr. Jakins ... No. 107. Tel.	" 22	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Iraq-Nejd). Refers to No. 46. Reports further regarding conference at Riyadh	89
52 Mr. Jakins ... No. 108. Tel.	" 23	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Transjordan). Refers to Nos. 84 and 87. Gives summary of Ibn Saud's reply to explanation sent him, with further allegations	89
53 Mr. Jakins ... No. 198	" 4	Situation in the Hejaz. Transmits report for November 1928	89
54 Mr. Jakins ... No. 113. Tel.	" 28	Relations of His Majesty's Government with Ibn Saud (Iraq-Nejd). Minister for Foreign Affairs has referred to reports in Egyptian press of impending tour of Iraq-Nejd frontier by King Faisal. Points out dangers of tour	92

Chapter II.—SYRIA.

55 Consul Hale ... (Damascus) No. 43. Conf.	1928 June 8	Syrian political situation. Reports on prospects for opening of Constituent Assembly	93
56 Consul Hale ... No. 46. Conf.	" 12	Syrian political situation. Reports on opening of Con- stituent Assembly	94
57 Consul Hale ... No. 48. Secret	" 14	Syrian political situation. Transmits copy of despatch to Bagdad, reporting interview of Lieutenant Grand, of Iraq Ministry of Defence, with certain Arab notables, when political situation in Damascus and candidatures for the throne were discussed	96
58 Consul Hale ... No. 50. Secret	" 21	Syrian political situation. Discusses rival prospects of monarchy and republic, and attitude of Nationalist party to the Government. Presence of Nuri Pasha and other Iraqis is causing anxiety to the French	97
59 Consul General Satow ... (Beirut) No. 62. Secret	" 23	Syrian political situation. Refers to No. 56. Reports discussion with member of the High Commission of presence of above Iraqis in Syria	98
60 Consul Hale ... No. 53. Secret	" 28	Syrian political situation. Reports interview of Nuri Pasha with M. Ponsot, and attitude of French Govern- ment towards dynasty question, and Hashemites in particular	99
61 Consul Hale ... No. 4. Tel.	Aug. 7	Syrian political situation. Reports prospect of serious crisis arising out of High Commissioner's over-hasty concessions to Nationalists	100
62 Consul Hale ... No. 8. Tel.	" 9	Syrian political situation. Reports meeting of Consti- tuent Assembly to discuss draft Constitution	100

No. and Name.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page.
63 Consul Monck-Mason (Aleppo) No. 61. Conf.	1924 July 31	Bozanti-Alep-Nisibine et Prolongements Railway. Reports completion of sector Derbessieh-Nisibin ...	100
64 Consul Hale ... No. 62. Conf.	" 27	Syrian political situation. Reports generally on situation and progress of Constitution ...	101
65 Consul Hale ... No. 64. Conf.	" 31	Syrian political situation. Reports draft Constitution now ready and gives main provisions. Draft will be submitted to Assembly on 2nd August ...	102
66 Consul Hale ... No. 67. Conf.	Aug. 2	Syrian political situation. Refers to Nos. 61 and 62. Reports opposition of French Government to Constitution and crisis arising therefrom ...	103
67 Acting Consul-General Ellison (Beirut) No. 65. Conf.	" 14	Lebanese political situation. Reports resignation of Lebanese Cabinet and crisis from which it arose ...	104
68 Consul Monck-Mason No. 68	" 22	Bozanti-Alep-Nisibine et Prolongements Railway. Refers to No. 63. Reports postponement of opening of Derbessieh-Nisibin sector ...	106
69 Consul Hale ... No. 63. Conf.	" 16	Syrian political situation. Reports adjournment of Constituent Assembly for three months by High Commissioner. Discusses consequences of this action ...	106
70 Sir W. Tyrrell ... (Paris) No. 1663	Oct. 2	Syrian political situation. Refers to No. 60. Reports interview with M. de Saint-Quentin and discussion of possible recall of M. Ponsot, which was discounted ...	107
71 Consul Monck-Mason No. 77	" 15	Bozanti-Alep-Nisibine et Prolongements Railway. Refers to No. 68 and reports opening of Derbessieh-Nisibin sector to goods traffic only ...	107
72 Consul Monck-Mason No. 98. Conf.	" 20	Syrian political situation. Reports appearance and removal by the authorities of violent Nationalist proclamation as a poster in Aleppo directed partly against Armenians ...	108
73 Consul Monck-Mason No. 94	" 30	Bozanti-Alep-Nisibine et Prolongements Railway. Reports anxiety of the railway company to co-operate with the Turks ...	109
74 Consul Monck-Mason No. 99	Nov. 3	Syrian internal situation. Reports recent increase of banditry ...	109
75 Consul Hale ... No. 80. Conf.	" 14	Syrian political situation. Refers to No. 72. Comments on French policy of planting Armenian colonies ...	110
76 Sir W. Tyrrell ... No. 2046	Dec. 3	French policy to Syria. Reports proposal by Socialist in Chamber that France should relinquish Syrian mandate ...	111
77 Consul Hale ... No. 92. Conf.	Nov. 24	Syrian political situation. Reports on situation following prorogation of Assembly. Brigandage, pacification of the Jebel Druze, and prospects for M. Ponsot's return ...	111
78 Consul-General Sabow No. 84. Conf.	" 23	Syrian political situation. Refers to No. 75. Discusses Armenian situation, and gives reason for disagreeing with Mr. Hale ...	112

Chapter III—IRAQ.

79 Mr. Chilton ... (Washington) No. 1641	1929 Aug. 18	Oil situation in Iraq. Reports constitution of Near East Development Corporation as American holding company in Turkish Petroleum Company ...	114
--	-----------------	---	-----

No. and Name.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page.
80 Sir R. Lindsay (Note)	1924 Sept. 11	Turco-Iraqi frontier. Reports conversation with Turkish Ambassador regarding frontier incident involving Assyrians ...	114
81 Ahmed Ferid Bey (Turkish Ambassador)	Oct. 6	Turco-Iraqi frontier. Protests against anti-Turkish activities of Assyrians in Iraq ...	115
82 To M. de Fleuriau (French Ambassador)	Nov. 28	Oil situation in Iraq. Replies to allegations of French Government that His Majesty's Government had intervened in order to oblige Turkish Petroleum Company to build Bagdad-Haifa Railway ...	117
83 To Ferid Bey (Turkish Ambassador)	Dec. 4	Turco-Iraqi frontier. Replies to No. 81 ...	117
84 To Sir W. Tyrrell ... No. 2728	" 5	Oil situation in Iraq. Refers to No. 82. Describes interview with M. de Fleuriau and discussion as to right of His Majesty's Government to intervene in Bagdad-Haifa Railway question ...	119
85 French Embassy (Memorandum)	" 14	Oil situation in Iraq. Recalls arguments used by M. de Fleuriau in No. 84 to demonstrate illegality under San Remo Convention of His Majesty's Government's attitude on Bagdad-Haifa Railway ...	119

Chapter IV—PALESTINE.

86 To President of the Council of the Ottoman Public Debt	1924 Aug. 16	Palestine Government's proposals for settlement of their liabilities under Ottoman Public Debt. Transmits memorandum regarding payments as revised by Palestine Government ...	122
87 Government of Palestine to Colonial Office (Confidential)	" 14	Hejaz Railway Conference. Transmits report by Acting General Manager, Railways, on the proceedings at Haifa ...	124

Chapter V—GENERAL.

88 Sir G. Clerk ... (Constantinople) No. 402	1928 Aug. 29	Perso-Iraqi relations. Reports conversation of Iraq Chargé d'Affaires with Tewfik Rushdi. Tewfik Rushdi had seen Taimourtache and offered Turkish mediation between Persia and Iraq. Turco-Syrian frontier and judicial question in Iraq were also discussed ...	126
89 To Sir W. Tyrrell ... No. 2049	Sept. 12	Syro-Iraq frontier. Transmits copy of note to French Ambassador. Instructs Sir W. Tyrrell to propose to French Government conference to discuss modification and delimitation of frontier. Discusses question ...	126
90 Sir G. Clerk ... No. 497	Nov. 20	Turco-Syrian frontier. Reports conversation with French Ambassador, who described points at issue ...	129
91 Mr. Edmonds ... (Angora) No. 524. Conf.	Dec. 4	Turco-Syrian and Syro-Iraq frontiers. Reports communication from Iraq Chargé d'Affaires, who said that in conversation Tewfik Rushdi had alluded to alleged Franco-British agreement whereby cessions by Syria to Turkey in "luck's break" would be offset by cessions by Iraq to Syria on the opposite side ...	141
92 Consul-General Sabow No. 88	" 6	Pilgrimage. Transmits return of Moslem pilgrims passing through Beirut for 1928 ...	142
93 Mr. Edmonds ... No. 541	" 18	Turco-Syrian frontier. Obstinance of Turkish Government and obstructive tactics on frontier ...	142
94 Consul Monck-Mason No. 110	" 15	Turco-Syrian Railway troubles. Reports substitution of Turkish for Syrian railway staff in Turkey, and proposed arrangements for improving service on the line ...	143

SUBJECT INDEX.

[The figures refer to serial numbers of documents.]

Chapter I.—ARABIA.

EGYPT. RELATIONS WITH THE HEJAZ.—8.

GERMANY. RELATIONS WITH ARABIA.—43, 49.

HEJAZ SITUATION.—2, 7, 11, 20, 23, 26, 32, 33, 42, 53.

IRAQ-NEJD RELATIONS. RELATIONS OF HIS MAJESTY'S GOVERNMENT WITH IBN SAUD CONCERNING.—1, 5, 6, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 22, 24, 25, 27, 29, 31, 35, 38, 45, 46, 47, 50, 51, 54.

ITALY. RELATIONS WITH THE HEJAZ.—14.

NEJD INTERNAL SITUATION.—44.

PILGRIMAGE.—30, 35.

TRANSJORDAN-NEJD RELATIONS. RELATIONS OF HIS MAJESTY'S GOVERNMENT WITH IBN SAUD CONCERNING.—34, 37, 39, 40, 41, 48, 53.

YEMEN SITUATION.—3, 4, 9, 10, 29.

YEMEN. RELATIONS WITH THE HEJAZ.—14.

Chapter II.—SYRIA.

BOZANTTI-ALEP-NISSIBINE BY PROLONGEMENTS RAILWAY.—63, 69, 71, 73.

FRENCH POLICY.—76.

LEBANESE POLITICAL SITUATION.—67.

SYRIAN POLITICAL SITUATION.—53, 56, 57, 58, 60, 61, 62, 64, 65, 66, 69, 70, 72, 75, 77, 78.

Chapter III.—IRAQ.

OIL SITUATION.—79, 82, 84, 85.

TURCO-IRAQ FRONTIER.—80, 81, 83.

Chapter IV.—GENERAL.

HEJAZ RAILWAY.—87.

OTTOMAN DEBT. PALESTINE SHARE.—86.

PERSO-IRAQ RELATIONS.—88.

PILGRIMAGE.—92.

SYRO-IRAQ FRONTIER.—89, 91.

TURCO-SYRIAN FRONTIER.—90, 91, 93.

TURCO-SYRIAN RAILWAYS.—84.

Printed for the use of the Foreign Office.

CONFIDENTIAL.

Further Correspondence respecting Eastern Affairs.

PART XXIII.

CHAPTER I.—ARABIA.

[E 3308/1/91]

No. 1.

Agent, Jeddah, to Sir Austen Chamberlain.—(Received July 2.)

(No. 61.)

(Telegraphic.)

Jeddah, July 1, 1928.

MINISTER for Foreign Affairs has written protesting strongly against language used by Noori Pasha, Iraq Minister for Defence, in a recent statement in Parliament regarding budget of his Ministry, in course of which he stated that money voted for defence of Iraq against Nejd attacks will probably be needed towards the end of the summer.

Hejaz Government regard this statement as evidence of unfriendly feeling of Iraq Government. They ask for an official denial that Noori Pasha expressed the views of Iraq Government or of His Majesty's Government, and state that if this is not forthcoming they will find it difficult, in face of strong feeling aroused, to be bound by temporary agreement concluded between the King and Sir G. Clayton.

I informed Minister for Foreign Affairs, who called on me this morning, that I had not seen the text of the speech. I gathered from newspaper comments that Noori Pasha's statement was not intended in any way to be provocative; it was not, moreover, a statement of Iraq policy but an attempt on Minister's part to justify to Parliament the increase in his budget. I found it impossible to believe he, Damluji, really thought that statement of an Iraq Minister could in these circumstances be taken as an expression of His Majesty's Government's view. Damluji himself appeared to take a reasonable view, but insisted that a statement such as Noori Pasha's could only have a deplorable effect, and pressed strongly for an assurance from His Majesty's Government and from Iraq that the statement does not express their real views.

(Repeated to Bagdad.)

[E 3332/484/91]

No. 2.

Mr. Stonchewer-Bird to Sir Austen Chamberlain.—(Received July 3.)

(No. 87.)

Sir,

Jeddah, June 7, 1928.

I HAVE the honour to transmit herewith my report on the situation in the Hejaz for the period the 1st to 31st May, 1928.

4827 [19083]

2. Copies of this report are being sent to Cairo, Jerusalem (2), Jerusalem for transmission to the Royal Air Force Officer Commanding in Palestine and Transjordan, Bagdad, Aden, Beirut, Damascus, Simla, Khartum through Port Sudan, Singapore, Lagos (2).

I have, &c.

F. H. W. STONEHEWER-BIRD.

Enclosure in No. 2.

Jeddah Report for Period May 1 to May 31, 1928.

SIR GILBERT CLAYTON'S mission arrived in Jeddah on the 2nd May, the anniversary of his arrival last year to negotiate the Jeddah treaty. H.M.S. "Dahlia," as in 1927, effected the transport of the mission from Port Sudan. The Foreign Secretary, his assistant and the Kaimakam greeted Sir Gilbert on board the sloop. A guard of honour was drawn up on the quay. All arrangements for the mission's comfort were admirably carried out.

2. It was not until the morning of the 2nd May that any definite news of Ibn Saud's movements were forthcoming. It was then learnt that he had reached Medina, would pass through Jeddah on his way to Mecca on the 5th, and return definitely to Jeddah on the 7th. It was agreed in these circumstances that H.M.S. "Dahlia" should await the King's state entry into the town, and should fire a Royal salute in his honour. This programme was adhered to; the King entered Jeddah on the morning of the 7th; the mission and the agency were received by His Majesty in the morning and the foreign consuls in the afternoon. A banquet, to which the officers of H.M.S. "Dahlia" were invited, was held that evening.

3. The King remained in Jeddah for fourteen days, and devoted his whole time to the mission. No definite agreement was reached, and it was decided to suspend the negotiations and to resume them in some two months' time. On the 22nd May an official communiqué was published simultaneously in Jeddah and in London, the terms of the Jeddah version of which were as follows:—

The following communiqué has been received from the Press Department:—

Negotiations between His Majesty the King and his advisers and the British mission, headed by General Sir Gilbert Clayton, at Jeddah, commenced on 18.11.1346 (the 8th May, 1928), to adjust outstanding questions between Nejd and Iraq and Nejd and Transjordan.

Progress was made in many of the questions under discussion. In view, however, of the approach of the pilgrim season, the negotiations were stopped, and the British mission thought it best to take advantage of this opportunity to return to London to report progress to the British Government, returning at the earliest suitable moment to resume negotiations.

Worthy of remark in this connexion is the spirit of sincerity and the true desire for an understanding which prevailed during the negotiations, and the earnest endeavours of both parties to solve the difficulties and to secure good relations between the three neighbouring countries.

4. Sir Gilbert Clayton, Mr. Antonius and Flight-Lieutenant Moore left Jeddah for Port Sudan in H.M.S. "Dahlia" on the 25th May. Mr. Cornwallis and Captain Glubb left for Egypt on the 22nd May.

5. As reported last month, the mission from the Imam was awaiting the King's arrival to commence conversations. Ibn Saud, however, seems to have found little or no time for the Yemenis. The Italian consul was granted an audience, in the course of which not only Italian recognition, but also Yemen relations with Nejd, were discussed. Little progress was made with regard to recognition. Ibn Saud maintaining the position that recognition must be unconditional, the Italian consul seeking for a formula whereby Italy may recognise Ibn Saud without offending the Imam. Speaking of his relations with the Yemen, the King stated that he desired to be on friendly terms with the Imam. He had not the intention imputed to him of annexing Hodeida, which he recognised as being indispensable to the Yemen; Medi, on the other hand, he did not regard as belonging to the Yemen. It is most improbable that the Imam's mission will accomplish anything or that it will even pave the way for a definite understanding, but the continual exchange of complimentary missions has perhaps served to prevent active hostilities.

6. Another question which has been engaging the King's attention since his return to the Hejaz is that of the concession granted to the Red Sea Petroleum Company in the Farsan Islands. Ibn Saud has received and studied the report of his delegate, Sherif Adnan, and also the letter embodying the considered views of the company. He appears to have concluded that the best solution is to set aside the present concession and draw up fresh terms which will not be open to misinterpretation. A letter in this sense has been addressed by Dr. Damluji to the company's headquarters in Cairo, suggesting that delegates be sent to discuss the terms with Ibn Saud in Jeddah.

7. The number of pilgrims from overseas fell short, as was feared, of 100,000; but, owing to a larger Egyptian pilgrimage than had been hoped for, numbers reached 90,000. The total was thus less than last year's (by some 40,000). How far this decrease in numbers is due to alarmist reports in the British and Iraq press it is difficult to say; but it may be stated confidently that the two categories of pilgrims who were chiefly responsible for the decrease were not affected by rumours of disturbances. The comparatively small size of the Javanese and Malay pilgrimage (roughly 20,000 less than last year) is recognised as being due to the state of the rubber market and the resultant lack of funds of prospective Hajis. Intending Indian pilgrims may have been deterred by alarmist reports from undertaking the Haj this year; their numbers show a decrease on last year's total of roughly 14,000.

8. The number of North African pilgrims was again small. This is as well, as more trouble has been caused by a handful of truculent Tunisians and Algerians than by the thousands of Javanese. The fault lies largely with the authorities in Tunis, who appear light-heartedly to have handed over some 700 of their pilgrims to a vague Italian whose commercial notepaper blazons to the world the proud fact that he is a company with a capital of 30,000 fr. This individual chartered the steamship "Maltana," a small cargo boat flying the British flag. In order to attract passengers he issued tickets at an absurdly low rate, carefully hiding from the pilgrims the information that canal dues and quarantine dues were not included. On arrival at Jeddah the pilgrims refused to pay, while the local authorities refused to allow the pilgrims to disembark until the charterer, who was on board, or the captain had handed over the amount of the dues. The charterer professed to have no money either in Jeddah or in Tunis. Eventually, after forty-eight hours' wrangling, during which time the charterer rightly went in fear of his life, the pilgrims were induced to pay, as therein lay their only hope of being allowed to land. As the "Maltana" sails under the British flag, this agency was dragged into the dispute.

9. It was a disappointment to hear early in the season that, in spite of the very strongly worded protests of all holders of this post against the gross mismanagement of the pilgrim traffic by Messrs. Nemazee's, this incompetent firm was still carrying on. The worst fears were justified. It was learnt that Nemazee was bankrupt, and that one of his ships was seized in India. Messrs. Turner Morrison, whose tickets and those of Nemazee's were issued as interchangeable, repudiated the arrangement from the 2nd May, and are endeavouring to make the effect of their repudiation retroactive. The ships appear to have passed out of Nemazee's control into that of Shirazi, a tea merchant. When this news was received one of the ships had been in port for some seven weeks, had few stores left, little coal and no money. Another, which had proceeded to Port Sudan to coal, had no money to pay and no credit, and was detained there. The third ship had insufficient coal with which to proceed to Bombay. The masters of the ships are now in communication with the new owners, and it is hoped that they will be in a position to embark pilgrims and proceed to India without undue delay after the Haj. If Nemazee's, or rather Shirazi's, arrangements do not further break down, all Indian pilgrims other than Shustari ticket holders should be evacuated by the middle of July. Shustari have again succeeded in bringing over 6,000 pilgrims, and have only two ships available for their transport. Three voyages will therefore be necessary, and it is foreseen that fines in respect of detention will again have to be levied.

10. The total number of Malays who performed the Haj this year was only 4,400, and no difficulty will be experienced in evacuating them.

11. Among distinguished pilgrims this season was Sheikh Omer-bin-Awad, the Sultan of Mokalla, who arrived in Jeddah on the 10th May. He was officially met by a representative of the Government and of this agency, and a guard of honour was provided for him on the quay. He has been entertained during his stay in the Hejaz as a Royal guest, all arrangements for his housing and transport being made

by the Government. He is accompanied by a photographer. He insisted, indeed, on being photographed in "Ihram" with members of the agency staff, a most unorthodox procedure.

12. The embroidered portion of the Kiswa prepared in India to complete the covering of the Kaaba woven in Mecca in substitution for the Egyptian Mahmal arrived in Jeddah on the 18th May on the steamship "Englestan." The party in charge of this "Indian Mahmal" consisted, among others, of Abdul Qader Quasuri and Daud Ghaznavi.

13. Arrangements for transporting pilgrims by car to Mecca and Medina have on the whole been satisfactory from the point of view of the pilgrims, though owners are less well satisfied. Competition has kept prices down, and only rarely has the full Government rate been charged. There have been signs of restiveness among the camel men on several occasions. A rumour was, indeed, current that Ibn Saud had been obliged to decide on a further limitation of the number of cars authorised to carry pilgrims in order to content the camel men. Another solution was, however, found, an addition of £1 being made to the charge for camel hire from Mecca to Arafat.

14. Two Soviet pilgrim ships arrived in Jeddah during the month. On the later arrival was Naum Belkin, on his way, it is understood, to Shiraz, where he is proceeding on a commercial mission. On the first ship was one Husseinoff, charged with the duty of putting into order the affairs of the so-called Russo-Turkish Trading Society, a concern set up to deal with the merchandise discharged from the "Tomp." More flour and sugar were brought by the Soviet ships, but, although a special price was quoted and special terms offered, no sales have as yet been completed. Husseinoff is to be allowed by the Government three weeks in which to finish his work, after which he must leave.

15. The number of slaves who took refuge in this agency during the period under review was five, all of whom have been repatriated to the Sudan.

[E 3353/80/91]

No. 3.

Senior Naval Officer, Red Sea Sloops, to Admiralty. (Communicated to Foreign Office July 5, 1928.)

(Unnumbered.)
(Telegraphic.) P.

ZEIDI troops have counter-attacked Suleik, but have been repulsed by local tribesmen. My meeting with Italian ship "A 210," which is at Hodeidah in readiness to evacuate Italian community if necessary, was very cordial. Scrupulously correct attitude adopted by British Aden authorities towards Italian representations there throughout dispute with the Imam of the Yemen over raids into the protectorate is appreciated by the Italian authorities.

[E 3391/80/91]

No. 4.

Memorandum communicated by Italian Ambassador, July 6.

(Translation.)

ON the 27th June last the Imam Yahia telegraphed to the Governor of Eritrea, emphasising his pacific intentions and drawing attention to the attitude of prudence and patience of which he had given proof; he complained that his conduct had not been appreciated by the English, who had continued to carry out air raids over the Yemen, terrorising the population, killing unarmed persons and destroying the houses of peaceful inhabitants. The Imam concludes his message with the following words:—

"I cherish the hope that the English will modify their attitude and will try to reach a direct understanding with us without any intermediaries. Notwithstanding our indignation and our consciousness of our own power, we will continue to maintain our present policy and attitude of prudence. We are informing your Excellency of the situation in accordance with the spirit of close friendship which exists between us, and we feel sure that your Excellency will believe our declarations."

Italian Embassy, London, July 5, 1928.

[E 3463/2068/91]

No. 5.

Sir G. Clayton to Colonial Office. (Communicated to Foreign Office, July 11.)

July 10, 1928.

Sir,

I HAVE the honour to refer to Colonial Office letter of the 17th April, 1928, relating to the negotiations which I was appointed to conduct with His Majesty the King of the Hejaz and of Nejd and its Dependencies, and to submit my report on the progress and the results of my mission.

2. I left London on the 19th April, accompanied by Flight-Lieutenant G. M. Moore, M.C., and arrived in Alexandria on the 24th April. I was met by Mr. G. Antonius, C.B.E., Assistant Secretary to the Palestine Government, and proceeded on the same day to Cairo, where I was met by Mr. B. H. Bourdillon, C.M.G., counsellor to the Residency in Bagdad, Mr. K. Cornwallis, C.M.G., C.B.E., D.S.O., Adviser to the Ministry of the Interior in Iraq, and Captain J. B. Glubb, O.B.E., M.C., Administrative Inspector in the Iraq Government Service.

3. I spent two days in Cairo, during which I had the advantage of conversation with Lord Lloyd, and also with Mr. Bourdillon, who had been specially deputed by the High Commissioner for Iraq to acquaint me more fully with his views on the subject-matter of the negotiations. Mr. Bourdillon left for Bagdad on the 26th April, and on the same day I left for Port Said with Captain Glubb and Flight-Lieutenant Moore and embarked on the steamship "Chindwin" for Port Sudan. Mr. Cornwallis and Mr. Antonius joined the "Chindwin" at Suez, as did also Mr. A. Antippha, of the Palestine Service, who had been lent as stenographer to the mission.

4. The mission reached Port Sudan on the afternoon of the 30th April and sailed at noon on the following day on board H.M.S. "Dahlia" (Commander H. Cotton, R.N.), arriving at Jeddah at 10 A.M. on the 2nd May. On arrival, I was informed that the King had been delayed in Buraida and could not reach Jeddah till the end of that week. His Majesty passed through Jeddah on the 5th May on his way to Mecca, where he had to pay the customary ritual visit, and returned to Jeddah on the morning of the 7th. At 10.30 A.M. on that day he received me with the other members of the mission in formal audience, and it was then arranged that negotiations should be opened on the following day.

5. Accordingly, the first meeting took place at 8 A.M. on Tuesday, the 8th May. Negotiations were formally opened at that meeting and continued with little intermission until Sunday, the 20th May. Twelve meetings were held, at which both His Majesty and myself were present, and a detailed summary of the conversations will be found in the enclosed Record of Proceedings (Annexure 1*). Concurrently with my conversations with the King, Mr. Cornwallis held a series of meetings in committee with His Majesty's advisers (see enclosed memorandum and minutes, Annexure 2), at which the subsidiary questions affecting Iraq were discussed. As soon as these were over, a further series of meetings were held by Mr. Antonius in committee with the King's advisers, at which questions affecting Transjordan were dealt with (see enclosed memorandum, Annexure 3).

6. The first question which came up for discussion was, naturally, that of the desert posts and the incidents connected therewith. The King seized the earliest opportunity of defining his attitude, and from that attitude, as defined by him at our first meeting, he never receded. The deadlock to which our conversations carried us may be said virtually to have been reached at the end of the second meeting, when I had communicated to him the views of His Majesty's Government. My first task in this report will be to set forth, as briefly as possible, the main points of the attitude taken up by the King, together with an outline of the course which I adopted to meet his arguments.

7. It will be remembered that the immediate cause of the present dispute was the construction by the Iraq Government of a post at Busaiya, which is a water-point in the desert, at a distance of some 75 miles from the Iraq-Nejd frontier and 55 miles from the nearest point in the neutral zone. On various grounds the King objected to the construction of that post, and in support of his objection invoked article 3 of the first Protocol of Uqair, which reads as follows:—

"The two Governments mutually agree not to use the watering-places and wells situated in the vicinity of the border for any military purpose, such as building forts on them, and not to concentrate troops in their vicinity."

* Annexure not printed.

[19083]

B 3

The Iraq Government, on the other hand, rejected Ibn Saud's contention, and held that the above clause could not by any reasonable interpretation be regarded as applying to Busaiya.

8. In order fully to appreciate the King's attitude on this point, it will be necessary to relate his version of the circumstances in which the first Protocol of Uqair was drawn up. This protocol was signed at Uqair on the 2nd December, 1922, as an appendix to the Muhammara Convention of May 1922, in which provision was made for the fixing of a frontier between Iraq and Nejd. Ibn Saud alleges that his delegates at Muhammara had signed the convention in error; that he (Ibn Saud) had steadfastly refused to ratify it on the ground that a hard-and-fast frontier in mid-desert would be contrary to all the established usages of nomadic life; that he had repeatedly pointed out at the time that such a frontier, with its inevitable corollaries in the form of posts and fortifications in the open desert, would be repugnant to his tribes; and that he had finally given his assent only when Sir Percy Cox had offered six months later at Uqair to insert a clause containing such guarantees as would meet his essential objections. Thus it was, according to Ibn Saud, that article 3 of the Protocol of Uqair came into being. He maintains that it was only because of his firm belief that that article was intended to prevent the erection of posts anywhere in the open desert on either side of the border that he consented to accept the frontier and ratify the convention.

9. I took the line that His Majesty's Government did not accept, and could not admit, his interpretation of article 3 of the Uqair Protocol; that they regarded the distance of Busaiya from the frontier to be such that it could not reasonably be held to lie "in the vicinity of the frontier"; and that they were bound to uphold the principle that the Iraq Government, as well as the Nejd Government, were at liberty to take such administrative measures within their own territory as seemed to them necessary for the better supervision of the desert. Throughout the conversations I abstained from embarking too far upon a discussion of the merits or demerits of the posts at Busaiya and elsewhere. I preferred to take my stand on the unassailable ground of a fixed principle, namely, that in the absence of any specific undertaking to the contrary, the sovereign right of each Government to take such internal measures as it thought necessary was one which His Majesty's Government were determined to uphold.

10. Eventually, I came to the conclusion that not only was Ibn Saud unwilling on grounds of general policy to give way on the question of the posts, but also that he was precluded from doing so by the attitude of his people. I used every means of persuasion and pressure at my disposal, and when these failed, I decided to recommend, as an alternative to rupture, that the negotiations be suspended. The advent of the pilgrimage celebrations which were requiring the King's presence at Mecca for over a month made it necessary to bring our conversations to a close in any case. Ibn Saud accepted my suggestion, and he also agreed to a formal exchange of letters (see Annexure 4) in which a peaceful *modus vivendi* was laid down between Iraq and Nejd for the duration of the period of suspension. He insisted, however, on one stipulation, namely, that, in view of the fact that he had to return to Nejd by the middle of August, and that he was pledged to communicate the result of his negotiations to his people on his return, our conversations would have to be resumed or definitely broken off by that date.

11. Before a decision can be reached as to whether or not conversations should be resumed, due consideration should be given to the three points put forward by Ibn Saud as a basis for a settlement. The first relates to the posts themselves. To the last, Ibn Saud maintained that the demolition of the posts at Busaiya, Salman and Shabaika and the withdrawal of their garrisons were a *sine qua non* for the restoration of peace on the border. He argued that the habits and the mentality of the nomad tribes were such that the erection of a post at a water-point in the desert, even if built for peaceful purposes, was equivalent in their minds to a denial, or, at any rate, a serious restriction of access to the water. He gave me to understand in the most friendly but explicit terms that, if the posts were maintained, he would have to decline all responsibility for the maintenance of peace. His tone was never offensive or minatory, but was consistently firm. He kept repeating that it was precisely the question of desert fortifications which had dictated his refusal to ratify the Muhammara Convention, until Sir Percy Cox had offered him a guarantee against the construction of posts in the desert. He stated on more than one occasion that if doubt were cast on the validity of his interpretation of article 3 of the Protocol of Uqair, he would beg His Majesty's Government to search among their records of

what took place at the Conference of Uqair in order to verify the accuracy of his contention. He declared that he, for his part, was prepared to offer his records for inspection.

12. The second relates to the incursions into Nejd of cars and aeroplanes from Iraq. The King contended that the mere crossing of the frontier by forces from Iraq was a breach of his sovereignty as recognised in the Treaty of Jeddah and a violation of article 6 of the Bahra Agreement.* He argued that the action of the cars and aeroplanes had so inflamed his people that even those whom he had detailed to punish the authors of the attack on Busaiya had turned on him and loudly demanded reprisals. It was then, and only then, that a movement began which led to the concentration of some 60,000 men from all tribes, even the most law-abiding, for a retaliatory attack on Iraq, which only with the greatest trouble he had succeeded in preventing. He demanded an explicit assurance in writing from His Majesty's Government to the effect that article 6 included Imperial as well as Iraqi forces.

13. The third relates to the question of the surrender of fugitives from justice. Ibn Saud holds that discipline cannot be effectively enforced in the open desert so long as culprits are at liberty to cross the frontier and take refuge in an adjoining territory where his forces are precluded (by article 6 of the Bahra Agreement) from pursuing them. He had always felt, and now more than ever, that it was essential for the proper enforcement of discipline that the Governments of Iraq and Nejd should mutually undertake to surrender fugitives from justice, and he pressed that an agreement to that effect should be the condition of any settlement.

14. I am unable to tell with any certainty to what extent Ibn Saud's account of the origin of article 3 tallies with the facts. His version of what had taken place at Uqair was detailed and circumstantial, and left me with the impression that he may have genuinely understood the provisions of article 3 to entail a wider application than is warranted by the facts. On the other hand, it may be that his version is only in part correct, and that, being made wise by recent events on the frontier, he has amplified it in an endeavour to strengthen his case before His Majesty's Government and his position towards his own people.

15. On one point, however, Ibn Saud appears to have some justification for his somewhat sweeping interpretation of the phrase "in the vicinity of the frontier." In the Arabic text, the corresponding phrase is "ala atraf al-hudud," and I am informed on reliable authority that this Arabic expression is capable of a wider application than its English counterpart. "Ala atraf" means, literally, "on the sides of" or "at the extremities of"; and I am credibly informed that, to the Arab reader who has no English, the phrase might and probably would convey a wide connotation than can reasonably be read into the English phrase. It should be noted, moreover, that no provision is made in the Muhammara Convention or in either of the two protocols, for priority to be given to the English text, in cases of divergence between the English and the Arabic versions.

16. My own conclusions are that, whatever justification Ibn Saud may have for insisting on his interpretation of article 3, it is, nevertheless, essential that the principle to which I have referred in paragraph 9 above should be upheld. I am not convinced that the permanent security of the south-western confines of Iraq can best be ensured by a chain of far-flung posts in the open desert, or that the task of defending Iraq will eventually be most easily and economically performed by the construction of a system of posts, the mere presence of which is bound to give rise to effervescence in Nejd. The fact cannot be overlooked that, even since the conclusion of the Bahra Agreement in November 1925, there has been a remarkable absence of raids from Nejd into Iraq, and that it was precisely the construction of the post at Busaiya that excited the Nejd tribes to break the peace. Nevertheless, I have no doubt that on the principle of the sovereign right of the Iraq Government to take whatever defensive measures it may think fit, no concession whatever should be made.

17. On the other hand, I am of opinion that it would be neither fair nor expedient to ignore altogether the arguments put forward by Ibn Saud or to under-rate the difficulties of his position. His account of the genesis of article 3 of the Protocol of Uqair and of the meaning which it has always conveyed to his mind seems to me to bear the mark of genuineness. The loose rendering of the phrase "in the vicinity of the frontier," for which there exist exact Arabic equivalents, has served only to lend justification to his reading of the clause in question. It is a matter for regret that no records are available other than those kept by Ibn Saud, of the

* Article 6 of the Bahra Agreement reads: "The forces of Iraq and Nejd may not cross the common frontier in the pursuit of offenders except with the consent of both Governments."

proceedings of Uqair. In the absence of any such record and of any authoritative check on the accuracy or inaccuracy of Ibn Saud's account, it would, I submit, be unfair to reject it as altogether unacceptable. It would also be inexpedient, since it would be regarded by Ibn Saud as an arbitrary dismissal of the case which he has put forward, and which cannot in fairness be said to be wholly unjustified.

18. I venture therefore to recommend that due consideration be given to the possibility of replying to Ibn Saud on lines which, while safeguarding the point of principle and upholding the sovereign rights of the Iraq Government, might yet contain some concession of a nature to allay the effervescence prevailing in Nejd and to encourage him in his efforts to keep the peace. I am of opinion, that, just as we have a duty towards Iraq in maintaining the prestige and the interests of the ruler and Government of that country, so we owe it to Ibn Saud, who has hitherto displayed a scrupulous respect for treaties and a remarkable determination to keep to his pledged word, to go as far towards easing the difficult situation he is in as is compatible with the maintenance of a fixed principle. If it be found possible to offer him some concession, not on the principle of the right to construct posts but on the actual programme of their location and construction, then I believe that it would be in the best interests of all the parties concerned to aim at a settlement on those lines.

19. Moreover, it should be borne in mind that the bare rejection of Ibn Saud's representations might make it impossible for him to continue to exercise a moderating influence on his tribes. Without accepting all his statements at their face value, I feel that, unless he is offered some tangible concession which might enable him to continue his efforts for peace on the border, there is a risk of the situation in Nejd getting out of hand. I have no means by which I can gauge with any accuracy the magnitude of this risk and of its consequences, but that it exists I do not doubt, any more than I doubt this adverse effect on Ibn Saud himself of what might seem to him to be a wholesale rejection of his representations.

20. I have hitherto confined myself to those representations of Ibn Saud which relate to article 3 of the Protocol of Uqair. There were two other points which he put forward as being essential to any lasting settlement, and to which I have already referred in paragraphs 12 and 13 above. The first, which relates to the definition of article 6 of the Bahra Agreement, presents no difficulty. It was generally understood, at the time of the conclusion of the Bahra Agreement, that the term "forces" which occurs in article 6 applied to all the regular forces of either Government. Ibn Saud's request for an assurance that the term must be held, as far as Iraq is concerned, to include Imperial as well as Iraqi forces is therefore a proper one. But I venture to recommend, since the question has arisen in this form, that the opportunity be seized of obtaining a similar assurance on Ibn Saud's part as to the precise meaning of the term when applied to the forces of Nejd. It is a matter of common knowledge that Ibn Saud does not maintain a regular standing army, and that he relies, for the purposes of military action, on the armed contingents of Ikhwan, which his tribes are able from time to time to supply. I think it advisable, in order to preclude the possibility of equivocation on his part in the future, to obtain from him an acceptable definition of what must be held to constitute the "forces" of Nejd, similar to that which he asks for from Iraq.

21. A clear misunderstanding on this point is the more desirable in view of the attitude which I took up when replying to Ibn Saud's protest against the violation of his frontier by aeroplanes and armoured cars. In this connexion, I refused to recognise the affair at Busaiya as a raid within the meaning of the Bahra Agreement, but maintained that it was a premeditated attack by armed tribesmen who belonged to the very elements which constituted the fighting forces of Nejd, on an official post within the territory of a friendly State; and that this attack amounted in itself to a violation of the provisions of article 6 of the Bahra Agreement, and had led to a situation in which His Majesty's Government were forced to take adequate measures for the defence of Iraq.

22. The other point (see paragraph 13 above) relates to the surrender of refugee offenders. This is not a new theme, and reference to my report on the negotiations at Bahra will show that Ibn Saud's request had been rejected in deference to the views of the Iraq Government. I have been given to understand, however, that the Iraq Government would be willing to reconsider their attitude on this matter.

23. The exchange of notes laying down the agreed terms of suspension of conversations was nearly prevented by an unfortunate development four days previous to my departure. Draft notes had been agreed upon in conversation and Ibn Saud had left for Mecca, but before they could be officially exchanged I received an

indignant letter from Ibn Saud dated the 22nd May, supplemented by a verbal statement conveyed to me by his advisers. The gist of these communications was to the effect that Ibn Saud had just received a detailed and circumstantial report informing him that on the 25th or 26th April eight armoured cars had visited Aiwiij and tried to persuade tribes to migrate into Iraq; that a few days later three aeroplanes had flown over Lina and dropped bombs; and that on or about the same date other aeroplanes had flown over the Bashuk area.

24. Ibn Saud's letter of the 22nd May is attached to this report (Annexure 7), but in his verbal protest, which was couched in much stronger terms, he stated that:—

- (a) He wished His Majesty's Government to enquire fully into the causes of the incursion which had taken place after peaceful conversations had been agreed upon and even after my departure from London, and to fix the blame upon those responsible.
- (b) He did not wish it thought that mere compensation would satisfy him.
- (c) He reserved all his rights until a satisfactory explanation had been furnished.
- (d) He made this communication verbally, as he did not wish to embarrass me more than he could help.

25. It was obvious that Ibn Saud was incensed to such a degree as to make it certain that, unless he received some satisfaction, he would refuse to sign the conditions of suspension, and thus involve a definite rupture. I therefore wrote to him on the same day (see my letter of the 22nd May, Annexure 7) agreeing that any such incidents as those of which he complained would be contrary to the spirit of our negotiations, and promising to report the matter without delay with a view to obtaining explanations and further information. In the meantime, I telegraphed to the High Commissioner at Bagdad to request a statement of the facts (see my telegram No. 69 of the 22nd May, Annexure 7). On the following day I received a reply from Sir Henry Dobbs, from which it appeared that, although no bombs had been dropped and explanations of the action taken were given in detail, the frontier had in fact been crossed on the occasions mentioned (see Sir H. Dobbs's telegram No. 925 of the 23rd May, Annexure 7). Ibn Saud's main complaint, to the effect that his frontier had been violated afresh, even after peaceful negotiations had been mutually agreed upon, was thus shown to be based on fact, and, in view of his extreme indignation and the critical nature of the situation thereby created, I was convinced that to put forward the High Commissioner's explanation and arguments at that moment would be worse than useless and would probably make a rupture inevitable. I therefore added nothing further to my letter of the 23rd May, which at least had the result of easing the situation sufficiently to secure Ibn Saud's signature to the conditions of suspension (see my unnumbered telegram, Annexure 7).

26. Ibn Saud will doubtless raise the question again when we resume conversations, and I shall have to give him an answer. I suggest, in that event, furnishing him with the explanations supplied by the High Commissioner of Iraq. These explanations, however, are not likely to satisfy him, and in all the circumstances I am of opinion that it would be proper, and most certainly politic, to accompany them by a suitably worded expression of regret.

27. During the course of our conversations I made it clear to Ibn Saud that the Iraq Government would require to be compensated for the lives and property destroyed in the attack on Busaiya Post, and would also expect that the loss of life and live-stock occasioned to Iraq tribesmen by the subsequent raids should be made good in accordance with the procedure laid down in the Bahra Agreement. His Majesty did not make any direct reply to this statement, and I did not think it advisable to pursue the question pending settlement of the main point of difference; but his advisers made it quite clear that the Nejd Government proposed to submit a heavy counter-claim in respect of losses suffered by Nejd tribesmen during the course of the operations carried out by the Royal Air Force.

28. I shall now proceed to report on the progress made in the discussion of the subsidiary questions. In paragraphs 14 to 24 of your letter under reference, mention is made of seven questions affecting the relations of Ibn Saud with His Majesty's Government. The first relates to Italy's new position in Arabia. On that subject I had a long conversation with the King, which is summarised in the Record of Proceedings (Annexure 2, minutes of ninth meeting), and more fully reported in the despatch which I shall have the honour to address to you separately.

29. The second relates to the position of the Sheressian rulers of Iraq and Transjordan and their traditional hostility to Ibn Saud. This question, which has

long been a thorn in the latter's side, was frequently touched upon by him in our conversations. At the very first meeting I had with him, he gave bitter expression to his conviction that the scheming hand of King Feisal could be detected behind the present trouble, and that the dropping of warning notices and bombs had been cleverly prompted by the King of Iraq, who was a past-master in the art of alienating Arab tribes and undermining his (Ibn Saud's) ascendancy over them. I felt it necessary, there and then, to interrupt the King and give him a polite hint that, while I was always ready to lend a sympathetic ear to his grievances, I was not prepared to listen to aspersions on King Feisal's character, which seemed to me to exceed the bounds of legitimate criticism. I also gave him to understand, on more than one occasion, that the attitude and policy of His Majesty's Government towards himself were in no way influenced by the personal feelings of King Feisal and the Amir Abdullah. Whatever the effect of my words may have been, I failed to see any indication of a change in Ibn Saud's attitude, or in his conviction that the Hashimite brothers were at the bottom of all his tribulations with His Majesty's Government.

30. The next four questions, namely, those relating to—

- (i) the activities of Bolshevik agents in the Hejaz,
- (ii) the Hejaz Railway,
- (iii) the abolition of Capitulations in the Hejaz,
- (iv) the Haramain Waqfa,

were not brought up by Ibn Saud or by his advisers for discussion, and I abstained from taking the initiative of broaching them. From a perusal of the files at the British Agency, it was clear that Mr. Stowchewer Bird had all the required information at his disposal and was in a position to deal with the questions if and when they were raised by Ibn Saud.

31. The seventh question, which formed the subject of paragraphs 20 to 24 of your letter under reference relates to the appointment of an arbitrator to adjudicate upon past raids across the Nejd-Transjordan frontier, and of a permanent raids officer to supervise the occurrence of future raids. This question was discussed at my seventh meeting with the King (see Annexure 2, minutes of seventh meeting). His Majesty informed me that he was still in favour of the appointment of a British arbitrator, but that for various reasons he felt compelled to insist on certain conditions prior to the appointment of the arbitrator. On my suggestion, it was agreed that the matter be fully discussed between his advisers and Mr. Antonius in committee. A series of committee meetings then took place of which the proceedings are summarised in the memorandum drawn up by Mr. Antonius (see Annexure 3).

32. As will be seen from that memorandum, Ibn Saud is now pressing for the laying down of terms of reference designed to define the powers and duties of the arbitrator. His proposals seem to me to be on the whole fair and acceptable, and in this view I am supported by Lord Plumer and the British Resident at Amman with whom I discussed the matter fully during my brief stay in Jerusalem. But there is one clause in the terms of reference which appears to me to be unacceptable, namely, clause 5, which purports to exclude from the purview of the arbitration all past claims which were not officially registered at the time or soon after the occurrence of the raid. I am of opinion, and Lord Plumer concurs, that the adoption of this clause would mean that one of the two parties (in this case, Transjordan) is to be deprived of a fair hearing in the matter of a responsibility which was not specifically laid down in the Hadda Agreement, and which would amount to an improper restriction of the discretion of the arbitrator, who should alone decide whether a particular claim is worthy of being heard.

33. The King pressed insistently for the adoption of that clause, and his advisers informed Mr. Antonius that, unless it were inserted, he could not see his way to assent to the proposed arbitration. I refused to give way on that point, and in view of the King's insistence it was not possible to arrive at a final agreement on the terms of the proposed arbitration.

34. I would recommend, as a possible way out of the difficulty, that I should be authorised to inform His Majesty that, although His Majesty's Government cannot admit a condition of that nature which they regard as unnecessary and improper, they are prepared to give him an assurance to the effect that his views on that point would be fully communicated to the arbitrator. I would also recommend that the agreement to be arrived at prior to the appointment of the arbitrator should be recorded, not in a separate instrument as Ibn Saud proposes, but in an exchange of

notes. If this is approved, I should propose to address identical notes to the two Governments stating the conditions on which the arbitrator is to be appointed and asking each Government to inform me of its willingness to accept those conditions and abide by the terms of the adjudication.

35. The cognate question of the appointment of a permanent raids officer was discussed at the following meeting (see Annexure 2, minutes of eighth meeting). I explained to the King the reasons why it had not been found practicable to adopt his former proposal. He saw the force of my explanations and declared himself in favour of postponing the consideration of this question until the proposed arbitration had materialised and effected a liquidation of past raids.

36. In a second letter from you bearing the same number and date as your letter under reference, mention is made of two other questions. The first relates to the attack committed in February last by Kuwala tribesmen, led by Farhan bin-Mashur, on certain sections of the Bani Sakhr tribes. In compliance with your instructions I informed Ibn Saud that His Majesty's Government appreciated his attitude on that point and hoped that he would effect a settlement fully compensating the Bani Sakhr tribes for their losses. Before I left Jeddah, however, I received a verbal message from the King, who was then at Mecca, to the effect that news had just been received of a series of raids committed by Transjordan tribes on tribes in the Wadi Sirhan who were subject to him. I was not in a position to contradict the news, nor was I able when I visited Jerusalem ten days later to obtain any confirmation. I am given to understand, however, that news has since been received from Transjordan which tends to confirm the accuracy of Ibn Saud's information. If that is so, perhaps you will think it fit to convey to Ibn Saud an assurance that the Transjordan Government will take prompt and adequate measures to deal with the situation created by the raids on his tribes.

37. The second question relates to the air-route along the south western coast of the Persian Gulf and the supply of British pilots and mechanics to organise and operate Ibn Saud's air service. On this question I made no attempt to approach Ibn Saud. No settlement in regard to the main object of my mission having been arrived at, I did not judge it desirable to broach the question, even tentatively.

38. In your letter No. 50002/28 of the 18th April, mention is made of a proposal to which the King had assented in principle to the revision of the interpretation of article 5 of the Baker Agreement. This matter was discussed at Jeddah and Ibn Saud signified his agreement to the principle of the revised interpretation as proposed by the High Commissioner for Transjordan. In view, however, of the deadlock on the main question, it was not possible to secure Ibn Saud's final assent to this proposal.

On his side, the King put forward a proposal for the conclusion of a *bona fide* agreement, which was fully discussed between his advisers and the High Commissioner. Similar discussions took place on the subject of the proposed agreement, on the basis of the draft brought by Mr. Cornwallis and approved by the Council of Ministers in Bagdad. The proceedings and the progress made with regard to both agreements are fully reported in Annexure 2, while the texts of the relevant drafts will be found in Annexures 5 and 6. I am of opinion that the conclusion of two such agreements would be a distinct step forward in the direction of improving the relations between Iraq and Nejd. I would also recommend that efforts be made to obtain the assent of the Transjordan Government to the conclusion of two similar agreements between Transjordan and Nejd. Lord Plumer, with whom I discussed this matter in Jerusalem, declared himself thoroughly in favour of my proposal.

39. In the absence, however, of an amicable settlement on the major question of the posts, I do not anticipate that Ibn Saud would consent at this stage to the conclusion of either of the proposed agreements. But this does not mean that I should deter the Iraq Government from drawing up their own proposals in the light of Mr. Cornwallis's report to them; so that, in the event of my mission being sent out to discuss the question with Ibn Saud, I might find it possible to carry discussions a stage further without delay.

40. One other question was brought up by Ibn Saud, namely, the appointment of accredited Nejd representatives in the mandated territories of Iraq, Palestine and Transjordan. His Majesty gave me to understand that he was dissatisfied with the growing number of contentious disputes, mostly of a trivial nature, which arose between Nejd trading caravans and petty officials in Transjordan and Palestine. He also felt the need of a tactful and reliable person to represent him and defend his interests before the Governments of Iraq, Palestine and Transjordan. Another

reason which had prompted this proposal on His Majesty's part is the delay in communication with the delays which often took place in the exchange of letters and messages. He proposed that a fully accredited representative should be appointed to Baghdad, and another to Jerusalem. The latter's credentials would cover both Palestine and Transjordan.

42. On this question, too, I am of opinion that the adoption of Ibn Saud's proposals would be a step in the right direction. I am informed by Mr. Antipin that the High Commissioner for Palestine is prepared to assent to the appointment of a Nejd representative in Jerusalem. It would be desirable that the views of the Iraq Government on this matter be ascertained at an early opportunity. The appointment of a Nejd representative in Baghdad would entail the prior recognition by Iraq of Ibn Saud as King of the Hejaz and of Nejd and its dependencies, and there is reason to believe that King Faisal would not readily assent to the granting of such recognition.

43. The recognition of the *de facto* sovereign of an adjoining country with which Iraq is in friendly treaty relations cannot be indefinitely withheld without prejudice to good relations between the two countries, and it is a matter for consideration whether the present crisis does not afford a suitable opportunity to grant Ibn Saud the recognition on which he not unnaturally lays great stress. In this connection I would point out that Ibn Saud's proposal for the conclusion of a *bona fide* agreement will stand or fall according as the desired recognition is granted, or withheld.

44. On the 20th May, the King proceeded to Mecca after a formal farewell meeting at the Mission House on the afternoon of that day. His principal advisers remained in Jeddah, where two or three meetings were held daily with them. On the 22nd May Mr. Cornwallis and Captain Glubb sailed for Egypt where they returned to Baghdad by air. On the 25th May I sailed from Jeddah on board H.M.S. "Dahlia" for Port Sudan, with Mr. Antonius and Flight Lieutenant Moore, and on the morning of our arrival in Port Sudan, I left for Cairo by the overland route. On the day of my arrival in Cairo (the 31st May), I proceeded to Jerusalem where I conferred with the High Commissioner and the British Resident, Amman, on the matters affecting Transjordan. After a stay of two days in Jerusalem I proceeded with Mr. Antonius and Flight Lieutenant Moore to Port Said where we embarked on the P. & O. steamship "Naldera".

45. I cannot close this report without expressing the gratitude of myself and the members of the mission to His Majesty the King of the Hejaz and Nejd and to the officials of his Government for their unvarying courtesy and consideration. Our conversations were conducted throughout in an atmosphere of amity and mutual confidence, and everything possible was done for our comfort and convenience.

Mr. Stonehewer Bird and his staff gave us every help and I should like especially to mention Mr. Seagar and Mr. Thacker of the consular staff, on whom the presence of the mission threw considerable extra work.

The presence of Mr. Cornwallis and Captain Glubb was of great assistance. Their knowledge of local conditions in Iraq was always at my disposal and I feel that it is of value for the future that they should have had the opportunity of making personal acquaintance with Ibn Saud and his advisers.

The course of the conversations was not such as to afford a favourable opportunity of discussing questions immediately concerning the Air Ministry, so that Flight Lieutenant Moore's services were not utilised to the full. At the same time, I found his knowledge and experience of desert conditions and tribal matters in Iraq of great value.

I have to thank the Palestine Government for lending the services of Mr. A. Antipin as clerk to the mission. He was both efficient and indefatigable and performed his somewhat arduous duties to my entire satisfaction in conditions of some discomfort and inconvenience.

I can only say of Mr. George Antonius that, as on previous missions of a similar character, his unique knowledge of Arab psychology, his sound judgment and his unrivalled linguistic qualifications were placed unsparingly at my disposal. His services have been invaluable not only in the conduct of the conversations, both with Ibn Saud and his advisers, but in the preparation of this report.

I have, &c.

G. F. CLAYTON

E 3412/1/91]

No. 6

Sir Austen Chamberlain to Mr. Stonehewer-Bird (Jeddah).

(No. 34)

(Telegraphic.) R.

Foreign Office, July 11, 1928.

YOUR telegram No. 61 of 1st July: Protest of Hejazi Minister for Foreign Affairs against speech by Nuri Pasha.

You may inform Dr. Damirji of the true nature of the statement made by Nuri Pasha, as indicated in Bagdad telegram to you No. 1128. You should point out that the statement was made solely in order to show the Iraq Parliament that it might perhaps be necessary to spend the full sum voted for defence if the negotiations at Jeddah unfortunately failed to produce the favourable effects hoped for. The very fact that expenditure on defence measures has been suspended is clear evidence of the desire of the Iraq Government for an amicable understanding.

E 3496 677/91]

No. 7

Mr. Stonehewer-Bird to Sir Austen Chamberlain.—(Received July 13.)

No. 35

Sir,

Jeddah, June 14, 1928.

WITH reference to my despatch No. 60 of 20th April, I have the honour to send herewith a second list of local notabilities.

2. No circulation of this despatch and its enclosures is being made from this post.

I have, &c.

F. R. W. STONEHEWER-BIRD.

Enclosure in No. 7

Biographical Notes.

Sherif Sharaf Adnan.

Member of the Sherifian family at Mecca to which King Hussein belongs, but of the rival Blawi Zaid section which was last in power in 1827. Has spent the greater part of his life in Constantinople. Arrived in the Hejaz after the fall of Mecca and was present at the signing of the Armistice between the British and the Turks in November 1927 to hear the dispute between the Idrisi and the Red Sea Petroleum Company over the Farsan Island concession—a task rather beyond him. Grizzled and doddering. (June 1928.)

Sherif Sharaf.

A member of the same faction of the Sherifian family as Sherif Sharaf Adnan. Was present in Mecca at the signing of the Armistice between the British and the Turks. Appointed Financial Minister to the Hejaz on the strength of his anti-Hashimite fervour. No political influence. (June 1928.)

E 3497/2806 91]

No. 8

Mr. Stonehewer-Bird to Sir Austen Chamberlain.—(Received July 13.)

No. 36

Sir,

Jeddah, June 16, 1928.

I HAVE the honour to refer to Cairo despatch No. 409 of the 19th ultimo on the subject of two Egyptian missions to this country.

2. The first mission, under the leadership of Hamed-el-Bassel Pasha, came to the Hejaz. I understand that the mission of Hamed-el-Bassel Pasha was as a preliminary step to the mission of Hamed-el-Bassel Pasha and his family to the Hejaz. The object of the visit of these Egyptians appears to have been to pave the way for a rapprochement between the two countries.

3. The delegation has been well received. At a banquet given by the King to important pilgrims of all nationalities, Abdurrahman Azzam made a speech in which he sang the praises of Ibn Saud, and in which he expressed the hope that relations between the two countries would in future be of the closest and most friendly. The Egyptian people, he declared, were whole-heartedly pro-Ibn Saud. Ibn Saud, in his reply, pleaded for unity among all Moslem peoples. Abdurrahman Azzam's speech, as reported in the "Un-el-Qura," is transmitted herewith.

4. The second mission, under Kamel-el-Khosham Bey, was concerned with the question of water supply for Mecca, and the utilisation for this purpose of Ain Zubeida. I have been told, though I cannot vouch for the accuracy of the information, that the Egyptian Government have agreed to carry out the work at their own expense, and that the funds saved by the non-despatch of the Mahmal will be devoted to this purpose.

5. Pasha has been sent to Egypt by the King apparently in connexion with urban improvements in Mecca. The object of his visit was first given as being in connexion with the Mecca water supply and the purchase of the necessary machinery, but I am now informed that he is to find an engineer for the installation of electric light in Mecca.

6. A copy of this despatch and its enclosure is being addressed to His Majesty's High Commissioner for Egypt.

I have, &c.

F. H. W. STONFLEWER-BIRD.

Enclosure in No. 8.

Speech by Abdurrahman Bey Azzam.

Your Majesty,

THE happiness of Kings is dependent on the satisfaction of the Creator, and on their attracting the hearts of the people to them and gaining their love.

Kings formerly kept apart from the people, being afraid of them, and maintained bodyguards around them to avoid contact with the people.

When their power was at its height, they kept apart from their people through pride and disdain. Again, when their power began to decline and when they became weak they avoided their people, being afraid of their anger. Now, however, we see that your Majesty has chosen the path of the Prophet, following the Islamic rule. In reviving this tradition you have earned the thanks of Moslems, and we wish that other Kings would follow your good example. Undoubtedly such a course will bring back to Islam the age of its former brilliance and to the Arabs their great glory.

Your Majesty, the kingdom you established in Arabia is based on religion, and religion is the foundation of nations, especially in Arabia and amongst the Arabs, who cannot rise except through religion. There is no doubt that the kingdom your Majesty has established in the Arabian Peninsula, protected by religion and reinforced by the power of nationality, is thus a mighty country. We consider that this young country in the Arabian Peninsula is but the beginning of an era of deliverance for the Arabs and the Moslems, and of their freedom from weakness and subjection.

The rough nation of the Arabian Peninsula is the one which will carry the message once more to the civilized countries to save them from the depths of abasement. Whatever differences may exist on their points of view between the Moslems, the political hopes in their hearts and in those of the Arabs are centred in this young country under your Majesty.

Thus, although there is a small difference of opinion between us, let us suppose that the other side is in the right, for we ought to submit everything to your Majesty because the hopes of the Moslems and of the Arabs are laid on you, and because that peninsula, whose population is about 10 millions, is the one which will make these hopes a reality.

We trust that the former position may be restored, and that Egypt will again have its share in the making of the Holy Cover. The difference in views about such a matter should not be a cause for separation between Egypt and the Hijaz. The result of such a dispute would only be to give the stranger an opportunity to have power over us.

Your Majesty, I am a man who is known to have mixed with the people, and I am therefore qualified to judge the spirit of the Egyptian people. I can assure your Majesty that the Egyptians are glad because of your noble act, and that a small difference of opinion will in no way affect the love which the Egyptians bear to you.

It gives us pleasure to note that for the first time in history the Hijaz has woven a cover for the Kaaba, although to the loss of the Egyptians.

We are glad because this action proves that the Arabs can do in one year what others could not do in many.

The Powers know that the Arab nation is one body in which every member can replace the other, and that all work in harmony together.

E 3454 80 91]

No. 9.

Sir Austen Chamberlain to M. Bordone.

Your Excellency,

Foreign Office, July 13, 1928.

ON the 5th July you were so good as to communicate to this Department a memorandum giving the substance of a telegram received by the Governor of Aden from the Imam Yahya. Your Excellency added that M. Masbudi hoped that His Majesty's Government would be able to see their way to enter into negotiations with the Imam for a peaceful settlement of the frontier dispute.

2. I can at once assure you that His Majesty's Government have at all times been and are still anxious to reach an amicable understanding in connexion with their differences with the Imam, but, as will be readily understood, no settlement will be acceptable to them which does not take account of their obligations to the tribes in the Aden Protectorate. His Majesty's Government have on several occasions sent representatives to discuss the questions at issue with representatives of the Imam, and so recently as April last the Acting Resident at Aden visited Taiz for this purpose. On the 11th July the Acting Resident's report on these discussions was received. His Majesty's Government are anxious to be informed that they were prepared to offer a treaty providing for recognition by His Majesty's Government of the Imam's independence and for recognition by the Imam of the frontier between the Yemen and the Aden Protectorate, subject to the cession of certain territory to the Imam, and the truce was extended in order to give the Imam time to consider this proposal. The Imam, in reply, referred the Acting Resident to his officer at Taiz, who at the same time asked for a further extension of the truce. This request was acceded to on condition that, as an earnest of good faith, the town of Dhala was evacuated. The Imam refused to comply with this condition, and His Majesty's Government had therefore no alternative to the termination of the truce. His Majesty's Government will be prepared to enter into negotiations at any time, but, in view of the fact that the repeated and protracted efforts in the past have been entirely fruitless, they must insist upon first having some definite evidence that the Imam is prepared to recognise their position in the protectorate.

3. In pursuance of their desire to reach a settlement, the Acting Resident at Aden has now been instructed by telegram to inform the Imam that His Majesty's Government are prepared to offer a settlement on the same terms as have previously been intimated to him, and that no settlement would, however, be acceptable to them which does not take account of their obligations to the tribes in the protectorate; and that they are willing to grant him a truce on the same terms as have previously been intimated to him. A settlement of the present difficulties on these lines would be a source of much satisfaction to His Majesty's Government.

I have, &c.

AUSTEN CHAMBERLAIN

[E 3586 80/91]

No. 10.

Mr. Stenhouse-Bird to Sir Austen Chamberlain.—(Received July 17)

(No. 101.)

Sir,

Jeddah, June 26, 1928.

I HAVE the honour to report that the mission from the Imam Yahya to Ibn Saud, to which reference has been made in recent monthly reports, left Jeddah by Italian ship for the Yemen via Massowah on the 23rd instant.

2. In striking contrast to their reception on arrival in Mecca was the order of their departure. No representative of Ibn Saud or member of the Government went on board to bid them farewell. They were provided with second-class tickets and they took away with them no presents from the King.

3. Throughout the period of their stay in the Hejaz they were kept from all outside contact; even a representative of the Italian consulate sent to Mecca to greet them on arrival was unable to gain admission.

4. On their arrival in Jeddah the Italian consul expressed a desire to visit the mission. A time was fixed for the visit, but the mission refused to receive him at their quarters.

On my colleague stating that he knew this to be untrue, the guard gave vent to unseemly mirth. An apology was demanded by Dr. Cesano and readily accorded by Ibn Saud, who offered to place the offending soldier at his disposal to be dealt with as he thought fit, and gave instructions that the mission should be permitted to call at the Italian consulate immediately. They did so accompanied by a local official, whose presence effectually prevented the discussion of any matters of interest.

5. It seems fairly well established that the mission was fruitless, and that no agreement or understanding was reached on any of the points discussed.

6. Their departure coincided, I am informed on good authority, with the despatch of a fully armed force of some 500 men and a dozen machine guns to Asir. Whether Ibn Saud intends these men to stiffen his defensive forces in the south, or whether he wishes to take advantage of Zairik disaffection to strike a blow at the Imam, it is not possible to state on the information available. There are reasons, however, why Ibn Saud might well think that the present moment not ill-chosen for a diversion in the south.

7. In the event of his being unable in his negotiations with Sir Gilbert Clayton to reach a solution calculated to satisfy his tribes, it would be useful for him to be in a position to divert their attention to matters of more immediate importance in the south. It is not unlikely that the mission's recent display for Italian recognition may well arise out of his desire to be quite sure of his ground in that direction before moving against the Imam. I understand that he has received assurances that, in the event of a conflict between himself and the Imam, no Italian forces would assist the latter. He would, however, feel more confident if he knew that Italian forces would assist him.

8. Copies of this despatch are being addressed to His Majesty's High Commissioners for Egypt and Iraq and to the Political Resident, Aden.

I have, &c.

F. H. W. STONEHEWER BIRD

[E 3661 484/91]

No. 11.

Mr. Stenhouse-Bird to Sir Austen Chamberlain.—(Received July 23)

(No. 105.)

Sir,

Jeddah, July 1, 1928.

I HAVE the honour to transmit herewith my report on the situation in the Hejaz for the period the 1st to 30th June, 1928.

2. Copies of this report are being sent to Cairo, Jerusalem (2), Jerusalem for transmission to the Royal Air Force Officer Commanding in Palestine and Transjordan, Baghdad, Aden, Beirut, Damascus, Simla, Khartoum through Port Sudan, Singapore, Lagos (2).

I have, &c.

F. H. W. STONEHEWER BIRD

Enclosure in No. 11

Jeddah Report, Period June 1-30, 1928

THE Yemeni Mission referred to in paragraph 5 of last month's report left Jeddah on the 23rd instant. In striking contrast to their arrival in Mecca, when they were accorded a ceremonial reception and a special banquet was given in their honour, was their departure as second-class passengers on an Italian steamer, when not a member of the Hejaz Government was present to bid them farewell. They left also without presents. It is easy to deduce from this that the mission was a complete failure. The members of the mission were strictly isolated at Mecca, a member of my Italian colleague's staff sent to Mecca to greet them on their arrival was denied admission. The Italian consul did, however, eventually succeed in meeting them on the eve of their departure. It was arranged with the Ministry for Foreign Affairs that he should call upon them at 3 P.M., but on arrival he was informed by the soldier on guard outside their house that they were not at home. On my Italian colleague's stating that he knew this to be false, the sentry gave way to unseemly mirth. In high dudgeon Dr. Cesano returned, demanded and received a prompt apology from the Mecca Foreign Office. The offending soldier was placed at his disposal to be beaten should he think fit in the precincts of the Italian consulate. This offer was declined. The mission was taken round to see Dr. Cesano by a local representative of the Government, whose presence effectually prevented any discussion of interest, though it was abundantly clear that the Yemenis were thoroughly disgruntled. On the day of their departure a report was received from a reliable source that they had taken with them a quantity of ammunition and a dozen machine guns. This expedition, it is believed, may well be a reply to a request for assistance said to have been received by Ibn Saud from the King of the Yemen. The King's policy might, from his point of view, have much to recommend it, especially in the event of an unsuccessful issue to the Clayton conversations, as a means of diverting the attention of turbulent spirits in the north.

2. The Hejaz Government has during the past month protested against the return of the Imam to the Hejaz. During the past month, while negotiations with Sir Gilbert Clayton were being held, several raids were perpetrated in Nejd territory. The return of the Imam, the punishment of the offenders, and the payment of blood money is demanded. A speech by Noori Pasha, Minister of Defence in Iraq, has also called forth a strong protest. As reported in newspapers which have reached Jeddah, Noori Pasha, in the course of his statement on the budget of his Ministry, appears in his desire to justify increased expenditure on defence forces to have made somewhat unfortunate references to the Nejd peril and to have stated that although sums voted for defence against the Wahabias were not yet expended, they might be necessary in the summer. The Hejaz Government have asked for an assurance that Noori Pasha's statement does not represent the views of His Majesty's Government or of Iraq.

3. The King is anxious for the early return of Sir Gilbert Clayton, as he is unable to postpone his departure for Nejd later than the 15th August. He had promised his sheikhs to return at that time and to explain to them the results of his conversations with the mission. Moreover, the death of his father, the Imam Abdurrahman, makes his presence in Nejd desirable at the earliest possible date. The Imam Abdurrahman died at Riyadh on the 2nd June, though the news of his death did not reach Mecca until the 12th June. Telegrams of condolence were received from His Majesty King Feisal of Iraq, His Majesty's High Commissioner for Egypt, and Sir Gilbert Clayton, among many others.

4. The King is leaving Mecca early in July to take up his residence at Taif. Conversations with the Clayton Mission on its return to the Hejaz will be held at Taif. Telephonic and telegraphic communication will be assured with Jeddah. Taif offers advantages over Jeddah as a venue for the negotiations, especially if Philby, whose presence is most undesirable, is unable to take up his residence there.

5. Philby paid a short visit to Egypt during last month at the King's expense. The object of his visit was to secure the services of a competent electrical engineer for the installation of a lighting set in the King's palace at Mecca. An Egyptian mission has been studying the possibilities of improving the water supply at Mecca and some agreement seems to have been reached.

[19085]

6. The pilgrimage was successful and year after year as far as the low mortality among pilgrims. Credit must be given to the Hejaz authorities, whose arrangements were far in advance of those of last year. Motor ambulances, well provided with water, were employed to pick up stragglers and sufferers from heat exhaustion or sunstroke. Many lives were saved in this way. The disposal of the dead and of sacrificial animals was also effected more competently this year. Sanitary conditions at Mecca are much to be desired but the state of the hospitals and dispensaries at Jeddah is such that it is difficult to see how they could be improved.

7. The Hajj Commission has been established by the British Government and will have its headquarters at Cairo. It will be responsible for the health of the pilgrims and for the disposal of the dead and of the sacrificial animals. It will also be responsible for the supply of water to the pilgrims and for the disposal of the waste.

8. The Hajj Commission has been established by the British Government and will have its headquarters at Cairo. It will be responsible for the health of the pilgrims and for the disposal of the dead and of the sacrificial animals. It will also be responsible for the supply of water to the pilgrims and for the disposal of the waste.

[illegible]

8. The Egyptian Mission under Bassel Pasha was well received by the King. The main question discussed appears to have been the purpose to which the sum roughly £60,000 which in the past accompanied the Mahmal should be devoted. The Egyptian proposal was to use it for the staffing of hospitals in Mecca and Jeddah. Agreement was, however, not reached as the Egyptian proposal was to be used for the founding, whereas, His Saud apparently held out for Hejaz Government control. There is reason to believe, on the other hand, that a proportion of the money saved by the non-despatch of the Mahmal will be devoted to the Mecca water-supply scheme, which the Egyptian Government has been studying.

The Sultan of Sulu and the Sultan of Perak left for Singapore with the same ship. They were met by the British Consul and help afforded them by the Hejaz Government, though the Sultan was inclined to feel that not enough fuss was made of him. His Highness would have had an even better welcome from the King had he not thought fit to bombard most of the leading Hadramas with telegrams announcing his arrival, and had left arrangements for his accommodation to the Hejaz Government.

10. Hafiz Wahba, in spite of his successful career as Ibn Saud's mouthpiece in Cairo, has fallen from grace. He has been relegated from the position of Assistant Viceroy to that of Minister of Education. In this post he will presumably have under his control the three or four elementary schools in Jeddah, Mecca and Medina. The fall of Hafiz is regrettable. He was the only man in the Hejaz who did not fear to speak freely and to give honest opinions about the King. He has been a good friend to the Agency in his position as Assistant Viceroy, and many questions have with his help been settled which would otherwise have necessitated official representations. But excess of good living at Cairo has made him fat and sleepy, and the lean and fanatical Yussuf Yassin has to a large extent usurped his place in the good graces of the King.

11. Dr Damluji has been appointed Nejaz delegate to the Haifa Conference. He will leave, accompanied by two technical experts, as soon as the date of the conference has been fixed. During his absence Yusuf Yassin will, it is to be feared act as Minister for Foreign Affairs.

12. The Commandant of Troops in Jeddah, Abdulla Bey, a native of Mesoul has been relieved of his command and will be replaced by a Syrian. The Syrians

13. A commission consisting of Roy, the British workshop engineer, Treherne, the Condenser engineer, Hayne, Sharqieh Limited's mechanic, and a German pilot, reported on the state of the Hejaz aeroplanes, which have remained in their sheds since the departure of Squadron Leader Noakes in May 1926. Before the commission the German pilot flew one of the planes round Jeddah. The flight and landing were accomplished without mishap.

14. The number of slaves manumitted during the month under review was eight. All of these were of unknown African origin and were sent by Italian ships to Massawa.

E 3683 1/91 V

No. 12.

Mr. Stonehouse-Hurd to Sir Austen Chamberlain.—(Received July 29.)

(No. 107.)

Sur.

Jeddah, July 4, 1928

I HAVE the honour to transmit, with reference to my telegram No. 51 of the 1st instant, a translation of Dr. Damuji's letter protesting against the language used by the Chief Minister in a recent statement in Parliament.

[illegible]

3. I had the impression that Dr Damluji secretly agreed with me. Yusef Yassin was, however, present at the interview, and the King was evidently worked up. Damluji consequently pressed me to telegraph to you asking for assurances from His Majesty's Government and Iraq that Nouri Pasha did not express the views of either Government, as, if such assurances were not forthcoming, the Hojaz Government would find it difficult, in face of the general resentment aroused, to feel themselves bound by the verbal agreement recently made between the King and Sir Gilbert Clayton.

4. A copy of this despatch and its enclosure is being addressed to His Majesty's High Commissioner for Iraq.

I have, &c.

F. H. W. STONEHEWER-BIRD

Enclosure in No. 12.

Dr. Damlaji to Mr. Stonehewer-Biril.

(Translation)
(Verbal comments.)

Jeddah, June 27, 1928.

I HAVE the honour to inform your Excellency that I read in the newspapers the declarations made in the Iraq Parliament during the course of the discussions on the Ministry of Defence. I am sorry to hear that the Ministry of Defence were for the purposes of defence of the Iraq frontiers against the Nejd, and for paying the Nejd, that these funds had not been spent, it was expected that it would be necessary to spend them at the end of the present summer.

Your Excellency will doubtless realize that the statements of Noori Pasha, if they express the views of the Iraq Government, do not give evidence of good intentions on the part of the Iraq Government. They indicate rather evil intentions, despite the mutual peaceful endeavours exerted in a friendly and amicable spirit during the Jeddah negotiations for settling the questions in dispute.

What can be the value of the peaceful negotiations and international treaties if bad intentions govern the minds of those who are responsible for the upholding of international treaties and maintaining amicable relations between neighbouring countries?

In view of the hostile spirit and desire for separation with which those declarations are full, my Government must strongly protest against Noori Pasha and the Iraq Government, and request from your Excellency to inform us of the real intentions of the Iraq Government. If her intentions are as declared by Noori Pasha in the name of his Government, it is a matter to be strongly regretted, as peaceful endeavours will be in vain and the good wealth be wasted.

If no official denial of those declarations is made and no definite assurance given to my Government to the effect that what was said by Noori Pasha does not represent the real views of the Iraq Government and the friendly British Government, my Government will be obliged to say that it will be very difficult to be bound by the temporary agreement concluded between His Majesty and the King of Iraq and General Clayton.

I await your Excellency's reply in this connexion.

With respects,

ABDULLAH DAMLAJI,

Minister for Foreign Affairs.

E 3710, 2068, 91)

No. 13.

Colonial Office to Foreign Office — (Received July 28.)

Sir, Downing Street, July 25, 1928.
I AM directed by the Secretary of State for the Colonies to transmit to you, for the information of Secretary Sir Austen Chamberlain, copy of a despatch to Sir G. Clayton, on the subject of the resumed negotiations with Ibn Saud.

I am, &c.

S. H. WILSON

Enclosure in No. 13.

Colonial Office to Sir G. Clayton.

Sir, I AM directed by Mr. Secretary Amery to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 10th July reporting on the progress and the results of your recent mission to Jeddah to negotiate a settlement of outstanding questions with His Majesty King Ibn Saud. The result of your mission is that the Nejd, which has been considered by His Majesty's Government to consist of the desert posts in Iraq, have been considered by His Majesty's Government to consist of the desert posts in Iraq. It has been decided that the Nejd should be

conversations should be resumed as soon as possible, and that the conduct of the resumed negotiations should be entrusted to you, a duty which it is understood that you are prepared to undertake.

In communicating to you the instructions contained in this letter, in the sense of which the concurrence of the Iraq Government and, where necessary, that of the Government of Transjordan has been obtained, I am to explain that these are intended for your general guidance only, and that there is no desire on the part of His Majesty's Government to interfere with your discretion in regard to the precise form or manner in which the various decisions reached should be communicated to Ibn Saud.

2. In regard to the major question at issue, namely, that of the advanced posts in Iraq, you should inform King Ibn Saud that His Majesty's Government regret that they are unable to make any concession of principle. Subject to their treaty engagements, which, in the considered opinion of His Majesty's Government, have in no way been infringed in the present case, the Iraq Government have every right to make what arrangements they please for the administration of their tribes and of their desert areas, and His Majesty's Government cannot accept any interference with this right, the maintenance of which they regard as essentially a British interest. The question of the advanced posts in Iraq, which has not yet been determined, and it is proposed immediately to consider a reorganization of the present system.

The present intention of the Iraq Government is to retain three advanced posts only, apart from such posts as may be required for the protection of the transdesert route and of a pipe-line, if and when constructed, and apart also from posts so remote from the frontier as clearly to be of no interest to King Ibn Saud. Into these two categories would fall the existing posts at Ras al Wa' and Ma'ar al Umm al Qayrawan, of which King Ibn Saud is understood to take exception.

The Government of Iraq, however, must reserve liberty to vary these locations if this is considered desirable hereafter. In the case of Shabicha, it is not proposed at present, unless the situation on the frontier becomes threatening, to proceed with the erection of permanent structures.

3. You should inform King Ibn Saud that you are authorised to communicate to him the assurance of His Majesty's Government and of the Iraq Government that these advanced posts are not designed for offensive purposes, and that Nejd tribes will suffer no interference with customary rights of watering, grazing and peaceful movement at any of the points where advanced posts may be established. Those Governments realize that friendly co-operation with His Majesty is a necessary condition of the satisfactory administration of the tribal areas and the maintenance of peace in the desert, and to this end they would suggest for his serious consideration the appointment of frontier representatives on each side of the border who could confer together in the case of raids or other frontier incidents, and could apprise each other, as also their own Governments, of any happening likely to disturb the tribes and thus the peace of the border. Furthermore, in order that His Majesty may be in a position to satisfy himself that any advanced posts maintained by the Iraq Government are not used for offensive purposes, and that there is no interference with any Nejd tribes in their legitimate pursuits, the Iraq Government would welcome the appointment of a British official to the Iraq frontier representative. You should make it clear to His Majesty that the Iraq frontier representative would not necessarily be a British official. In regard to the question of the type of garrison contemplated for the advanced posts, I am to advise for your information and guidance copies of a telegram addressed to the High Commissioner for Iraq on the 16th July, and of his reply.

4. In the earlier part of the negotiations, you were requested in some detail to explain the words "in the vicinity of the border" used in article 3 of the Uqair Protocol, and you very rightly pointed out that, although His Majesty's interpretation of the words in question may find some support in the Arabic text of the article, that interpretation is one which had never been contemplated and cannot be accepted by His Majesty's Government. Clearly the phrase stands in need of more precise definition and, in order to remove the present ambiguity and thus eliminate the possibility of

* Not printed.

[19085]

C 3

further dispute by the adoption of an agreed definition, His Majesty's Government would suggest that the phrase should be accepted as meaning within 25 miles of the frontier. In proposing this definition to King Ibn Saud you should make it clear that His Majesty's Government regard as excluded from the scope of article 3 of the Uqair Protocol any posts which may be required in connexion with the protection of the transdesert route and pipe line (if constructed), to which as an essentially British interest His Majesty is understood to have no objection in principle.

5. As regards the question raised in paragraph 12 of your report, namely, that of the intention and effect of article 6 of the Bahra Agreement, I am to authorise you to inform King Ibn Saud in writing that His Majesty's Government regard this article as applying to British as well as Iraqi forces. You may also assure His Majesty that His Majesty's Government intend to observe the stipulations of this article, and that British forces will not cross the common frontier in pursuit of offenders. In the case, however, of an organised attack on a township or post—as opposed to a mere tribal raid—or in the event of a series of raids with which His Majesty proves himself unable or unwilling to deal, His Majesty's Government must reserve to themselves the right to take such action as they think fit. When communicating this assurance to King Ibn Saud you should, as suggested in paragraph 20 of your report, take the opportunity of inviting King Ibn Saud to explain the meaning which he attaches to the term "forces" in article 6 when applied to the forces of Nejd. His Majesty's Government agree that it is advisable in order to preclude the possibility either of genuine misunderstanding or of equivocation on his part in the future that an acceptable definition of what must be held to constitute the "forces" of Nejd should be obtained from King Ibn Saud.

6. In your report you refer to the displeasure evinced by King Ibn Saud on learning that British aeroplanes and armoured cars had crossed the Nejd frontier. He himself had expressed his willingness to meet a representative of His Majesty's Government, and (in paragraph 20) you suggest that when communicating to him the explanation of the incidents in question furnished by the High Commissioner for Iraq in his telegrams of the 23rd and the 26th May (copies of which are enclosed for convenience of reference),* you should accompany them with a suitably worded expression of regret. As you are aware, one of the incidents of which King Ibn Saud complained related to an operation undertaken with the object, which was successfully achieved, of preventing a raid by Iraqi tribesmen into Nejd territory. In regard to this incident, while His Majesty's Government recognise that it was not desirable that a raid of this kind should have taken place, they do not consider that a raid at so critical a moment was of such advantage to both parties as to justify the exceptional action taken. The other incidents related to the crossing of the common frontier by aeroplanes and armoured cars engaged upon reconnaissance duties. As you are now aware, on these occasions no bombs were dropped in Nejd territory. In view of the fact that the crossing of the frontier had created a considerable uncertainty then felt as to the ability of King Ibn Saud to re-establish effective control over his tribes, His Majesty's Government consider that any relaxation of precautions at that time would have been unjustified and inconsistent with their responsibility for the defence of Iraq. In these circumstances they consider that the local authorities were justified in continuing reconnaissance across the Nejd frontier until they were satisfied that the meeting between King Ibn Saud and yourself would in fact take place, and that His Majesty would be successful in preventing the serious raid which was then threatened and re-establishing some measure of effective control over his recalcitrant tribes.

His Majesty's Government therefore consider it desirable that the explanation conveyed to King Ibn Saud in regard to these incidents should not take the form of an admission that the action in question was unjustified. Such an admission would, in the circumstances set out above, in their opinion, be unavailing for, nor can it be overlooked in this connexion that an explanation so worded as to admit of being regarded as an admission of error on the part of His Majesty's Government and the Iraq Government would be likely to encourage a demand on the part of King Ibn Saud for the payment of damages. If, therefore, King Ibn Saud raises the question reported in Sir H. Doherty's telegrams of the 23rd and the 26th May and should explain that in the absence of the definite assurance from His Majesty for which His Majesty's Government had asked in regard to the prevention of further raids, and in view of the disquieting and conflicting reports which reached Iraq

* Not printed.

at the time of the conference at Buraidah, the continuance of active reconnaissance as a precautionary measure was considered to be necessary. You may add that His Majesty's Government would not, in view of the terms of article 6 of the Bahra Agreement, have sanctioned these measures but for the critical conditions which then obtained on the frontier. As already indicated in paragraph 5 of this letter, His Majesty's Government regard this article as applying to British as well as to Iraqi forces, and intend to observe its stipulations. The reconnaissances were in fact suspended immediately reassuring information as to the situation was received, and His Majesty's Government much regret that the action taken should have proved a cause of embarrassment to King Ibn Saud.

7. From paragraph 27 of your report it is observed that King Ibn Saud proposes to prefer a claim to compensation in respect of losses suffered by Nejd tribesmen in the course of the operations carried out by the Royal Air Force. His Majesty's Government cannot regard any such claim as admissible, and you should do your

best to dissuade him from making such a claim. You should, however, be prepared to discuss the claim and on account of subsequent raids upon Iraqi tribes. If, however, you are satisfied that King Ibn Saud is sincere in his desire to reach an arrangement with the Iraq Government in respect of the Bahra Agreement, and at the same time the withdrawal of his claim in respect of the effect of endangering a settlement, you are authorised in the last resort to acquiesce in an arrangement whereby the claim of the Iraq Government for compensation in respect of losses suffered by Iraqi tribesmen in the course of the operations carried out by the Royal Air Force for bombing operations, and these two claims would then be allowed to cancel out, while the remaining and financially more important Iraq claims in respect of subsequent raids would be referred to the special tribunal contemplated in article 2 of the Bahra Agreement.

8. In paragraphs 31 to 36 of your report you have recorded the results of your discussions with King Ibn Saud and of subsequent conferences at Jerusalem on questions arising out of past raids across the Nejd Transjordan frontier. You are authorised to inform King Ibn Saud as is proposed in paragraph 34 of your report, that His Majesty's Government are prepared to accept the proposal that the arbitrator proposed in clause 5 of the draft terms of reference to the arbitrator who is to adjudicate upon past raids, they are prepared to give him an assurance to the effect that the arbitrator will be fully informed of his view that all past claims which were not settled by the arbitrator should be referred to him for his decision. You are also authorised to adopt the procedure proposed in your report (paragraph 34) for obtaining the agreement, which will be necessary for the purpose of the appointment of the arbitrator. You have been informed of the views of His Highness the Amir of Transjordan in regard to the draft terms of reference to the arbitrator, and it is understood that you will bear them in mind in your report to His Majesty. You are authorised to inform King Ibn Saud that the arbitrator is to be appointed. As regards the proposal at the end of paragraph 36 of your report that King Ibn Saud should be requested to take prompt and adequate measures to deal with the situation created by recent raids carried out by Transjordan tribes on tribes who are subject to him, The High Commissioner for Transjordan has been requested to furnish you with full particulars of the action already taken by the Transjordan Government in the matter. He has represented that it is important that King Ibn Saud should take similar action in regard to the attack made by the Rualla tribe on the Beni Sakhr tribe in February last. When communicating to His Majesty the proposed assurance on behalf of the Transjordan Government you will no doubt obtain a similar undertaking from him and, if possible, a statement of the action already taken by him to deal with the situation created by the raid of February last.

9. Subject to an acceptable arrangement in the matter of the advanced posts, His Majesty's Government trust that you will do your utmost to secure a satisfactory conclusion to the negotiations for a *bon coinage* agreement and an extradition agreement between Iraq and Nejd, which were in progress when your conversations with King Ibn Saud were temporarily suspended. In the interval, the Iraq Government will doubtless have had an opportunity of considering the report of their own representative at Jeddah on the questions raised in those negotiations, and will now

be in a position to make a statement on the subject to the High Commissioner for Transjordan.

view with favour the proposal for the conclusion of similar agreements between Transjordan and Nejd, and are prepared to advise the Transjordan Government to enter into such agreements subject to the settlement in due course of satisfactory drafts.

10. In paragraphs 41 and 42 of your report you refer to the desire of King Ibn Saud to appoint accredited Nejd representatives in Bagdad and Jerusalem. This proposal has been communicated to the Iraq Government, who have expressed their willingness, subject, of course, to an acceptable arrangement in the matter of the posts, to receive accredited representatives of King Ibn Saud and to accord to His Majesty the formal recognition of his regime in the Hejaz which the reception of an accredited Nejd representative would involve. The arrangement by appointing an accredited Iraqi representative in the Hejaz. It is not clear whether for the purposes of representation abroad King Ibn Saud desires the Hejaz and Nejd as separate kingdoms or as one State, and, consequently, he would wish to appoint to Iraq and to receive from King Faisal two representatives or one. If the question of representation is raised in your conversation with you should ascertain the wishes of King Ibn Saud in this matter, and also in which of his towns he would wish the accredited representative or representatives of Iraq to reside, bearing in mind that the Iraq Government desire to be represented at Riyadh.

The similar proposal for the appointment of an accredited Nejd representative at Jerusalem, whose credentials would cover both Palestine and Transjordan, has been communicated to the Governments of those territories who have expressed their willingness to accept such a representative, and the Transjordan Government, who have not hitherto done so, have agreed to accord to His Majesty formal recognition of his régime in the Hejaz provided that King Ibn Saud for his part will recognize the independent Government of Transjordan under the rule of His Highness the Amir, which formally will come into being on the ratification of the agreement between His Britannic Majesty and His Highness the Amir, signed at Jerusalem on the 20th February, 1928.

11. A separate letter will be addressed to you in regard to the arrangements for your return to the Hejaz, and in regard to the composition of your mission.

I am, &c

J. E. SHUCKBURGH

E 3775 2088 91]

No. 14.

Colonial Office to Foreign Office.—(Received July 30)

(Secret.)

Sir,

Downing Street, July 30, 1928.

I AM directed by Mr. [redacted] to inform you that I have received from Secretary Sir Austen Chamberlain, the accompanying copy of a report by Sir Gilbert Clayton on the relations between Ibn Saud and the Italian Government and between Ibn Saud and the Imam of the Yemen.

2. Copies of this report are being communicated to the India Office, Admiralty, War Office and Air Ministry, and also to the Resident at Aden.

I am, &c

T. I. K. LLOYD

Enclosure in No. 14.

Sir G. Clayton to Mr. Amery

Sir,

Colonial Office, July 16, 1928

I HAVE the honour to report the substance of a long conversation which I had with His Majesty the King of the Hejaz during my recent visit to Jeddah on the subject of Italian policy and activities in the Red Sea. The King had evinced a desire to devote one of our interviews to this purpose.

2. I opened the conversation by reminding Ibn Saud that, in a letter sent to Lord Lloyd through Shukh Hafez Wahba, he had expressed anxiety at the turn

events were taking in relation to Italian activities in Arabia and the Red Sea, and had asked His Majesty's Government for a statement of their views. I then informed him that the Italian Government had made friendly representations to the Italian Government in accordance with the spirit of the understanding arrived at in Rome in February 1927, with which His Majesty was fully satisfied. His Majesty's Government that they were carrying out the spirit of that understanding.

3. Ibn Saud replied at some length, and seized an opportunity, for which he had evidently been waiting, to expound his views on Italian policy and on the kindred question of the Yemen. His statement was interesting and valuable, and I was struck by his evident frankness.

4. The position, according to Ibn Saud, is that he has no aggressive designs on the Yemen, but is suspicious of the Imam's intentions and disturbed by the fear that Italy may have some secret pact of a nature to bring about her armed intervention in any conflict that may arise between him and the Imam. He dwelt at some length on the pacific nature of his attitude, and gave me the following interesting sketch of the lines on which he would be willing to conclude a settlement of the vexed question of Asir between himself and the Imam. The main points of a settlement would be—

- (a) That he would leave the Imam in possession of Hofeida, to which he recognized the Imam's clear right.
- (b) That he would insist on Nadi being included in the Imam's territory, as being the natural port for Suva and the interior of Asir.
- (c) That he would insist on the inclusion of the Province of Najran within his own (i.e., Ibn Saud's) dominions, as being a traditional part of his forefathers' realm.
- (d) That he would agree to the fate of the tribes in the border regions being determined by a fair plebiscite, the result of which both parties would previously bind themselves to accept.

5. I repeated to him the Italian statement of intentions, which I would convey to His Majesty's Government, who were, as I had previously informed him, anxious to see a state of peace established between the rulers of Arabia, although maintaining that their differences were primarily a matter for settlement between the rulers themselves. I also informed him that, in answer to enquiries from His Majesty's Government, the Italian Government had categorically denied the existence of any secret agreement between themselves and the Imam, and His Majesty's Government were fully satisfied that no such agreement existed. It was inconceivable that a Power like Italy would make such a statement unless it was true.

6. Ibn Saud went on to say that, in spite of Italy's denial of any secret agreement, he could not remain blind to the contrary indications which had come to his notice. He expressed his firm conviction that the policy of His Majesty's Government was based on a genuine desire to avoid interference in the internal affairs of Arabia, and he informed me that, as recently as three days previously, he had given public expression to that conviction before a large concourse of Arab chiefs and delegates at Mecca. He was not satisfied, however, that Italian policy was inspired by honest motives. He was struck and disturbed by their apparent desire to tie him down to certain undertakings in regard to questions which he regarded as being for his decision alone. He had even withheld his assent to an engagement made in regard to his accepting a stipulation relating to Asir. The Italian consul in Jeddah had been to see him on the previous day and had offered him, on behalf of his Government, unconditional recognition of his kingdom on condition that the Province of Asir was excluded from his realm. His Majesty's Government, however, had asked the consul to inform the Italian Government that unconditional recognition of his kingdom must be the prelude to any serious discussions between himself and Italy.

7. It was obvious that Ibn Saud had this question very much to heart, and I thought it desirable to allow him to give expression to his views. On the other hand, in view of the delicacy of the question, I had to be careful lest a tacit acceptance of his statement might be misinterpreted. I therefore replied in the following terms, which His Majesty's Government envisaged this question, and emphasizing the complete understanding which existed between them and the Italian Government.

8. The King went on to speak at greater length of his anxiety as to the possible consequences of a conflict between him and the Imam. The Imam's rule in the Yemen was so insecure, not only over his own tribesmen, but also over the Shafe'is and Zaidis in his dominions, that it would be a comparatively easy matter for him (Ibn Saud) to take advantage of the psychological factors of the situation and bring under his sway the greater part of the Yemen by political rather than military action. He had no intention whatever of doing so, but he was anxious lest, in the event of a conflict arising between him and the Imam, the Italian Government might feel bound to come to the assistance of their ally. He asked with animation what his position would be if, being at war with the Imam, he were to hear that Italian warships had arrived at Hodeida.

9. I took care in replying to this delicate question to make it perfectly clear that in no circumstances would there be any question of British intervention and that it was a cardinal point in the policy of His Majesty's Government not to interfere in the internal affairs of Arabia. It is highly improbable that such a situation could ever arise or that Italy would ever allow herself to be drawn into a conflict between two Arabian rulers. I repeated that the policy of His Majesty's Government was, as I had previously informed His Majesty, one of non-interference and of friendly co-operation with Italy on that basis.

10. The King declared himself fully aware and appreciative of the clear attitude of His Majesty's Government. He added that the statement which he had just made to me was not inspired by any expectations of, still less by any desire for, trouble on his part. What he desired above all was peace. At the same time, he had felt bound to state his position frankly to me, in the first place, because he felt that it was in his own interests that His Majesty's Government should know exactly

his position and secondly, because he felt it incumbent on him to state his views fully. He reiterated and I believe genuinely, his firm belief in the honourable neutrality which His Majesty's Government had maintained in Arabia and said that he would always make a point of keeping His Majesty's Government informed of the realities of the situation partly because it was in the interests of both sides to know the truth, and partly for the sake of the old ties of friendship between them. He felt also that, by fully informing His Majesty's Government, he would secure the benefit of their advice and guidance.

11. His Majesty concluded by emphasising the strictly confidential nature of the statement which he had just made to me, and I promised to respect his confidence.

I have &c

GILBERT CLAYTON

E 3792 3406 91]

No. 15

Sir Auston Chamberlain to Mr. Stonchewer Bird (Jeddah)

(No. 36)

(Telegraphic) R

Foreign Office, August 1, 1928.

FOLLOWING for Sir G. Clayton —

"Sir P. Cox, who has been consulted as to implications of article 3 of Uqair Protocol, states definitely that there was no secret, separate or additional agreement or understanding providing for any interpretation of text beyond that which it obviously conveys. Object of agreement was to define boundary within which on Iraq side Iraqi tribes could graze in security and across which Nejdian tribes might not raid. Sir P. Cox cannot admit that the words 'in the vicinity of,' either in English or Arabic version, were intended to extend to the distance claimed by Ibn Saud, and states that the words signified to his own mind, and he is sure to that of Ibn Saud at the time, 'within rifle shot' or 'within sight' of tribes using water holes on frontier. He regards 10 or 15 miles from the frontier as a most liberal estimate.

"Text of Sir P. Cox's letter follows by next bag."

E 3912 2068 91]

No. 16

Agent, Jeddah, to Sir Auston Chamberlain — (Received August 5.)

(No. 37)

(Telegraphic) P.

Jeddah, August 7, 1928.

FOLLOWING from Sir G. Clayton —

Negotiations commenced on the 2nd instant with Ibn Saud are now practically completed. Over the question of posts no agreement has been reached.

"He still persists in regarding his interpretation of article 3 of Uqair Protocol as correct. He cannot be brought to accept any other, and persists in his original point of view that the demolition of the posts constitutes the only solution. I have failed to move him, though I brought to bear on him all the inducements and arguments at my disposal. Suwaidi has been no more successful in his interviews with the King and his advisers.

With regard to the question of the posts, I have been unable to reach any agreement with Ibn Saud. He insists that the posts should be demolished, and that the boundary should be defined by a line of posts. I have explained to him that the posts are only a temporary measure, and that the boundary should be defined by a line of posts. He has refused to accept this, and insists that the posts should be demolished.

I have explained to him that the posts are only a temporary measure, and that the boundary should be defined by a line of posts. He has refused to accept this, and insists that the posts should be demolished. I have explained to him that the posts are only a temporary measure, and that the boundary should be defined by a line of posts. He has refused to accept this, and insists that the posts should be demolished. I shall telegraph to you more fully on this point and give you my general appreciation of the situation before I sail.

"I shall arrive in London about the 21st August, leaving for Port Said on the 9th August, and there embarking on Bibby Line steamship 'Chedure' for Marseilles."

E 3951 2068 91]

No. 17

Agent, Jeddah, to Lord Cushendun — (Received August 10.)

(No. 70)

(Telegraphic) P.

Jeddah, August 10, 1928.

FOLLOWING from Sir G. Clayton —

"This continues my telegram No. 68 of the 7th August. Nothing has been heard of the posts since they were demolished yesterday, his attitude remained unchanged.

"I am, however, impressed by the absence of any hostile or unfriendly indications on his part, and I feel sure of the genuineness of his desire to avoid grave disturbances on border and to make over the frontier. He is chiefly influenced by considerations of public opinion and probably believes that a refusal to arrive at an agreement may strengthen his control and thus make a serious outbreak unlikely. I fully realise that Iraq Government must first think of defence of their people and that constant vigilance and perhaps special preparations will be necessary to ensure this. But I consider it essential that terms of suspension agreed upon last May should be observed with utmost strictness until they are definitely terminated in a formal communication to Ibn Saud by His Majesty's Government, as a result of my report when I reach London. Moreover, I am of opinion that even after this termination policy should be to observe strictly the terms of existing agreements and give no cause for complaint to Nejd Government. In this way any trouble that may arise will be due to them and will put Ibn Saud and his Government obviously in the wrong."

"There will almost certainly be effervescence and probably some raids in the autumn season, but I hope they will be treated strictly in accordance with provisions of existing treaties unless they are so serious and continuous as to render abnormal action inevitable or unless a definite attack on Iraq should develop. I believe that with exercise of patience and forbearance there is a reasonable chance of the situation returning to normal in the course of a few months. It is possible, however, that the situation may possibly lead to an explosion."

"I have informed Nejd Government that Iraq Government reserve their rights in regard to compensation for attack on Busayyah, and that, as regards subsequent raids, they will in due course submit claims to be dealt with in accordance with provisions of Bahra Agreement."

"I have also informed the Iraq Government that the draft notice courteously submitted to me by the Hejaz and Nejd Governments before insertion in the local press is harmless. They trust that any notice of a similar character which may appear in London and Bagdad may be free from provocation and in derate. Suwaidi and his staff leave tomorrow for Suez by Khedivial Mail. I sail this morning for Port Sudan."

E 3971 3971 91]

No. 15

Agent, Jeddah, to Lord Cusheendun.—(Received August 11)

(No. 72)

(Telegraphic) P.

Jeddah, August 10, 1928.

MINISTER FOR FOREIGN AFFAIRS, BAGDAD.—The meeting of Hejazis reported in the "Iraq" newspaper of the 26th July to have been held in the house of Amir Ali bin Nasir. He holds that a breach of article 2 of Treaty of Jeddah is constituted by this meeting, at which inflammatory anti-Nejd speeches were made.

I should be glad of information, and in the meantime have told the Minister for Foreign Affairs that I would ask you to furnish me with an official version of the facts before transmitting his protest.

(Repeated to Bagdad, No. 148.)

E 3972, 2068 91]

No. 19

Agent, Jeddah, to Lord Cusheendun.—(Received August 11)

(No. 73)

(Telegraphic) R.

Jeddah, August 10, 1928.

COMMUNIQUE referred to in my telegram No. 70 has been published. It is to the effect that, in spite of the goodwill actuating both parties, no final solution was arrived at owing to non-agreement on the subject of the posts, erection of which Nejd Governmental regulations conflict with the Cairo Protocol. Nevertheless Government will continue to act towards their neighbours in a spirit of concord and in accordance with treaties in force.

(Repeated to Bagdad and Jerusalem, No. 149)

E 4031, 4031/91]

No. 20.

Mr. Stonehever Bird to Lord Cusheendun.—(Received August 14)

No. 119.)

Sir,

Jeddah, July 29, 1928.

I HAVE the honour to transmit herewith a translation of the Royal decree issued governing the organization of the Legislative Council.

2. The Viceroy is the permanent president. A permanent vice-president will be nominated by the King, and a second vice-president will be elected by the members of the council.

3. The number of members composing the council will be limited by Royal decree, and the period of membership will be two years. Members must be not less

than 25 years of age, be men of education and experience and must not have been convicted of any crime or be bankrupt.

4. The council will deal with the budgets of Government Departments and of municipalities. All concessions, economic and financial schemes and all Government contracts exceeding £200 will be submitted to the council, as will all questions regarding the engagement of foreign employees.

5. The council will be divided into two committees, each of which will study the questions referred to it and submit its recommendations to the final decision of the council.

6. The council will meet daily. Two-thirds of the council constitute a quorum, and decisions will follow the vote of the majority.

7. If the council reject a scheme submitted by the Government, the Viceroy may call upon the council to reverse its decision, and, if it refuses to do so, may refer the question to the King for final decision.

8. Where a scheme submitted by a Government Department is under discussion, the head of the department will be present at the deliberations of the council.

9. The King has the right to dissolve the council or to make changes in the membership at any time.

10. The most interesting clause from the point of view of the foreigner is article (5) (i), which lays down that any Government contract exceeding £200 must be submitted to the council. If adhered to, this decision should go far to ensure that Government contracts are not, as has so often happened lately, the subject of personal arrangements between members of the Government and their friends.

11. I have addressed a copy of this despatch and its enclosure to the Government of India and Singapore.

I have &c

F. H. W. STONEHEVER BIRD

Enclosure in No. 20.

Organization of the Legislative Council

(Translation)

Article 1. The Legislative Council will be constituted of the number of members to be limited by Royal decree.

Art. 2. The President of the Legislative Council is the Viceroy. A permanent representative of the Viceroy will be appointed by His Majesty. Another representative will be chosen by the council to act in the absence of the first representative.

Art. 3. The period of membership of the Legislative Council will be two years.

Art. 4. Members in the Legislative Council must fulfil the following conditions:—

- (a) Be not less than 25 years of age
- (b) Be men of experience and knowledge
- (c) Not have been condemned for any dishonouring thing and not bankrupt
- (d) Of good conduct.

Art. 5. The following will be referred to the council by the Government:—

- (a) Budgets of Government Departments, the municipality and "Ain Zubaida."
- (b) Licences for economic schemes and schemes of development.
- (c) Concessions and economic and financial schemes.
- (d) Expropriations for public utility.
- (e) Introduction of laws and regulations.
- (f) Increases in budgets of departments during the year.
- (g) Unforeseen expenditure in Government Departments amounting to more than £100 and arising during the course of the year.
- (h) Decisions concerning the employment of foreign employees.
- (i) Contracts with companies or merchants for the purchase or sale of Government materials if exceeding £200.

Art. 6. The council will be divided into two committees, each of which will study the subjects referred to it by the president and submit its recommendations to a general meeting of the council for final decision.

10 The pilgrimage may now be said to be over. There remain only a few hundred Indian pilgrims for whose repatriation a ship will be available in a few days' time. The total number of overseas pilgrims is now stated officially to be 100,749,835. The figures attained last year, may yet be considered satisfactory. The Government have, however, must be set signs of greater religious intolerance and interference in the performance of special rites by different sects. The Sunnis suffered in the person of Maulvi Mushtaq Ahmed, whose valorous open defence of his beliefs outran his discretion to such an extent that he thought it necessary to declare before an

13. The second stage involved determining the number of these three species. My own interest was restricted to *M. sinensis*. The study of *S. sinensis* was sent to Peter Salgan.

No. 84.

(No. 126. Confidential.)
My Lord,

Jeddah, August 10, 1928

I HAVE the honour to transmit herewith, with reference to my telegram No. 75 of yesterday's date, a translation of the official communiqué issued by the Hejaz Nejd Government on the subject of the recent conversations between Ibn Saud and Sir Gilbert Clayton.

[19083]

2 As foreseen in Sir Gilbert Clayton's telegram of the 9th August (my telegram N 70) the tone of the communiqué is moderate and unprovocative.

3 I understand that Mr. Philby and Reuter's agent yesterday telegraphed the text for insertion in the British press. The former I gather, sent a long wire which probably contained much of his own comment.

4 (Confidential.) Tewfik-es-Suaidi the Iraq delegate had during the course of the negotiations long talks with Philby, and from the accounts of those present was most indiscreet. His utterances were duly communicated to the King by Philby, who informed His Majesty that he was drafting a telegram to his newspaper in England embodying the information so obtained.

5 The King instructed Philby not to do so: the mission might thereby be embarrassed. Suaidi throughout his stay here was distressingly outspoken in all

as they were attracted by his jovial personality.

6 I have addressed copies of the communiqué enclosure in this despatch to those posts to which the Jeddah monthly report is distributed.

I have, &c

F H W STONCHURCH BIRD

Enclosure in No. 24.

Communiqué from the Press Department

(Translation.)

NEGOTIATIONS have been resumed between His Majesty the King and his representatives on one part and the British Mission, headed by General Sir Gilbert Clayton, on the other, on the questions the completion of the former discussion of which was postponed until the end of the pilgrimage season.

In spite of a sincere intention to reach a successful conclusion, the question of the demolition of the guard posts built by the Iraq Government and which the Nejd Government considers contrary to the third clause of the Uqair Protocol stood in the way of arriving at a result satisfactory to both parties.

The difference in the points of view of the negotiating parties led to the negotiations being broken off without reaching a final solution.

Notwithstanding the obstacles which prevented the success of the negotiations, His Majesty's Government will continue to deal with her neighbours as dictated by the spirit of concord and in accordance with the texts of the treaties they have concluded.

E 4297, 3971 91,

No. 25

Lord Cushendun to Mr. Stonchur Church Bird (Jeddah)

(No. 70)

Dear Sir,

Foreign Office, September 4, 1928

I have received your letter of 19th August.

I have also received your letter of 19th August, and I am sorry to hear that you were not able to visit the King.

I have also received your letter of 19th August, and I am sorry to hear that you were not able to visit the King.

I have also received your letter of 19th August, and I am sorry to hear that you were not able to visit the King.

I have also received your letter of 19th August, and I am sorry to hear that you were not able to visit the King.

I have also received your letter of 19th August, and I am sorry to hear that you were not able to visit the King.

I have also received your letter of 19th August, and I am sorry to hear that you were not able to visit the King.

I have also received your letter of 19th August, and I am sorry to hear that you were not able to visit the King.

I have also received your letter of 19th August, and I am sorry to hear that you were not able to visit the King.

I have also received your letter of 19th August, and I am sorry to hear that you were not able to visit the King.

I have also received your letter of 19th August, and I am sorry to hear that you were not able to visit the King.

I have also received your letter of 19th August, and I am sorry to hear that you were not able to visit the King.

I have also received your letter of 19th August, and I am sorry to hear that you were not able to visit the King.

E 4431, 218, 91]

No. 26

Agent, Jeddah, to Lord Cushendun, (Received September 6)

(No. 81)

(No. 81)

Jeddah, September 5, 1928

11 N. S. AUD left for Riyadh yesterday, where he expects to arrive 9th September. Repeated to Bagdad and Jerusalem, No. 140.

E 4437 2068/91]

No. 27

Foreign Office to Mr. Stonchur Church Bird (Jeddah)

(No. 181)

Sir,

Foreign Office, September 6, 1928.

I AM directed by Lord Cushendun to transmit to you herewith a letter addressed to His Majesty the King of the Hejaz and of Nejd by Sir Gilbert Clayton, and to request that you will arrange for its delivery to the King.

2. A copy of the letter is enclosed for your information.

I am, &c.

H. J. SEYMOUR

Enclosure in No. 27.

Sir G. Clayton to the King of the Hejaz and of Nejd.

Your Majesty,

London, September 5, 1928.

I VENTURE to inform your Majesty of my safe return to England after a pleasant journey, which went far towards restoring me to complete health.

Immediately on my arrival in London I caused your letter to be delivered to His Majesty the King. I also lost no time in reporting the result of my mission to His Majesty's Government, who will, in due course, confirm through the usual channels the arrangements which I have made with your Majesty.

I seize this opportunity of expressing once more my sincere gratitude for the unvarying kindness and consideration with which I have always been treated by your Majesty. My visits to your Majesty will always remain with me as a very happy memory, and I trust that I may have the privilege of meeting your Majesty yet again in the future.

Expressing sincere wishes for your Majesty's continued good health and prosperity, I remain, &c.

GILBERT CLAYTON

E 4337 2068 91]

No. 28.

Colonial Office to Foreign Office (Received September 7.)

Sir,

Colonial Office, September 7, 1928

WITH reference to Colonial Office letter of the 25th July last, enclosing a copy of the instructions which were issued to Sir Gilbert Clayton on the 19th July in regard to the conduct of his resumed negotiations with Ibn Saud, I am directed by Mr. Secretary of State to transmit herewith to the Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, a copy of the report on those negotiations which has been received from Sir Gilbert Clayton, together with copies of the annexures thereto.

2. A further communication on the subject will be addressed to you in due course.

I am, &c.

A. J. HARDING

* Not printed

Enclosure in No. 29.

Sir G. Clayton to Mr. Amery

Mr. [redacted] 3 1925
 IN continuation of my report of the 1st July 1925, I have the pleasure to inform you that the mission, together with the Iraq delegation, arrived on the 29th July in Port Sudan, where the usual official reception was accorded by the local authorities. The mission, consisting of Mr. Antonius, Mr. [redacted] and Mr. [redacted], was accompanied by Mr. [redacted] as confidential clerk and stenographer.

The mission, together with the Iraq delegation, arrived on the 29th July in Port Sudan, where the usual official reception was accorded by the local authorities.

4. Ibn Saud arrived in Jeddah from Taif travelling by way of Mecca, on the 1st August, on which day the mission and the Iraq delegation were received separately in formal audience. At this audience I handed to Ibn Saud, with due ceremony, a letter from His Majesty the King, which he received with great pleasure. It was then arranged to reopen conversations on the following morning.

5. A full and complete record of the proceedings of the 2nd August. Three meetings were held in quick succession, but on the following Saturday a sharp attack of fever incapacitated me and kept me in bed for four days. On the fifth day, namely, the 8th August, I was able to attend the fourth and last formal meeting with the King. A full record of the proceedings at those meetings, and of an interview which Mr. Antonius had with the King during my illness, will be found in Annexure 1*. Concurrently with those meetings, a series of conferences were held by Mr. Antonius in committee with the King's advisers, of which an account is attached in Annexure 2*. The members of the Iraq delegation were granted one audience by the King, and held conferences with his advisers. I was kept fully informed by Tawfiq Bey Suwaidi of the trend of those discussions, but I have had no written report from him.

6. On the eve of the opening of formal negotiations, Mr. Antonius had a long conversation with the King's advisers from which it was evident that Ibn Saud had not receded from his attitude in regard to the interpretation of article 3 of the Uqair Protocol and the cognate question of the advanced posts. I decided, therefore, that the mission must be reached on these two cardinal points before the Iraq delegation entered into the discussions. I also came to the conclusion, bearing in mind that direct methods appealed to Ibn Saud, that further discussion and negotiation would serve no useful purpose, and that the proper course was to lay the whole situation before him and to seek a decision of principle on the major point at issue.

7. I therefore informed Ibn Saud, in the course of our first two meetings, of the decisions which His Majesty's Government had reached in regard to the interpretation of article 3 of the Uqair Protocol and the question of the posts, emphasising particularly the close attention which the matter had received in the highest quarters and the full consideration which had been given to his views and arguments, which I had conveyed faithfully and in full to His Majesty's Government.

8. I then explained fully the policy of the Iraq Government and the assurance which they were prepared to give, in regard to the advanced posts, and dealt in general terms with the various other questions which I was empowered to discuss and negotiate, pointing out that His Majesty's Government and the Iraq Government, though unable to give way on the main principle involved, were prepared to go to great lengths to meet the Hejaz and Nejd Government in various other questions to which Ibn Saud had always expressed himself as attaching great importance.

9. I concluded by urging the King to consider the situation as a whole, and to reflect deeply before deciding to maintain an attitude with which His Majesty's Government could never agree, and which would not only preclude a favourable settlement of such questions as extradition, *bon voisinage*, surrender of offenders

* Not printed

tribesmen and recognition of the Kingdom of the Hejaz and Nejd Government in Jordan, but might even endanger his relations with the British Empire.

10. Ibn Saud replied to this recapitulation of the main features of the situation by a very calm and friendly, but quite unequivocal, statement to the effect that he had given to the whole question his full and careful consideration, and that he reconsidered his attitude. He was convinced that his interpretation of article 3 was based on the spirit of the conversation at Uqair, in spite of anything Sir Percy Cox might now say, and he repeated that he would never have signed the protocol or ratified the Muhammara Convention if he had entertained any doubts on the matter. He deeply regretted to find that His Majesty's Government were now denying the principles on which, in his conviction, the Uqair Protocol had been based.

11. In any case, on the question of the advanced posts, he was now pledged to his people, who would never be content with mere assurances in a matter which he held to be one of life and death to them and in which he shared their views to the full. He was still inspired by unalterable feelings of friendship towards Great Britain and a determination to respect existing agreements, and he was convinced that a policy of co-operation with His Majesty's Government was in the best interests of his country, but in this particular question he was powerless to subscribe to the decision which His Majesty's Government had seen fit to take.

12. I am convinced that Ibn Saud was sincere in this expression of his views. From whatever angle I approached the question, he would put forward arguments which were clear, firm and unequivocal, and strictly consistent with his general attitude as he had defined it at the very outset of our negotiations. On one occasion, he confirmed his statements by one of the most solemn oaths which it is possible for a Muslim to take. I did not consider further argument either advisable or useful.

13. At the end of the 2nd August, I had a long conversation with the King, during which he expressed his appreciation of the mission's efforts, and I realised that further argument would be fruitless.

14. I, therefore, informed the King of the decisions which His Majesty's Government had reached, and I added that I should request him to inform His Majesty's Government of the result of his decision. He agreed to do so, and I realised that further argument would be fruitless.

15. I, therefore, informed the King of the decisions which His Majesty's Government had reached, and I added that I should request him to inform His Majesty's Government of the result of his decision. He agreed to do so, and I realised that further argument would be fruitless.

16. I, therefore, informed the King of the decisions which His Majesty's Government had reached, and I added that I should request him to inform His Majesty's Government of the result of his decision. He agreed to do so, and I realised that further argument would be fruitless.

17. I, therefore, informed the King of the decisions which His Majesty's Government had reached, and I added that I should request him to inform His Majesty's Government of the result of his decision. He agreed to do so, and I realised that further argument would be fruitless.

18. I, therefore, informed the King of the decisions which His Majesty's Government had reached, and I added that I should request him to inform His Majesty's Government of the result of his decision. He agreed to do so, and I realised that further argument would be fruitless.

19. He appears convinced that his obligations towards his people in Nejd

[19083]

D 3

render it impossible for him to give way, and it is probable that he hopes, by holding influence to an extent which will enable him to keep them in hand and prevent any serious outbreak.

19 If this is a correct appreciation, there is justifiable hope that the situation may resolve itself in the course of time, provided no untoward incidents on the frontier inflame it afresh.

20 I recommend, therefore, that the policy to be adopted be one of scrupulous observance of existing agreements, and that, above all, no violation of the Nejd frontier be permitted, unless a situation of such gravity should arise as to render abnormal measures unavoidable and entirely justifiable. There will almost certainly be unrest, and possibly a recrudescence of raiding across the frontier in the coming autumn and winter, but the line between raiding and deliberate hostile attack should be kept clearly defined. Constant vigilance and a carefully thought-out defensive organisation will be essential, but I submit that the measures taken should be such as cannot be construed as violating the provisions of existing agreements, otherwise they will inevitably provoke the very danger which they are designed to meet, and may produce a situation which will commit the Iraq Government to indefinite embarrassment and expense.

21 To summarise the questions which I was instructed by the terms of your letter under reference to discuss with Ibn Saud —

(a.) The major issues of the interpretation of article 3 of the Uqair Protocol and of the advanced posts have been dealt with above.

(b.) The proposed extradition and *don outrage* agreements were not discussed with the Hejaz and Nejd Government, either by my mission or by the Iraq delegation, as our instructions laid down clearly that their negotiation and conclusion were conditional upon an acceptable settlement in regard to the question of the posts. I was not reluctant to drop these agreements for the present, as, in view of the distrust and suspicion of the Iraq Government which exists in the minds of the King's advisers, and, indeed, of Ibn Saud himself, I feel that they would serve no useful purpose at this stage, and would probably only be used as a means of supporting future complaint against the Iraq Government of violation of treaty engagements. They are better reserved until such time as an atmosphere of greater friendliness and mutual confidence may prevail.

(c.) For the same reason the questions of recognition of the Hejaz and Nejd Government were not carried beyond a general intimation to Ibn Saud that they were susceptible of solution, and that the Iraq Government was prepared to make such concessions as might be of use in the future.

(d.) Ibn Saud did not again allude to his vehement protest against the violation of the Nejd frontier in late April last, at a time when friendly conversations had been agreed upon by all parties, though his advisers raised the question at one of their meetings with Mr. Antonius, who gave them a full explanation of the views of His Majesty's Government (see Annexure 2*). I did not, therefore, deem it either necessary or expedient to raise the question with the King.

(e.) I did not consider that any good purpose would be served, in the circumstances, by entering into what could only have been an acrimonious and unprofitable discussion on the subject of compensation. I therefore contented myself with informing the Hejaz and Nejd Government that the Iraq Government must reserve their right to claim compensation for the destruction of life and property at Buzayn, and would also, in due course, submit a claim (under the provisions of the Bahra Agreement) in respect of lives lost and property looted in the course of the raids subsequent to the attack on that post.

(f.) It was also quite obvious that the time had not come in which it was possible to put forward the proposal for the appointment of frontier representatives with any prospect of securing its acceptance. An arrangement of this nature will require to be evolved gradually and a suggestion that it should be made at once on the frontier.

(g.) The question of raids on the Nejd Transjordan border was discussed by Mr. Antonius, on my behalf, with the King's advisers who were fully informed of the measures taken by the Transjordan Government for the punishment of the

* Not printed.

perpetrators and the restoration of loot (see Annexure 2*). The Hejaz and Nejd Government were also asked to furnish, on their side, similar information to the Transjordan Government, which they promised to do on receipt of detailed information which they were expecting to receive in the immediate future.

(A.) With regard to article 6 of the Bahra Agreement, I informed the King at our first meeting of the interpretation placed upon that article by His Majesty's Government. The matter was subsequently raised by the King's advisers in committee with Mr. Antonius, who informed them that His Majesty's Government,

held to apply to Imperial forces in Iraq, were of opinion that it was also desirable to apply it to the forces of the Hejaz and Nejd Government. The King's advisers did not question the fairness of this proposal, but no further reference to this matter was made either by the King or by them.

(i.) The question relating to the proposed arbitration on past raids between Nejd and Transjordan was exhaustively discussed, first in committee between Mr. Antonius and the King, and then at my fourth and last meeting with him. Ibn Saud admitted openly that his insistence on the retention of clause 3 in the proposed terms of arbitration was dictated by a fear lest the large and (as he thinks) unjustified claims submitted by the Transjordan Government to the Jericho Tribunal might mislead the arbitrator into an unfair verdict. I pointed out to him that there was every reasonable guarantee that that would not be the case, and I parted from him on the understanding that he would await the receipt of a formal proposal from His Majesty's Government. I attach the draft of a note (see Annexure 3*) which I suggest should be addressed in identical terms to the Nejd and the Transjordan Governments, in which I have embodied all the conditions agreed upon by both Governments and inserted an assurance which, while it safeguards the right of the Transjordan Government to a fair hearing, might overcome Ibn Saud's objections, in that it ensures that his views on unregistered or belated claims will be fully brought to the notice of the arbitrator.

22 I seized the opportunity to discuss with Ibn Saud the question of means of communication. The delays which were occasioned were due in part to the absence of quick means of communication in Nejd and in part to the frequency of his movements between the Hejaz and Nejd. Mr. Antonius had recently elaborated an organisation for the speedy transmission by motor car of messages and communications from the mandated territories to him through Jeddah, even during his periods of residence in Nejd. He pointed out that, during his absences in Nejd, his Foreign Affairs advisers and staff remained at Mecca or Jeddah; and he had taken the necessary measures to enable them to forward all communications to him by the quickest possible route.

23 During the course of my visit I took a suitable occasion to present to the King an astronomical telescope and a sporting rifle, on behalf of His Majesty's Government. He was evidently much pleased and interested in these presents and asked me to convey his warm thanks to His Majesty's Government.

24 At 10.30 a.m. on the 9th August the mission paid an official visit of farewell to the King. The interview was merely for the purpose of taking my leave. Ibn Saud was most friendly and cordial, and once more assured me of his sincere desire for the maintenance of good relations and close co-operation with His Majesty's Government.

25 At the close of the interview Ibn Saud handed me a personal letter for delivery to His Majesty the King.

26 The mission embarked with the usual ceremony in H.M.S. "Arcturion" at 11.30 a.m. on the 9th August and arrived at Port Sudan on the following day, sailing for England in the Bibby steamship "Cheshire" on the 12th August and reaching London on the 21st August, 1925.

27 The Iraq delegation and Mr. E. C. Harvey left Jeddah on the 10th August by Khedivial mail steamer "Tuf" for Suez. I cannot close this report without expressing my thanks to Mr. B. S. S. for his assistance and co-operation. It is unfortunate that the Iraq delegation were unable, in the circumstances, to achieve any definite results, but I feel that their mission was not entirely

* Not printed.

4. Under the system described above there is thus a double opportunity for any country which may consider that there is a greater need for co-ordination in any matter relating to the pilgrimage to call attention to the point in question at a meeting when it can be effectively and officially considered. Article 117 of the Treaty of Lausanne, on the other hand, makes no provision for regular meetings of the proposed Sanitary Co-ordination Commission, or for the payment of expenses in connexion with them. I am, moreover, informed that, at the International Sanitary Conference of 1926, when parts 2, 3 and 4 of the International Sanitary Convention in their present form, it was generally considered that any action under article 117 of the Treaty of Lausanne would be unnecessary, and no counter-proposal was made by any of the delegations with the object of setting up the Lausanne Co-ordination Commission in place of the arrangements embodied in the Convention.

such a way that they can be authoritatively dealt with by the competent bodies with international authority. For these reasons they would deprecate any change in the existing arrangements, and feel that the position has, since the signature of the Treaty of Lausanne, been modified to a great an extent as to render it unnecessary to contemplate the establishment of the Sanitary Co-ordination Commission then proposed.

\$ 4728 1,911

No 31

No. 1463

(telegraphic) R

Leiden, September 27 1925

At TING Minister for Foreign Affairs states that he has seen in several newspapers account of an interview recently given by you to correspondent of "Palestine Bulletin," in which you are reported as saying you believe Ibn Saud to have lost power over and control of his chiefs and he has not the means of securing their friendship and submission. You are further reported to have said, in answer to correspondent's remark that a solution must be found for the strained relations between Nefz and Iraq, that you think matters will end by a blow falling on Wahabism which will result in their utter destruction.

I told Flint that it was quite impossible that you should have expressed such views. He then requested me to furnish him with a true account of the interview.

[E 4770 484 91]

No 52

Mr. Stanchewer Bird to Lord Cushead. (Received October 2)

(No. 141)

My Lord,

Jednak, August 31, 1928.

I HAVE the honor to transmit herewith my report on the situation in the
Hague for the period the 1st to the 31st August, 1928

2. Copies of this report are being sent by air to the Royal Air Force Officer Commanding in Palestine and Transjordan, Bagdad, Aden, Simla, Beirut, Damascus, Khartum through Port Sudan, Singapore, Lagos (2).

I have, etc

F. H. W. STONEHEWER BIRD

Enclosure 1 in No 32

Jeddah Report for Period August 1 to August 31, 1926

THE King arrived in Jeddah on the 1st August and held his first meeting with Sir Gilbert Clayton that afternoon. The resumed conversations led, as was expected, to no definite result. Ibn Saud maintained his contention that the erection of posts by Iraq constituted a breach of the Uqair Protocol. The communiqué published by the Hejaz Government on the breakdown of relations was moderate and unobjectionable in tone. The breakdown is attributed to disagreement on the subject of the frontier posts, which, in spite of the goodwill shown by both parties, ~~no~~ successful conclusion being reached. In spite of the failure of the negotiations 'the Hejaz Government will continue to deal with its neighbours in a spirit of concord and in accordance with the text of treaties concluded.'

[illegible]

7. The breakdown of the total force of the 1st Battalion, 1st Infantry Division, is as follows:

July owing to the excessive heat prevailing, and their garrisons had been moved to cooler quarters. Early in August these garrisons returned to the posts, which were thus merely brought up to normal strength. The Regaz Government also took pains to conform to the language used by the Iraq Prime Minister in his speech regarding

King Faisal and the Government of Iraq had done their utmost to promote a settlement of the dispute. This statement was construed by the Iraqi Government to mean that Ibn Saud had not shown the same desire to avoid the shedding of blood as had Iraq, and must therefore, be held responsible for any further bloodshed. The Ministry for Foreign Affairs has been given an official explanation of the alleged strengthening of the frontier defence forces and have been informed that the Iraq Prime Minister's statement was unobjectionable both in form and in intention.

Another Hejaz protest was evoked by the reports appearing in the press in London and Cairo, that the British Government had decided to commemorate of Hejazis who fell in the Nejd Hejaz war. It was alleged that the meeting was attended by many members of the Sherrefian family that violent speeches were made in which the British Government was accused of its policy in the Hejaz and liberating the country from its present domination. An answer to the protest has been received in which His Majesty's High Commissioner for Iraq stated that the meeting was a private affair of the Hejazis and that the British servants, and was not of sufficient importance to merit official notice. The Government, however, continue to take a serious view of the matter. The latest edition of the "Um-el Qura" contains an official statement that the Government have decided to take no notice of the protest and that the Hejazis who are in Iraq at the same time probably lose their nationality and their right to reside in the Hejaz.

5. The railway conference at Haifa, convened to discuss technical matters connected with the repair of the Hejaz section of the line, broke down at the outset. The Hejaz delegate, in spite of the fact that he was fully aware of the limited scope of the conference, thought fit to call for a discussion on the question of the ownership and control of the line as a whole. Unless the delegates receive instructions from Ibn Saud to confine themselves to the discussion of the matters for which the

No Moslem conference was held this year, but the King entertained and had frequent conversations with leading Moslems.

The Mahmal was not brought from Egypt, and it now appears as though Egypt will not again provide the holy carpet, at least for as long as Ibn Saud is in power. The main covering for the Kaaba was made in Mecca by Benares weavers specially brought to the Hijaz by the British in 1817. The four red portions (the Hizam) were made in Delhi and brought from India by Ismail and Abdul Wahid Ghaznavi.

On the subject of Egyptian pilgrims showed small decrease on last year's figure. The Khedivial Steamship Company this year obtained the contract for the transport of Egyptian pilgrims and the Khedive's fleet was first.

[illegible][illegible]

North African pilgrims came in approximately the same numbers as last year. Italian North Africans were carried in the "Sofia Pa," the service was well organized and no untoward incidents occurred. The French North African and Syrian pilgrimages was, on the other hand, deplorably mishandled. Tenders were offered for the privilege of transporting pilgrims. Contracts were obtained by rascals with little or no capital, who quoted prices on which a loss was in most cases shown. The service, which in the face of strong competition was the most disgracefully conducted, unfortunately employed a ship flying the British flag, the steamship "Maltona." This ship was chartered by an Italian Tunisian of shady character, who boasted a capital of £250. He had no money to pay landing dues on arrival and was unable to pay for the coal and crew. As the ship was British the charterer Italian, and the pilgrims French, three foreign representatives were involved in the resultant confusion.

2. Statistics.

To obtain accurate statistics of the number and nationality of pilgrims who perform the Haj is difficult. The quarantine authorities issue statistics, but group the pilgrims by race rather than by nationality, under the heading of Levantines. For example, are included all Netherlands East Indies pilgrims, Malays, Chinese, and Philippine Islanders. Figures supplied by consulates are based on the number of passports registered, and do not include children. Moreover, the consulates urge their nationals to register, and even where such registration is obligatory, many pilgrims evade their obligation.

The following list, based on quarantine and consular statistics, shows a total of 446,767 and some pilgrims. It is a ~~very~~ ^{fair} ~~approximate~~ ^{representative} estimate both as regards the total number and as regards the figures for each nationality.

List of Overseas Pilgrims by Nationality

Netherlands East Indies	42,730
British Malaysians	4,418
Various Far Eastern pilgrims (Chinese, Siamese, Philippine Islanders, &c.)	2,246
British Indians	13,954
Afghans	3,022
Bocharans	1,987
Cingalese	88
Sarniwakis	51
Peruvians	3,133
Muscatis	341
Iraqis and Kurds	328
Hejazis	1,169
Hadrans	732
Yemenis	1,242
Nubians	74
Sudanese	296
North Africans	112
French and Portuguese Africans	54
Italians	14,303
Spaniards	1,139
Americans	471
Portuguese	873
Russians	749
Belgians	76
French, Italians and Venezuelans	183
Algerians	141
Moroccans	131
Tunisiens	600
Senegalese	91
West Africans (British and French)	2,051
Unclassified North Africans	406
Sudanese	204

Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.767
--------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	----------------

Statistics furnished by shipping companies show the following numbers of pilgrims as having embarked at the aforementioned ports —

Indian	22,063
Netherlands East Indies	32,008
Malayan	15,270
East Africa	124
Port Sudan	1,874
Algeria	600
Tunisia	11,777
Syria	100
Morocco	556
Russian	739
North African	2,480

Total	87 720
-------	--------

Ships of various nationalities employed on the pilgrimage were as follows —

	1974
French	104
British	29
Italian	21
European	1
Greek	2
Irish	1
Soviet	2

Total	150
-------	-----

The following table shows the number of pilgrims carried by ships of each nationality :—

Flag	Number of pilgrims			
British	51 427
Dutch	32 565
Italian	1,006
Egyptian	31
Greek	961
Russian	749
French	957
Total	87,729

§ Qualitative

As was the case last year Indian and Malay pilgrims were long in their complaints regarding their enforced stay of twenty-four hours at Kamaran, and were envious of the good fortune of their Netherlands East Indies co-religionists, who remain only a few hours and are not obliged to land.

It is, of course, for the Government of India and of the Straits Settlements to decide whether the introduction of a regulation to enforce inoculation and vaccination would meet with opposition, but it is quite clear that the leading pilgrims, at least, would welcome such a regulation as dispersing them with the enforced stay at Karaman. British shipping interests would also profit by the gain of an extra day.

Apart from their objection to the enforced delay, pilgrims had various complaints to make regarding the arrangements. Better and better equipped as they were, they found the waiting conditions, here, that a few extra seats should be at their disposal. They also complained that adequate protection was afforded them from the sun. Malays and Indians complained that they were obliged to await their turn for ablutions clad only in a towel and standing on the bare floor, sometimes for an hour or more, and that this, especially in the winter months, resulted in chills.

As the pilgrimage was declared clean, no ship called at Kamaran on the return voyage.

Owing to reported cases of plague at Suez, ships arriving from the north were, in most cases, stopped at the quarantine station at Suez. The passengers detained on one of the islands for periods varying from one day upwards. The quarantine islands are situated on the coast of Suez, and are well supplied with food and water supply. Plagues were never known to be there, and no medical inspections nor was there any disinfection of their persons or effects.

to medical inspection nor was there any indication of such procedure, since the frequent complaints were made by the crew, especially of the Blue Force, that, against the protestations of the local doctors arriving at the medical inspection, often retarded the departure of ships by several hours. They either did not go out to a ship until two hours after its arrival, or, when they did go out, were unduly slow in completing the necessary formalities. The fault is probably not so much with the doctors themselves as with the Government, which only provides two doctors where four could with advantage be employed during the heavy months of the pilgrimage. One of the doctors enjoys a probably quite unjustified reputation as a clever practitioner. Local officials continually call him away from his regular work to attend their families. On the remaining doctor devolves the duty of visiting two or three ships anchored some 4 miles from the quay in one morning, and it is perhaps only natural that he should wish at times to toy with a cold beer on board or take a well-earned nap ashore.

A fresh endeavour was made this year to induce the Hejaz Government to become a party to the revised Sanitary Convention at present under discussion. The Hejaz had been invited to send a delegate to the regional conference held at Port Sudan in April last, on the excuse that owing to the pilgrim traffic the site fixed was unsuitable, no delegate was sent. The President of the Council, Major C. P. Thomson, held a conversation in Jeddah with Dr. Hamouda, the director of the Hejaz Health Department, but no agreement was reached. The Hejaz Government are rooted in their determination not to ratify the new Paris Convention, as, in spite of all arguments to the contrary, they continue to regard the clauses relating to the Hejaz as derogatory to their sovereign independence.

4. Health

[illegible]

The table of diseases given below shows the rough percentages of cases that occur in the Indian Empire. Malaria is 23, cholera 1, typhoid 1, and dysentery 1. Jeddah is comparatively free.

Dysentery is endemic and accounts for the greatest mortality in the Hejaz both among pilgrims and local inhabitants. Flies, contaminated water and the use of

Small pox is also endemic. No pilgrims were this year infected. There were a few isolated cases of enteric fever.

DISEASES & Treated in Indian Dispensary

	No.	Per cent
Malaria and its complications	23	7
Affections of the respiratory system	18	6
Dysentery	14	5
Diarrhoea	4	25
Other disorders of digestive system	4	25
Circulatory system	0	0
Neuralgia and nervous system	2	0
Urinary system	1	0
Eye	2	0
Ear, nose and throat	1	0
Wounds and lacerations	0	5
Skin diseases	2	0
Heat exhaustion	0	5
Surgery		
Wounds	4	5
Abscesses, boils	1	5
Fractures	0	55
Amputations	1	55
Teeth extractions	2	25

The rate of mortality among pilgrims here was a very striking one. The last year's figure for the district was 14.4 per cent, whereas the rates of all nations are not available, but the health commission does not exceed 5,000 persons than 3 per cent, of the total number of pilgrims. The rate of infant mortality at the Children's Section was among Indians and Malays 16 per cent. The death rate of infants was 3 per cent as against 6 per cent last year and the Malay rate was from 12 to 4 per cent. More than 60 per cent of the total deaths were among the Netherlands East Indies pilgrims who lost more than 3,000 at roughly 7 per cent of the number. Even this figure showed a relatively low loss since by a 10 per cent drop mortality among the lay people is inevitable. There are invariably numbers of children, old men and pregnant women. The aged come with the intention of leaving their bones to be buried while many women seek to give birth to a child in the holy land and to have additional merit both for themselves and their offspring.

The reduction in the number of deaths may be attributed largely to improved conditions. The increased water supply, the erection of shelters, and the ambulance service all contribute to reducing the number of deaths from heat exhaustion, which averaged so greatly last year's figures.

The Government this year introduced an improved system of registration of deaths; monthly lists were forwarded to the consuls of the names of their nationals who died on pilgrimages.

Sanitary conditions in Jeddah were poor, and there was little improvement on last year. The average Indian pilgrim does not bring to the Hejaz sufficient funds to allow of his hiring decent accommodation. Pilgrims crowd into disused store rooms without sanitation, or, if they are wise, they camp out in the streets. There are no public lavatories in Jeddah. As a result, the stench within yards of any wall is overpowering. The intense heat of the sun acting as a natural incinerator alone saves Jeddah from the outbreak of serious epidemics. Houses which boast a drainage system are few and far between. The use of disinfectants is confined to the very few. Flies swarm in millions in the market, and no attempt is made to protect food from contamination. Few Europeans have entirely escaped sickness this season.

Sanitary conditions at Mecca were little better than at Jeddah. Pilgrims unable to afford or to procure decent accommodation crowd into small rooms, one latrine serving perhaps thirty persons. The Mecca streets are said to be dirtier even than those of Jeddah.

The same conditions prevail at Muna, with the exception that the vast majority of the pilgrims here do not live in the same sort of houses as at Jeddah and Mecca. Houses are of a better pattern but are falling into decay.

Though it will be seen that little advance has been made in the direction of providing more sanitary housing conditions, yet credit must be given to the Government for introducing certain definite improvements which undoubtedly reacted favourably on the pilgrims' health and well-being. One of the most noted of these improvements was the erection of shelters along the Mecca-Muna-Arafat road.

Water Supply

The Government erected at Jeddah, at the cost of £16,000, a second condenser of the same type as the first. The supply of water was improved, but the price of water was still high. The Government had to pay for the water at a high price, and the pilgrims had to pay for it at a high price. The Government had to pay for the water at a high price, and the pilgrims had to pay for it at a high price. The Government had to pay for the water at a high price, and the pilgrims had to pay for it at a high price.

At Muna the water supply was also improved. The supply of water was improved, but the price of water was still high. The Government had to pay for the water at a high price, and the pilgrims had to pay for it at a high price. The Government had to pay for the water at a high price, and the pilgrims had to pay for it at a high price.

At Mecca the water supply was also improved. The supply of water was improved, but the price of water was still high. The Government had to pay for the water at a high price, and the pilgrims had to pay for it at a high price. The Government had to pay for the water at a high price, and the pilgrims had to pay for it at a high price.

At Muna the supply was not plentiful, and the pilgrims had to pay for it at a high price. The Government had to pay for the water at a high price, and the pilgrims had to pay for it at a high price. The Government had to pay for the water at a high price, and the pilgrims had to pay for it at a high price. The Government had to pay for the water at a high price, and the pilgrims had to pay for it at a high price.

Another branch of the Health Department's work which showed vast improvement was the collection and disposal of the dead. A certain number of motor ambulances circulated among the pilgrims both on the road and at Muna and Arafat, and the dead were speedily removed. Pilgrims suffering from heat exhaustion were taken up and received immediate medical attention. In this way many lives were saved. The knowledge that they need not provide for themselves would merely induce penniless pilgrims to embark even more lightly than at present.

houses, and deep pits were dug at sufficient distance from the camp. Pilgrims were, however, in many cases slack, and the regulations were not always enforced.

There is still much room for improvement as regards hospitals. Accommodation is very limited. In Jeddah, for example, where there are at times after the pilgrimage as many as 8,000 pilgrims, there are sixty-three beds in the hospital. Hospitals are badly equipped, badly staffed, and inadequate stocks of medicines are kept. The Government has been unable to get the necessary funds for the improvement of the Mahmal, but negotiations are said to have broken down, as the Hejaz Government insisted on absolute control of hospitals so founded.

It is especially in the supply of medicines that the Hejaz hospitals are deficient. Many of the leading Indian pilgrims brought a supply of drugs with them, and this was a great help. The medical staff attached to the agency is insufficient. It is always difficult to decide at what moment the doctor should leave for Mecca and when he should return. The Government has been unable to get the necessary funds for the improvement of the Mahmal, but negotiations are said to have broken down, as the Hejaz Government insisted on absolute control of hospitals so founded. As many thousands of Indian pilgrims are then assembled to await their ships, on the other hand, several thousand remain in Mecca. It is certainly desirable that a second doctor, a sub-assistant surgeon, should be attached to the pilgrimage staff for the last months of the season. The Government has been unable to get the necessary funds for the improvement of the Mahmal, but negotiations are said to have broken down, as the Hejaz Government insisted on absolute control of hospitals so founded. Many young doctors would doubtless be willing to perform the duties of the doctor at Mecca, but the cost to the Government should not be great. The Straits Settlements Government have suggested attaching a Malay dresser to the Indian medical staff. If this proposal is adopted, it will not be necessary to despatch a temporary Indian dresser.

The Indian doctor, Muzaffar Ali, assumed his duties on the 7th February. He early met with a serious disappointment in that the title of vice-consul enjoyed by his predecessor was transferred to the pilgrimage officer. As Muzaffar Ali appears to have accepted the post on the understanding that it carried with it the title of vice-consul, his resentment was natural. It has been suggested that he also should be appointed vice-consul for the duration of his contract and it is hoped that effect may be given to the suggestion. Muzaffar Ali's disappointment has not, however, prevented him from doing his duty and his services have been well and conscientiously performed. A telegram and several letters were received from pilgrims during the season expressing gratitude for the services rendered by him. In his work he was ably seconded by his dispenser, Saiful Rahman.

5. Transport.

Motor

The number of cars employed in transport during the season exceeded 600. The supply more than met the demand, with a result that a great deal of competition was entered into by the various companies engaged. While the fixed Government rates were £15 per passenger for the return journey from Jeddah to Mecca and £14 for the return journey to Mecca, competition was at certain periods so strong that pilgrims often paid only £8 and 12s. for the respective journeys. As the Government taxes levied on the car owners were £6 and 8s. respectively, little or no profit was made. The import of cars was, as a result of this state of affairs, limited towards the middle of the season. As wastage is very high, possibly 20 per cent. of new cars are scrapped during the season, the cost of the limitation of supply was not felt, and prices towards the end of the season were more normal. Profits were, however, very small. The luckier among the owners have paid their expenses and met the cost of their cars, but the important "Saudi" company is said to have suffered a loss of about 5 per cent. of its capital.

All cars and lorries were inspected before departure by the British engineer in charge of the Government vehicles. The number of passengers was fixed according to the strength and power of the car, with reference necessarily to the possible seating accommodation. This ruling caused much distress to those owners who had thought, by fitting large and flimsy bodies to light cars, to make large profits. After the mechanical inspection pilgrims were obliged to take their seats and remain in the cars while the necessary stores of petrol and water were collected.

Serious complaints against mutawwils were fewer this year, though minor complaints were received in great numbers. In most cases the Indian vice-consul

Mutawwifs may imbibe in the Hejaz from various sources subversive doctrines. They are likely to be a leaven to the stricts' persons ever used to inculcate the pilgrims in the Hejaz, but, while in India, they may possibly do so. It is difficult if not impossible to stop mutawwifs from visiting India, except in cases where

houses of teachers is no longer permitted. The reason for this regulation is, however, more probably political than religious. There is a danger of these gatherings of students becoming centres of intrigue.

In Jeddah an unofficial committee was this year formed with the King's sanction to act as a "police des mœurs" or Vigilance Committee. This committee, which is still in existence, is a cause of considerable annoyance. They arrest Moslems on suspicion of drunkenness, they drive the faithful to prayer, they prohibit smoking in the streets or shops, they stop the playing of musical instruments, and they beat adulterers when they are taken in the act. The King has not yet been able to give them grave offence to Jeddah and especially to the local authorities, of whose control they are independent.

8. *Indian Pilgrimage*

The number of pilgrims who sailed from Indian ports this season was 21,109 as against last year's record figure of 36,079. Of this number, 13,934 were British Indians, a decrease of 13,592 on last year.

The following table shows the number of pilgrims from each province of India. —

Bengal	...	5 107	Sind	...	1,110
Punjab	...	2 237	Central Provinces	...	242
Madras	...	604	Delhi	...	100
N W F P	...	450	Other provinces	...	1,944
Baluchistan	...	070			
United Provinces	...	1,172	Total	...	13,954

Foreign pilgrims who sailed from Indian ports numbered 7,155, as follows:—

Afghans	2 456	East Africans	...
Chinese	1 723	South Africans	17
Indians	1,824	Tibetans	6
Javanese and Malays	270	Siamese	3
Iraqis	49	Hejazi	451
M. Kaffars	197		
Russians (Turkestan)	16	Total	7 000
Persian Gulf Arabs	122		

The considerable falling off in the numbers of Indian pilgrims this year is stated in certain quarters to have been due to alarmist reports appearing in the Indian press at the time of the Noyd Bagh frontier incidents. These reports may have had some effect, but a comparison of the numbers of pilgrims who arrived from the different provinces of India this year and last leads me to believe that the reason given by most of the pilgrims themselves for the decrease in the numbers is correct, namely poverty and drought. The decrease in Bengal pilgrims alone was more than 10,000. The Punjab sent 2,000 less than last year. The number from Madras, on the other hand, was greater by 200, and from the United Provinces by 400.

It was hoped that with this comparatively small number of pilgrims little difficulty would be experienced in providing return shipping, and that consequently the number of pilgrims that had to be left behind would be reduced to a minimum. However, due to the failure of one of the shipping companies concerned, last year's problems had again to be faced.

With the concurrence of the Hejaz authorities a committee was again appointed, under the presidency of the Indian vice-consul to draw up list of returning pilgrims and to allot them accommodation on a ship in the order of their arrival. There served on this committee the president of the local Haj Committee, the chief mutawwif and representatives of the shipping companies. The Turkish diplomatic representative (in charge of Afghan interests) and the Persian consul were invited to send representatives to attend on their behalf. This step was taken as Persian and Afghan pilgrims travel on Indian pilgrim passes and no distinction is made between them and Indians in the allotment of return passages. This year return tickets were collected by mutawwifs at the instance of the agency from the pilgrims in India and made over to the Iranian consul with a list of the pilgrims and the name of the mutawwif in whose charge the pilgrims were placed. The tickets were stored in the agency and handed back against the receipts which

[19088]

1

the pilgrims had been given on landing. The work of collection was comparatively easy, whereas the distribution of the tickets to the pilgrims on their return was a task which taxed the energies of the pilgrim staff to the utmost. In the fortnight following the Haj more than 10,000 tickets were distributed. The benefits of the system were very clearly proved. Of the total number of return tickets issued in India, only fifteen as against roughly 1,000 both in 1926 and 1927 were lost this year. By noting the date of arrival of each pilgrim, fines could be levied on the shipping companies in respect of those detained beyond the regulation period. Mutawwifs were in close touch and to some extent under the control of the agency, the work of dealing with complaints being thus greatly facilitated. Pilgrims left in the order of their arrival in Jeddah, there was no question of paying a premium for priority of treatment. Another result of the system has been the reduction in the number of destitutes and the cost of their repatriation, which fell from 25,602 in 1926 to 1,500 rupees in 1928. The collection and distribution of tickets and the booking of passages was only rendered possible by the assistance and goodwill of the local authorities, of the shipping agents who provided and paid for the necessary clerical staff and of the mutawwifs who were put to much trouble and expense. No benefit accrues to either shipping agents or mutawwifs; on the contrary, the close bookings and the theft or purchase of tickets by mutawwifs. The agency may not be able next year to count on the assistance of clerks from the shipping offices, nor on the same measure of goodwill from the Government. In this event if the system is to be maintained, additional clerical assistance will be required.

Shipping

Pilgrims were brought and repatriated to the Hejaz by the Mogul Line and by Messrs. Narmad's and Shustari's ships as follows:—

	No. of Pilgrims	No. of Pilgrims	No. of Pilgrims	No. of Pilgrims	No. of Pilgrims	No. of Pilgrims
Mogul Line	1	1	1	1	1	1
Narmad	2	2	2	2	2	2
Shustari	1	1	1	1	1	1
Total	4	4	4	4	4	4

Of the 3,509 pilgrims shown in the last column, 279 were Javanese or Malays who returned to their homes by direct steamer, 2,000 were Persians and Bakhtiars who returned by the northern route; the balance of 1,100 comprises deceased pilgrims, Indians who have elected to remain in the Hejaz, and Hejazi who travelled from India on pilgrim ships.

The following table shows the number of pilgrims arriving from and to Indian ports, classified according to ports of departure:—

	Arrivals	Departures
Bombay	10,499	14,503
Karachi	10,114	3,064
Calcutta	379	
Total	20,992	17,567

Of the 20,992 pilgrims carried in Indian ships, 15,902 took return tickets, 4,096 single tickets, and 394 made deposits in India, as shown in the following table:—

	Return tickets	Single tickets	Deposits
Mogul Line	7,015	1,521	394
Narmad	3,883	1,099	
Shustari	1,004	1,476	
Total	15,902	4,096	394

The following table shows the number of ships available on given dates for the repatriation of pilgrims, the number of pilgrims of each line awaiting repatriation and the number repatriated on each date:—

1928-29

Date	Mogul Line	Narmad	Shustari	Pilgrims awaiting repatriation			Pilgrims repatriated			Pilgrims remaining			Name of ships which sailed
				N	T.M.	Total	N	T.M.	Total	N	T.M.	Total	
June 7, 1928	1			1		1	1		1				Sultan (S.) and Akbar (T.M.)
" 9 "	1			1		1	1		1				Zaynab (S.)
" 12 "	1			1		1	1		1				Awa and Akbar (T.M.)
" 14 "	1			1		1	1		1				Shuja (T.M.) and Baghwan (N.)
" 16 "	1			1		1	1		1				Arakshan (N.)
" 18 "	1			1		1	1		1				Dara (T.M.)
" 20 "	1			1		1	1		1				Humayun (T.M.)
" 22 "	1			1		1	1		1				Bellaria (S.)
" 24 "	1			1		1	1		1				Khanna (T.M.)
" 26 "	1			1		1	1		1				Narmad (N.)
" 28 "	1			1		1	1		1				Jalangi (T.M.)
" 30 "	1			1		1	1		1				Akbar (T.M.) and Zaynab (N.)

T.M. = Turbier Morrison
N = Narmad
S = Shustari

From the above statistics the following facts emerge

1. Messrs. Shustari's ships captured two ships to bring to India about pilgrims, a greater number than they could hope to repatriate within the regulation periods.
2. Messrs. Nemazee was again responsible for the detention of pilgrims for long periods.
3. No pilgrim holding a return ticket issued by the Mogul Line was detained for more than ten days.

Messrs. Turner Morrison were enabled to deal without difficulty with the problem of repatriation of pilgrims holding their return tickets. They did not, however, escape severe criticism. Their refusal to accept Nemazee return tickets, even when stamped as interchangeable with their own, was difficult to justify, either on legal or on humanitarian grounds. An agreement appears to have been made between the two companies whereby as from the 2nd April no Nemazee tickets would be available for travel on steamers of the Mogul Line. This was naturally taken by pilgrims to mean that tickets issued by Nemazee up to the 2nd April were still valid for the return voyage by Mogul steamers. Messrs. Turner Morrison decreed otherwise, and categorically refused to embark any Nemazee ticket holders, in spite of the fact that during almost the whole period of the return pilgrimage one or other of the Mogul Line steamers lay idle in harbour, while Nemazee ticket holders clamoured for repatriation.

Though a perusal of the reports of recent years makes the statement difficult to believe, Messrs. Nemazee's arrangements this year were not more desirable than in the past. Nemazee's ships were throughout the season either seized or threatened with seizure. They eventually passed into the hands of a Bombay merchant, Agha Khaleel Shirazi, who by employing Nemazee's as his agents ensured that no improvement in the organisation was to be expected. On the date when pilgrims were advised to board the ships for repatriation, the 13th June, there were in harbour three Nemazee ships, two of which were bound for Indian ports and one for Suez. Although the ships had been in harbour for more than a fortnight not one was ready to sail. One had no money and insufficient stores and coal. Two had an insufficient quantity of coal. One proceeded to Port Sudan to coal and was not expected to return for some days. Nemazee's default in the definite position of Khaleel with regard to the ships was not yet established.

The Indian bound Nemazee ships eventually sailed on the 12th and 13th June with 2,075 pilgrims, leaving 800 of their ticket-holders to await the return of one of the ships. As a result of a telegram both from the shipping agents to Khaleel and from this agency to the Government of India, a ship was procured within the time specified, namely of those pilgrims who could afford to do so had booked fresh passages by the Mogul Line, securing the return half of their Nemazee tickets, while 350 pilgrims were embarked on the steamship "Sultania" of the Shustari Line, the cost of the passages being advanced by the Government of India. Khaleel protested strongly against this action. He adopted throughout the attitude that he had taken over Nemazee's ships but not their responsibilities. He regarded the belated despatch of the "Sarvistan" as a favour rather than as an obligation.

Much trouble was also caused by the number of Nemazee first-class ticket holders who could not obtain first-class accommodation for the return journey. The "Englestan" has first-class accommodation for fifty passengers, whereas the "Sarvistan" has only sixteen. As the first-class accommodation was very limited, and only the number of pilgrims who could afford to pay the monthly voyage to the "Sarvistan" more than thirty first-class passengers were unable to secure the berths to which their tickets entitled them. The case was aggravated by the fact that a ship of the Mogul Line, for which their tickets were also theoretically available, had not yet arrived. The agents of the Mogul Line refused to take the pilgrims, the difference between the cost of a first-class and a deck passage, and the pilgrims travelled as deck passengers.

Of the 4,104 return ticket holders brought to the Hejaz by Messrs. Shustari's two steamers, 2,020 were ready to return to their homes by the 6th June. Of these 2,020 were repatriated on the two steamers, while the remainder, 1,084, had to await at Jeddah the return of one of the ships thirty-one days later. The "Zayant" and the "Sultania" are old and very slow. The speed of their return was further retarded by their picking up cargo en route. It might be perhaps

possible in this connexion to treat all ships from carrying intermediate ports for cargo on their return to Jeddah, when a full complement of pilgrims awaits their arrival. Messrs. Shustari might in any case be induced to replace at least the "Zayant" by a newer and speedier ship. The redeeming feature as regards Shustari's handling of the pilgrims is the honesty and efficiency of their agents, who are always ready to assist the pilgrims in every possible way and who have not yet paid out a return ticket for a pilgrim who has not returned.

The last pilgrim ship, the "Zayant", on the 6th August, had the deposit system been in force the season would have ended on the 15th July. This season has shown even more clearly than last the evils of the return ticket system as against company deposits. This system is left with a very small margin.

It was estimated that the return pilgrims, direct from the Hejaz to Jeddah, numbered about 40 per cent. of the total Indian pilgrimage. Only 379 sailed direct from Calcutta, the remainder travelling overland to Bombay. Pilgrims complain bitterly of the hardships they undergo at Bombay while awaiting shipment.

There was a pleasing absence of complaints against the masters and officers of the ships. The pilgrims were well treated, and the pilgrims who were not repatriated were well cared for and provided with food and clothing.

The addition of the Bengali clerk and of two messengers to the pilgrimage staff made it possible to attend to the pilgrims' needs more efficiently and expeditiously. The Indian vice-consul attended on board on the arrival and departure of all pilgrim ships. He heard pilgrims' complaints, settled minor disputes and collected the estates of pilgrims who had died on the voyage. With very few exceptions, the masters and officers of pilgrim ships treated him with due consideration and afforded every assistance.

The question of feeding pilgrims on board, which was raised in the report for 1927, is receiving the attention of the Government of India. Most pilgrims appeared to be well fed, but the practical difficulties involved in so catering for pilgrims. It is believed, however, that if the interests both of the pilgrims and of the ships' officers, the difficulties may not prove insurmountable. The pilgrims would have more deck space at their disposal, they would, moreover, be assured of adequate food on the return journey when their vitality is lowest. The masters of ships would benefit in that the risk of fire would be minimised, the ships would be cleaner and pilgrims would cease to beg for food. A certain amount of confusion results from the fact that pilgrims are responsible for their own sambouk hire from steamer to shore. Shipping companies were approached by the Government of India last season with a view to the adoption of a uniform rate for the transport of pilgrims by sambouk. The companies, however, have not found it possible to agree to this proposal, the chief reason being that these rates of hire are not uniform, varying according to whether a ship is anchored in the inner, middle or outer harbour. If in future years the pilgrims are to be transported by sambouk, the companies will have to agree to the fixing of a uniform rate for the transport of all pilgrims by sambouk, companies may find it possible to do this.

It was also proposed that ships' derricks should be used for the unloading of pilgrims' baggage. Messrs. Turner Morrison, who had given the system a trial, find it retards the work of embarkation and disembarkation of pilgrims if the ships' crews only are employed. It was not intended that outside labour should be entirely dispensed with, but the work should be carried out, as in the case when cargo is unloaded, by coolies engaged by the companies. The present system, whereby all work is done by the pilgrims, is a source of great confusion and often loss. The agents of the Blue Funnel Line, who make use of the ship's derricks, employ their own labour, and this is a source of delay and confusion in the embarkation or disembarkation of pilgrims.

Detention of Pilgrims.

It was hoped that the reduction in the number of pilgrims carried to the Hejaz this year would render their speedy repatriation a matter of no difficulty. Owing, however, to the breakdown of Nemazee's arrangements and the delay of Messrs. Shustari's ships in returning from their first voyage, to which reference has been made above, a number of pilgrims were detained beyond the twenty-five days

Year	Population	Area	Population	Area
1850	100	100	100	100
1860	120	120	120	120
1870	140	140	140	140
1880	160	160	160	160
1890	180	180	180	180
1900	200	200	200	200
1910	220	220	220	220
1920	240	240	240	240
1930	260	260	260	260
1940	280	280	280	280
1950	300	300	300	300
1960	320	320	320	320
1970	340	340	340	340
1980	360	360	360	360
1990	380	380	380	380
2000	400	400	400	400

March would be done to avoid the detention of pilgrims in the companies, Messrs. Nemazee, or Messrs. Agha Khaleel Shirazi, if he has now taken charge of all the former ships, but the repeated transfer of ships is a very lack organising ability, and further confusion is added by their attempt to join in the transport of Malay as well as Indian pilgrims. Messrs. Shustari can provide an additional ship and replace those at present in use. But even were Messrs. Nemazee and Shustari to turn over a new leaf, the situation would still be unsatisfactory, especially in the event of a large pilgrimage such as last year's. There is only one way in which the Government of India can ensure the speedy repatriation of pilgrims, namely, by the introduction of a system of compulsory deposits in India.

n.f.

It is distressing to note that 400 of those who made declarations in India to the effect that they did not intend to return within two years should have demanded passages back to India immediately after the Haj. A possible means of stopping this abuse would be to insist on a deposit from these pilgrims of 70 rupees, the sum to be repaid to them at Jeddah at the end of the pilgrimage season.

The advantage of this system has been dealt with at length both in previous reports and in separate correspondence with the Government of India. The chief objection to the system in the latter's view would appear to be that it is undesirable to force on pilgrims a system of which Indian Moslems are not in favour. Conversations with leading pilgrims from all parts of India, however, indicate that the introduction of the system would, far from meeting with opposition, be definitely welcomed. Pilgrims have themselves suffered too much from the disadvantages of the present system to desire to return to it. Had the deposit system been in force this year no pilgrim need have been detained for more than a few days after his arrival in Jeddah. Turner Morrison had so many more ships available than were required for the transport of their Indian pilgrims that they were enabled, without interference with their normal work, to undertake the task of transporting from and to Egypt the bulk of the Egyptian pilgrims. One of their ships was almost invariably lying idle in port, and the last few ships left without a full complement. It was, as may be imagined, particularly galling to an Indian pilgrim reduced to his last resource to see ship after ship leave Jeddah with empty space on board for the accommodation of himself and his family. It was even more distressing to a pilgrim to see an Indian ship depart without him and hundreds of his companions must wait for weeks for a ship of their own line.

If as is hoped the deposit system is introduced in the near future, it will be necessary to modify the present form of pass. The most satisfactory form of pass is that issued by the Straits Settlements Government in which a photograph is attached. A perforated counterfoil bearing the same serial number as the pass itself, and on which could be stamped the amount of deposit paid, would be required. This

10. Malay Pilgrimage.

There was a large reduction this year in the number of Malayan pilgrims who visited the Hejaz. The total number appears to have been the lowest since 1912. At the time these reports were published most Malays would normally have left for the Hejaz. They, in company with pilgrims from the Netherlands East Indies, prefer to spend several months in the Hejaz before the Haj.

The total number of pilgrims arriving from Malayan ports was 15,279, of which 14,118 were of the Malay race, and 1,161 were of the Dutch race. The corresponding figures last year were 20,404 and 12,164. The register kept by the Malay pilgrimage office at the Hejaz, dated 1927, of Malay pilgrims according to the provinces or States of origin is:—

Singapore	621	Kedah	448
Penang	193	Perlis	40
Malacca	211	Kelantan	570
Perak	658	Terengganu	217
Selangor	324	Brunei	16
Negeri Sembilan	254	Labuan	16
Pahang	147		
Johore	704		4,418

Mortality among the pilgrims this year was lower. It did not exceed 6 per cent as against 12 per cent last year. A percentage of 12 per cent is a high rate, but in view of the fact that the number of pilgrims who perished during the Haj and the length of the pilgrimage were both small, the number of deaths is not high. The number recorded by the pilgrimage officer was 944, including deaths which occurred among the 821 1927 pilgrims who remained in the Hejaz for the 1928 pilgrimage.

The following table shows the number of pilgrims who arrived from Malayan ports, of which twenty-two were of the Blue Funnel Line (H.M.S.), three of Nemaze's and 1,136 of the Straits Hejaz. These steamers carried respectively the following number of pilgrims:—

Blue Funnel	13,264
Nemaze	1,136
Straits Hejaz	875

The first pilgrim ship left Singapore on the 17th November. This early date was due to the fact that the pilgrim season in the Hejaz had closed last year. The last pilgrim ship left Singapore on the 17th May, two days before the celebration of the Aid. The first ship left Jeddah with returning pilgrims on the 7th June, and the last on the 15th July, by which date all Malay pilgrims had left the Hejaz.

Messrs. Holt's arrangements were as usual admirable. One complaint only was made, and that was that the pilgrims had to take water on board with them, as the supply of water on the pilgrim ship had been some hours on board. Apart from the supply of drinking water which pilgrims take with them, they also have small quantities of semzem water, and distress was caused by the action of the ship's officers in certain cases throwing this overboard.

Messrs. Nemaze succeeded in securing the position of a fine line for the detention of pilgrims this season owing to the fact that they only brought to the Hejaz the ship which had been used for the completion of the returning ship. The departure of their ship was, however, delayed as in the case of Indian ships of that line, by their change of ownership. The ship was short of coal and short of stores, and it appeared for some time as though neither the new nor the old owners were prepared to take any steps to remedy matters. Messrs. Nemaze at last succeeded in securing the ship for the returning pilgrims.

The Straits Hejaz steamer, "Ling Nam," tried the experiment of offering to feed the pilgrims for a small additional payment. Some 90 per cent. of the pilgrims availed themselves of the offer. Most pilgrims, indeed, appear to be in favour of the offer, but the system of feeding pilgrims is not great. It is at present encumbered with bags of rice, sacks of firewood and cooking utensils.

At the request of the agency the shipping companies agreed to report after the

departure of each pilgrim ship the number of British Malayan pilgrims embarked. In this way it was possible this year to gauge at any given moment the exact number of pilgrims on the Hejaz.

Much correspondence has passed on the subject of refunds on return tickets in cases of death of the holders, or in cases where the validity of the ticket has expired. Pilgrims feel that as they are pressed by the companies to take return tickets the latter should in cases where the holders have no intention of returning to their homes during the period of validity of their ticket, arrange for the refund in Jeddah of the return half. They feel also that in cases of death the relatives of the deceased should be enabled to obtain a refund from the Jeddah agents. The present system whereby after much correspondence the shipping companies agree to make refunds in Singapore is thoroughly unsatisfactory to all concerned. It throws an unnecessary burden of extra work on the local agents in Singapore and on the agency staff at Jeddah. Were tickets to be marked at the agency "good for refund—bearer not returning from the Hejaz," or "good for refund—bearer deceased," the agents of the companies concerned could make immediate refund to the holder or to the relative of the deceased. The chief objection to the system on the part of the companies appears to be that a number of pilgrims would obtain refunds on their tickets and then when their money was wanted for other purposes, it would be lost. The experience of the Dutch consul with his pilgrims leads me to believe that this would not be the case. Return halves of tickets issued by Dutch companies to Netherlands East Indies pilgrims are negotiable. They may be purchased for cash at any moment by the local agents. In spite of this facility cases of destitution or demands for repatriation are of the rarest occurrence.

The most satisfactory system would be to allow the shipping companies to authorize their agents to extend the validity of the tickets of those who intend to return, with a few days' extension, if necessary, for each extension, on of validity, and in the case of those who had decided not to return to authorize their agents to make a refund at Jeddah. The only alternative is to encourage pilgrims of the Hejaz who are likely to return within three or four years, and those who are definitely making their homes in the Hejaz, to take single tickets.

Pilgrim Passes and the Registration of Pilgrims.

The passes issued to pilgrims are entirely satisfactory for purposes of travel to the Hejaz and of registration. In cases, however, where a pilgrim desires to continue his journey to the Hejaz, it is necessary for him to obtain a pilgrim pass. The same holds good of pilgrims travelling to the Hejaz via India. If a pilgrim were to travel to the Hejaz via India, it is undesirable. The work of registration was on occasion complicated by the fact that issuing officers had grouped a family on one pass rather than issue a separate pass to each member.

A great deal of criticism was again levelled at the system of registration and collection of passes by shipping agents and by the local authorities, who alleged that the departure of pilgrim ships and pilgrims was thereby unnecessarily delayed. It was maintained that its main object, namely, the prevention of the sale of return tickets, was not attained and that the system was, therefore, useless. The delay to shipping was found to be of the very shortest. The abandonment of a system which has much in its favour in order to permit of a pilgrim ship leaving Jeddah a few hours sooner is not to be thought of. It is to some extent true that the system fails to prevent entirely the sale of tickets. A Malay may call at the agency and collect his ticket as though intending to sail that day. His movements when he has left the agency cannot be controlled. He may proceed immediately to the shipping agents and book his passage, or he may sell his ticket and return to the agency. If not an absolute preventive, the system is, however, a definite check on the sale of tickets. It moreover keeps tickets out of the hands of malawards who are apt through illiteracy or expediency, to mix the pilgrims' tickets. Moreover the risk of theft in the case of deceased pilgrims is eliminated.

Mutawwif's Fees and Cost of Pilgrimage

Mutawwif's charges remained approximately the same as last year, the only increase being in the house tax known as "Dukhul," which now raises house rent at Mecca, Government tax and maintenance at Arafat and Muna. This tax

Institution and Repatriation.

Effects of Deceased Pilgrims.

22

11 East African Pilgrimage

The Alexandria quarantine board is much exercised over the question of the transport of West Africans. The British delegate has suggested that pilgrims should be obliged to leave Nigeria to take a land route to the east of Lake Chad, and return thence to their homes. Such a route, however, is likely to be probably be extremely difficult of application. A certain number of richer pilgrims travel by sea, and these would doubtless be in a position to pay a deposit, the majority, however, appear to leave their homes in the vague direction of Mecca, stopping for a few periods on the way to earn sufficient to take their stage further. It has been reported that one pilgrim, who was visiting the Holy Land, and one pilgrim is reported to have reached Mecca forty years after his departure from Nigeria. To insist on a deposit from such pilgrims, if practicable, would be tantamount to prohibiting all but the well-to-do pilgrims from performing the pilgrimage. It is however, with the highest respect to the pilgrims, that no pilgrim shall embark for or from the Hejaz except on pilgrim ships sailing to and from those ports only at which quarantine regulations can be enforced. This object can be most easily achieved by the insistence of the Italian authorities on embarkation of pilgrims on pilgrim ships at Massowa only, and on their providing themselves with return tickets.

12 *Sudanese Pilgrimage*

During the past year, two more of the 111 who were Sultan Ibrahim Musa Madibbo and Sultan Mohammed Bahr-ed-Din Abbakr. They were in possession of letters of recommendation and assistance was rendered to them where necessary. They were included by the Hejaz Government in the category of royal guests, and arrangements for their accommodation and transport were made by the Hejaz authorities.

18 *Iragis*

Destitutes, though few in number, again proved an embarrassment. Individual cases must be referred to Bagdad before advances may be made to stranded Iraqis. This involves much waste of time and money and unnecessary hardship to the pilgrim. The hope was expressed in last year's report on the Iraq pilgrimage that passports

would not be issued to intending pilgrims unless they could guarantee or deposit the cost of their return journey. The introduction of deposit passes, such as are now issued to Palestinian pilgrims is strongly recommended.

14. Palestinians

The number of Palestinians to whom pilgrim passes were issued is reported by the ~~sub-committee~~ ~~to be~~ ~~the~~ ~~sum~~ ~~at~~ ~~present~~ ~~deposited~~ ~~is~~ ~~however~~ ~~barely~~ ~~sufficient~~ ~~to~~ ~~cover~~ ~~the~~ ~~cost~~ ~~of~~ ~~the~~ ~~steamship~~ ~~ticket~~ ~~to~~ ~~Suez~~ ~~and~~ ~~allows~~ ~~no~~ ~~margin~~ ~~for~~ ~~subsistence~~ ~~This~~ ~~fact~~ ~~was~~ ~~pointed~~ ~~out~~ ~~with~~ ~~reference~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~1927~~ ~~pilgrimage~~ ~~and~~ ~~the~~ ~~Government~~ ~~of~~ ~~Palestine~~ ~~have~~ ~~agreed~~ ~~to~~ ~~raise~~ ~~the~~ ~~amount~~ ~~to~~ ~~be~~ ~~deposited~~ ~~by~~ ~~£1~~ ~~Advances~~ ~~were~~ ~~made~~ ~~to~~ ~~four~~ ~~pilgrims~~ ~~who~~ ~~declared~~ ~~that~~ ~~they~~ ~~had~~ ~~insufficient~~ ~~means~~ ~~to~~ ~~meet~~ ~~the~~ ~~cost~~ ~~of~~ ~~their~~ ~~passages~~ ~~and~~ ~~subsistence~~ ~~on~~ ~~the~~ ~~journey~~

15. Sarawak Pilgrims

Eighty-one Sarawakis performed the Haj this year, of whom half travelled by Blue Funnel steamer and half by Messrs. ~~Napier & Co.~~ All arrangements were carried out by Messrs. Gellatly Hankey, whose local manager now enjoys the title of Sarawak pilgrimage officer.

E 4912 3182 91

No. 34

Agent, Jeddah, to Lord Cushendun. — (Received October 10.)

(No. 30.)

(Telegraphic.) R.

Jeddah, October 10, 1928

I HAVE received to-day a letter of protest from Ibn Saud on following points —

1. Aeroplanes from Transjordan crossed the frontier on 20th July, flew over Nabk and Kuf and then returned.
2. Howaitat raid on Shararat 24th July, looting 160 camels and killing 25 men.
3. Raid 27th July by Metleh-bin-Mesheh and ten camel-men on Shararat at Malqur, looting eighty camels.
4. Howaitat raid 8th August in region of Jauk, looting three of the King's own camels.
5. Howaitat raid 11th August on Shararat at Ramamin, taking twenty-one camels.
6. Howaitat raid 13th August on Shararat at Dian, looting five camels.

Ibn Saud requests early reply of His Majesty's Government on these matters, which he refers to as having become intolerable.

In handing me the King's letter, Minister for Foreign Affairs stated that he was instructed to add a strong verbal protest, to demand satisfaction on the vital point of ~~the~~ ~~King's~~ ~~letter~~ ~~and~~ ~~an~~ ~~assurance~~ ~~that~~ ~~the~~ ~~raids~~ ~~would~~ ~~be~~ ~~stopped~~ ~~and~~ ~~looted~~ ~~property~~ ~~returned~~. Similarly, an assurance was requested that raids would be stopped and looted property returned.

On all points Hejaz Government reserves its right to compensation for damage done.

No. 104, King's letter, which I am sending by next bag, now gives the following details of losses from Transjordanian raids during the final three months of the last Moslem year — 1,000 camels and other plunder taken, and men, women and children killed.

(Repeated to Jerusalem, No. 175.)

(E 4953 1,91)

No. 35.

Mr. Stonehewer-Bird to Lord Cushendun. — (Received October 15.)

(No. 154.)

My Lord,

Jeddah, September 24, 1928

WITH reference to my despatch No. 139 of the 27th ultimo, with which was enclosed a note addressed by me to the Acting Minister for Foreign Affairs on the subject of the alleged movement of troops in Iraq, I have the honour to transmit herewith a translation of the reply addressed to me by Fuad Hamza.

2. I awaited Fuad Hamza's visit to Jeddah, when I hoped to have an opportunity of discussing certain points connected with his note before forwarding a translation.

3. Fuad Hamza called on me yesterday, and the question of the alleged movement of troops was duly brought up for discussion.

4. I told him that I failed to understand the meaning of the latter part of paragraph 2 of his note, which reads: "It is difficult to make the reply to these complaints (against Iraq and British officials in Iraq) should emanate from those who were the cause of the complaints. What will be the state of affairs when the defendant himself replies to a charge?" I said that every accused person was at liberty to answer a charge against him. The ~~reply~~ ~~was~~ ~~not~~ ~~what~~ ~~he~~ ~~meant~~; what he objected to was that British officials in Iraq were in this case both "judge et parte". The charge was levelled equally against Iraq and British officials in Iraq, and the latter furnished the official reply. I replied that the natural person to furnish an explanation was the High Commissioner in Iraq, who was the representative of His Britannic Majesty's Government.

5. Fuad Hamza then calls in question the reason given for the return of the troops to their posts in the desert. The desert was at its hottest in August and September as in June and July. I offered, on the occasion of our discussion, the explanation that, the heat being intense in June and July, it was thought wise to give the troops a change of climate, but this did not mean that they were not to return to their posts before the end of the period of great heat.

6. Fuad Hamza concludes by stating that the point on which he wishes to lay particular stress is that the Hejaz Government must hold Iraq responsible for the possible results of the ~~Iraqi~~ ~~troops~~ ~~crossing~~ ~~the~~ ~~frontier~~ ~~and~~ ~~without~~ ~~giving~~ ~~due~~ ~~thought~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~consequences~~.

7. A copy of this despatch and its enclosure is being addressed to His Majesty's High Commissioner for Iraq.

I have, &c.

F. H. W. STONEHEWER-BIRD

Enclosure in No. 35.

Fuad Hamza to Mr. Stonehewer-Bird

(After Compliments.)

Jeddah, August 31, 1928 (Rabia I 16, 1347)

I HAVE the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, dated the 27th August, 1928, in which you reply to my letter regarding the statement of the Iraqi Prime Minister and the Iraqi Government of the movement of troops to the frontier.

His Majesty the King complained of the action of the Iraqi Government and of British officials in Iraq, and it is unfortunate that the reply to these complaints has emanated from those persons who were the cause of them. What will be the state when a defendant himself replies to a charge?

Similar incidents have now again been repeated. I have forwarded to your Excellency a protest against the despatch by the Iraqi Government of armed troops to the frontier at a time when it is necessary for the sake of peace to avoid movement of troops, as such action would disturb the peace and cause excitement.

The Iraqi Government sent through you the reply contained in your letter referred to above.

I am unable to understand the statement of the Iraqi Government that the severity of the heat during June and July was the cause of the withdrawal of the troops to cooler quarters, and that the diminished heat of August was the reason

However, the important point to which I would wish to draw your Excellency's attention is not the fear of the mobilisation of military forces of the Iraq Government, but that the Iraq Government and the officials who take such action without considering the consequences will be held responsible by my Government for whatever may occur.

4ctm; Minster for Forgan 30 1/2 Jeddah

No 36

[illegible]

F. H. W. STONE, JEWELER AND

Jeldah Report for Period September 1 to 30, 1928.

The Beni Malek, as mentioned in the Jeddah report for June, had been showing obstinacy in the matter of taxes. All attempts to settle amicably the questions at issue proved fruitless, and Ibn Saud before his departure ordered the despatch in three separate parties of a force of some 3,000 men to invest the stronghold of the recalcitrant tribe. There is as yet no news of the outcome of this expedition, though rumours are current that it has not met with the hoped-for success. Once Ibn Saud has decided to move in force against the tribe he cannot afford to fail, as the effect of his failure to punish this definite defiance of his authority would naturally react most adversely on his prestige in the Hejaz. There is a growing feeling among certain sections of Hejaz opinion that Ibn Saud should have made any sacrifice rather than run the risk of alienating British sympathy by holding out against His Majesty's Government on the matter of Ibn Saud's demands. The loyalty of the Hejaz to Ibn Saud is based largely, in the opinion of many, on the fact of his friendship with Great Britain and the stability which this friendship ensures.

4. It is an open secret that D. J. has resigned his post as Foreign Minister and his power and influence have greatly been declining in a short time. Since his appointment, and with the removal of the privilege of receiving gifts with foreign affairs, his post ceases to be lucrative. With this fact in mind, it was hardly to be expected for his post than either Yussuf Yassin or Hafez Wahba, both of whom were noted for their ability and either of whom it is to be feared may not be long in this post. That his resignation was such a blow and a surprise to Hafez Hamez as the latter pretends is difficult. Neither he nor Yussuf Yassin scrupled to collaborate by a possible threat to undermine his authority and reputation and he has never sold his country, was growing more so. Another of the King's advisers who has not changed is the Syrian Is Hafez Wahba. Before leaving for Egypt at the King's instance he turned his heart to a member of the agency staff, a socialist sympathizer. He has little good to say of the King but regards the Syrian attitude as a right response to the threats of the King and the League. He advised the Syrian to seek to be pressing and should to conclude treaties with Soviet Russia and France, tried to win Soviet plates regarded as useless to the League and a complete estrangement. His Majesty's government, Hafez Wahba also expressed strong opposition to the King's policy in sanctioning the formation of religious vigilance committees, whose tyrannical behaviour was arousing resentment against the Wahabites.

5. There was early in the month a slight lull in the activities of the Vigilance Committee followed by a lull again a few days later. Sixty persons were one evening surprised for smoking in jail and it was one of the evening distractions of those whose houses were near the police station to see the prisoners marched in, the commandant of police leaning on his balcony the while placing himself, either for prisoners or their guards.

6. In answer to the note from His Majesty's Government and the French Government, the effect of which the Hejaz delegates must either discuss the questions for the consideration of which the Haifa Conference was called or must regard the conference as terminated, the Hejaz Government have replied at very great length, tracing in their note the origin of the Hejaz Railway and the religious objects with which it was built and stressing the fact that it is Wakf property vested in the Moslem world as a whole. They have asked that the whole question of the ownership and unity of the line may be reopened at a conference attended by delegates with full powers to discuss all aspects of the problem.

7. The tone of the "Um-el-Qura" in its articles on Iraq has definitely improved during the last month. In the issue of the 7th instant Isma'il Bey Is-Saidi's statements in Egypt are commented on with resentment but without undue bitterness. Saidi was regarded by Ibn Saud during the negotiations as an adjunct to Sir Gilbert Clayton's Mission and not as an independent delegate, the point of view of the Hejaz Government being that Iraq, not having recognised Ibn Saud, cannot be in diplomatic relations with him. They do not, therefore, consider that he was qualified to make any statement on the course of the negotiations. In the issue of the 21st instant Iraq again comes under discussion, but on this occasion appreciation is expressed of an article which appeared in the "Iraq Times" of the 20th August criticising the Iraq Government for its failure to prevent the abuse of Ibn Saud in the Iraq press and for tolerating an anti-Saud propagandist meeting in Bagdad. A probably quite incorrect version of an interview given by His Majesty's High Commissioner in Iraq to a representative of the Palestine Gazette has been widely reported, even circulation in the Near East press and has caused intense resentment, and a request has been made to Sir Henry Dobbs to furnish, for the information of the Hejaz Government, an account of what was actually said at the interview.

H

8. The Red Sea Petroleum Company, whose intention to abandon their concession in the Faran Islands has already been reported, succeeded in removing all their property and leaving Zifaf on the 13th instant. Ibn Saud had promised that no obstacle should be placed in the company's way and the evacuation was in effect carried out without incident.

9. The Government decided some months ago to place three important contracts: for a further large supply of silver and nickel coins, for two or three motor coastal patrol boats and for a condenser at Yambo. It seems probable that the contract for coins will go, if it has not already gone, to the Mint, Birmingham. Negotiations for the motor boats have not yet been completed, but Messrs. Gellatly, Hankey and Sharqieh (Limited) (Philby) have been approached. As regards the Yambo condenser the position has become extremely complicated. On the 2nd instant, Messrs. Gellatly, Hankey, after much correspondence had passed, were informed that they had obtained the contract and were asked to expedite the order; the contract would be signed in a few days' time. The order was placed immediately and the contract signed on the 9th instant by the local representative of the Finance Department and the Director of Condensers on behalf of the Government. On the 23rd instant the manager of Messrs. Gellatly, Hankey and Co. was informed that the officials who had signed had no authority to do so and that the Government had decided not to order a condenser through the firm. To vigorous protests the answer was returned that the Emir Faisal had not sanctioned the signature of the contract which was, therefore, not binding on the Government, and that the only redress lay in bringing an action against the two officials. It was pointed out officially to the Acting Foreign Minister that the effect of the Government's repudiation of the contract could not fail to exercise an adverse effect on the Government's credit abroad, and that the saving effected by purchasing a condenser direct from the manufacturer would be very small compared with the high price to pay for the loss of their good name with foreign business houses. It was soon apparent that the stumbling-block was not the question of price but the question of liability. The Emir has not yet said his last word, there is little cause for optimism; meanwhile, the condenser has been completed and will, if required, be ready for shipment in about a month's time.

10. The Government have sent a circular letter to the various consular officers directing them to inform the local authorities of the Government's decision to discontinue the use of the word "Emir" in connection with the Hejaz. The circular states that the word "Emir" is a title of honor which is not conferred by the Government but is a title of honor which is conferred by the people. The circular also states that the word "Emir" is a title of honor which is not conferred by the Government but is a title of honor which is conferred by the people. The circular also states that the word "Emir" is a title of honor which is not conferred by the Government but is a title of honor which is conferred by the people.

11. The American pilot who was recently successful in reaching Weh, but who had been held up by the Hejaz Government, has been released and is now on his way to the coast.

12. One Arab, of Suakin origin, took refuge in the agency during the month under review and was repatriated to Suakin.

13. The first 1929 Indian pilgrim arrived in Jeddah on the 1st instant.

[E 5038, 3182 91]

No. 37

Lord Cusheadun to Mr. Jukins (Jeddah).

(No. 55.)

(Telegraphic.) R

Foreign Office, October 23, 1928

YOUR telegram No. 48 of the 16th October. Protest by Ibn Saud against Transjordan raids and violation of frontier by aeroplanes.

You should reply on following lines—

1. On the 21st July aeroplane inadvertently flew over Kaf while proceeding from Amman to Bagdad, owing to pilot mistaking Kaf track for Bagdad track. His Majesty's Government much regret this occurrence, and steps are being taken by the authorities concerned to mark the proper route and to guard against a repetition of this error.
2. Enquiries are being made into all the alleged raids, and result will be telegraphed to you as soon as possible. The Amir of Transjordan, however, points out that the last three incidents of which the Hejaz Government complain appear to have been not raids but individual robberies, which no Government can altogether prevent.

If you have not already informed Hejaz Government of incident dealt with in Transjordan telegram No. 35 to you of 16th October you should add reference to it in your reply to the Hejaz Government's protest.

[E 5184 3261 91]

No. 38

Foreign Office to Mr. Jukins (Jeddah).

(No. 213.)

Sir,

Foreign Office, November 1, 1928

I AM directed by Lord Cusheadun to inform you that Sir Gilbert Clayton has now reported to His Britannic Majesty's Government on his recent mission to the Hejaz. His Britannic Majesty's Government have learnt with regret that he has been unable to reach any agreement with King Ibn Saud on the major question at issue, namely, that of the Hejaz frontier. In consequence, it was not possible further to discuss the various subsidiary questions in regard to which it was desired that he should negotiate arrangements with King Ibn Saud.

2. You will recall that in September of last year King Ibn Saud addressed to the High Commissioner for Iraq a protest against the establishment by the Iraq Government of certain advanced police posts. The post to which His Majesty took principal exception was one at Busaiyah, then in course of construction, which was situated at a distance of 75 miles from the nearest point on the Iraq-Nejd frontier. In his letter His Majesty represented that the construction of this post was contrary to the provisions of article 3 of the Uqair Protocol of the 2nd December, 1922, which reads as follows:—

The two Governments mutually agree not to use the watering places and wells situated in the vicinity of the border for any military purpose, such as building forts on them, and not to concentrate troops in their vicinity.

Some three weeks later King Ibn Saud followed up this letter with a further protest. At this point it should be explained that the construction of the advanced post and the police posts was a direct result of the Iraq Government's policy of re-occupation of the Hejaz frontier by King Ibn Saud. The Hejaz Government, however, were not prepared to accept this policy. The Hejaz Government, however, were not prepared to accept this policy. The Hejaz Government, however, were not prepared to accept this policy.

3. In the latter part of October the High Commissioner replied through His Majesty's Agent to King Ibn Saud, pointing out that there was no foundation for

(1928)

the charge that the establishment of the Busaiyah post was a contravention of the Uqair Protocol, and sending photographs and details of the post to demonstrate that, quite apart from the fact that it could not be described as "in the vicinity of the border," its small size and limited garrison rendered any description of it as "a fort" misleading. On the 5th November, while this correspondence was in progress, a party of Mutair attacked the Busaiyah post and slaughtered its occupants, including, in addition to the garrison of six police, an official of the Public Works Department, twelve coolies and a woman. After this attack there followed in quick succession a number of serious raids by Mutair tribesmen upon Iraq tribes. Strongly-worded protests were sent to King Ibn Saud, but these produced unsatisfactory replies which, while admitting that in every raid these were the leader of the Mutair, stated that at least half were the followers of the Mutair's instructions, represented that the fault really lay with the Iraq Government in constructing the police post, and that if the post were destroyed the Mutair would cease. It seemed clear that the Mutair tribe had temporarily thrown off King Ibn Saud's authority and were no longer under his effective control, and this view was apparently substantiated by a warning which His Majesty conveyed to the Resident in the Persian Gulf to the effect that a large force of Akhwan were marching north contrary to his orders. In these circumstances, His Britannic Majesty's Government decided that there could no longer be any doubt as to the Res. Ar Force in Iraq being authorized to use Akhwan raiders across the border in order to inflict, if possible, a lasting lesson upon them. This decision was communicated to King Ibn Saud and was put into effect without delay.

4 In the meantime these constant Akhwan raids had had the effect of seriously unsettling the Iraq tribe of Anizah, one of the most powerful tribal confederations in Iraq. Towards the end of December the High Commissioner reported that, unless effective measures were taken to prevent further raids and to restore the confidence of this tribe, there was a serious danger that they would throw off their allegiance to Iraq. He explained that, owing to the immense stretch of frontier, and the great distance involved, it was almost impossible for British aircraft to catch the raiders red-handed and to inflict punishment upon them within Iraq territory. After full consideration, His Britannic Majesty's Government decided to extend air action beyond the Iraqi frontier in order to deny the area of grazing grounds to the Akhwan. Early in January, and after the lapse of some two months since the initial incursion had taken place, during which further raids had occurred and King Ibn Saud had apparently taken no effectual steps to restrain his turbulent subjects, the authority for the adoption of this measure was given and King Ibn Saud was notified accordingly. A plan was formulated to send a force of six or eight squadrons of the mounted rifles to the frontier and to occupy a large tract of land, which was to be denied to the Akhwan. The area was to be cleared of its rich pastures and the water sources cut off. It was expected that the Akhwan would be driven back to some three weeks' march from the frontier and that part of the Mesopotamian desert. Although at the time I was writing the Akhwan were engaged in a raid upon Ibrahim Khawar, a station near the Zandiyeh Akhwa, who penetrated to a depth of thirty miles. The reports were that the British planes had been shot down, and are understood to have suffered severe losses.

5 As you are aware King Ibn Saud had hitherto endeavoured to justify himself and his tribes in the recent Iraqi Government was broken about twenty pledges, and thus to excuse his failure to prevent the raids and to administer punishment. He now represented that the crossing of his frontier by British aeroplanes was a violation of his territory. These aeroplanes, he alleged, by bombing guilty and innocent alike had so outraged the feelings of his people that he had had to abandon measures which he had already set in motion for the punishment of the Mutair, his work of pacification had been destroyed and he could no longer control the feelings of his people. In regard to this allegation, the High Commissioner for Iraq telegraphed on the 19th March in the following terms:—

"It is important to emphasize and to keep on emphasizing that no human beings have been bombed other than those reported last night after the rains in Kuwait territory of the 27th January and at Jarishan in Iraq on the 19th February. A few bombs were dropped in the neighbourhood of campaments in the zone of operations within Nejd territory during the period the 18th January to the 2nd February in order to frighten them into leaving the warrens already scattered. Only four or five animals were killed. No human beings were hit."

6. In response to the King's message, His Britannic Majesty's Government replied that, in order to assist him to regain control and provided that His Majesty would give assurances that he would prevent further raids and would punish the offenders and exact full reparation, orders would be issued that no aircraft should cross the Nejd frontier except in hot pursuit of raiders. At the same time His Britannic Majesty's Government repeated a suggestion previously made by them on several occasions that a meeting should be arranged between His Majesty and the British Resident in the Persian Gulf to discuss the questions in dispute. His Majesty did not accept this invitation, nor did he give the assurances for which His Britannic Majesty's Government had asked, but merely reiterated his previous protests. Meanwhile, rumours became current that all the Akhwan were joining with the Mutair in a regular jihad (holy war) against the non-Akhwan States of Iraq, Transjordan and Kuwait, and that King Ibn Saud (himself powerless to prevent it) had been forced to associate himself with this movement. Later information, however, threw doubt upon these rumours, and indicated that King Ibn Saud had dissociated himself from the movement. Subsequently it became apparent that he had regained control.

7 Throughout his letters Kogon said and wrote that the stationing of the Buzaywah post or any similar police posts was a contravention of the Uqair Protocol and he continued to press for its removal as a prelude to solving the very reason of the present trouble.

His Britannic Majesty's Government, for their part, were not prepared to admit that the Iraq Government, in constructing such posts, acted otherwise than in full accord with their treaty obligations, nor were they prepared to agree to the demolition of such posts. It seemed to them, however, that a full and frank discussion of the matter, in despite of the fact that the King Ibn Saud had his interests against the establishment of an oil pipeline post were explained, and that in this matter the action of the Iraq Government had been entirely correct. To this end it was decided that Sir Gilbert Clayton should proceed to Jeddah to enter into personal discussion with King Ibn Saud and to furnish him with such explanations and assurances as, it was hoped, would set at rest any genuine doubts which he might feel both as to the intentions of the Iraq Government in constructing such posts and as to the use to which it was proposed that they should be put.

The interpretation of the words "in the vicinity of the border" (Arabic: "ala atraf al hudud") in article 8 of the Uqair Protocol. It appeared that King Ibn Saud had read into these words far more than they were intended to convey by His Britannic Majesty's Government. In his view, the object of the article was to prevent the erection of fortified posts at any of the wells situated in the open desert on either side of the frontier and at any distance from the frontier, and he contended that had not this been the intention he would have reserved expressly the Convention of Muscat, to which the Protocol of Uqair was appended. Unfortunately, no detailed record of the conversation leading up to the signature of this protocol exists. But Sir Percy Cox, the British Plenipotentiary, who represented the interests both of His Britannic Majesty's Government and the Iraq Government, at their discussions, has categorically affirmed that, on his part at least, the words in dispute were not intended to bear any strained or exceptional construction or to convey any meaning other than that which would ordinarily be assigned to them, namely, a short distance on either side of the frontier.

While, therefore, it seemed clear that these words would not admit of the interpretation placed upon them by King Ibn Saud, events had shown that they were in fact, open to misunderstanding. Consequently it appeared to His Britannic Majesty's Government highly desirable in the interests of both parties that some means should be found of removing any element of ambiguity and thereby the possibility of further dispute by the adoption of an accepted definition. Sir Gilbert Clayton was therefore authorized to suggest to King Ibn Saud that they should be defined as meaning within some specified distance from the frontier, and for this purpose a maximum distance of 25 miles was suggested as a basis for discussion. Alternatively it was suggested that instead of a definition based upon distance from the frontier, certain walls on each side of the frontier should be specifically enumerated at which the construction of posts would be prohibited.

9. These questions were conveyed to King Ibn Saud on the occasion of Sir Gilbert Clayton's first visit to Jeddah in May last. He was, however, as you will remember, unable to convince His Majesty that in constructing the advanced desert posts the Iraq Government had not contravened their treaty obligations: nor

could any progress be made towards arriving at an agreed interpretation of the disputed words in article 3 of the protocol. The advent of the pilgrimage season, which required the King's presence at Mecca for over a month, made it necessary for Sir Gilbert Clayton to leave Iraq. It was decided that Sir Gilbert Clayton should take this opportunity to return to England and report personally to His Britannic Majesty's Government.

10. On the receipt of Sir Gilbert Clayton's report, and on learning of the substance of the Uqair Protocol and the views expressed by His Majesty's Government in the matter, the Iraq Government, after the latest communication from the British Government, were fully aware of the position. They had no objection to the Iraq Government making what arrangements they deemed necessary for the administration of their tribes and of their desert areas and that any attempt to interfere with this right must be resisted. Sir Gilbert Clayton was therefore instructed on his return to Jeddah to inform King Ibn Saud accordingly, but to stress that the Iraq Government system of advanced posts was not intended for the purpose of interfering with the tribes, but that it was proposed to maintain a line of posts to protect the Iraq Government's step. Sir Gilbert Clayton was also authorised to inform King Ibn Saud that it was the present intention of the Iraq Government to retain three advanced posts only apart from such posts as might be required for the protection of the trans-desert route and of a pipe-line, if and when constructed, and apart also from posts so remote from the frontier as clearly to be of no interest to His Majesty.

11. Sir Gilbert Clayton was, furthermore, instructed to repeat to King Ibn Saud the assurance of His Britannic Majesty's Government and of the Iraq Government that the advanced posts were not intended for the purpose of interfering with the tribes, but that they were intended for the purpose of protecting the Iraq Government's step. He was to add that the two Governments realised that friendly co-operation with His Majesty was a necessary condition of the satisfactory administration of the tribal areas and the maintenance of peace in the desert, and that to this end they again suggested, for his serious consideration, the appointment of frontier representatives on each side of the border who could confer together in the case of any occurrence likely to disturb the tribes and thus the peace of the border. He was further to state that in order that King Ibn Saud might be in a position to satisfy himself that any advanced post maintained by the Iraq Government was not being used for offensive purposes, and that there was no interference with any Nejd tribes, the Iraq Government proposed to place these posts in the hands of representatives of the Nejd tribes. It was also suggested to King Ibn Saud that the Iraq Government would be prepared to consider the proposal that the advanced posts should be placed in the hands of representatives of the Nejd tribes, and that the Iraq Government would be prepared to consider the proposal that the advanced posts should be placed in the hands of representatives of the Nejd tribes. In addition, Sir Gilbert Clayton was authorised to inform His Majesty that, subject to a satisfactory arrangement being made in the matter of the advanced posts, the Iraq Government were prepared to make arrangements with King Ibn Saud in regard to the surrender of tribal offenders, the exchange of prisoners, and the like, on the lines discussed with his Majesty's advisers. It was also suggested to King Ibn Saud that the Iraq Government would be prepared to consider the proposal that the advanced posts should be placed in the hands of representatives of the Nejd tribes, and that the Iraq Government would be prepared to consider the proposal that the advanced posts should be placed in the hands of representatives of the Nejd tribes.

12. During the conversations of May, King Ibn Saud had enquired whether His Majesty's Government regarded the provisions of Article 6 of the Uqair Agreement, which stipulates that "the forces of Iraq and Nejd may not cross the common frontier in pursuit of offenders except with the consent of both Governments," as applying to British as well as Iraqi forces. Sir Gilbert Clayton was instructed to assure His Majesty that His Britannic Majesty's Government fully intended to observe the stipulations of this article, and that British forces would not cross the common frontier in pursuit of offenders. In the case, however, of an organised attack on a township or post—as opposed to a mere tribal raid—or in the event of a series of raids with which His Majesty had proved himself unable or unwilling to

deal, His Britannic Majesty's Government must reserve to themselves the right to take such action as they thought fit. Sir Gilbert Clayton was directed, when communicating this message to King Ibn Saud, to explain that the Iraq Government applied the provisions of Article 6 of the Uqair Agreement, and that the possibility of a breach of the frontier by the Iraq Government was not a possibility which the Iraq Government could not ignore. It was also suggested to King Ibn Saud that the Iraq Government would be prepared to consider the proposal that the advanced posts should be placed in the hands of representatives of the Nejd tribes, and that the Iraq Government would be prepared to consider the proposal that the advanced posts should be placed in the hands of representatives of the Nejd tribes.

13. A further communication was made to King Ibn Saud on his interrupted journey, and on his return to Jeddah, in the matter of the advanced posts. It was suggested to King Ibn Saud that the Iraq Government would be prepared to consider the proposal that the advanced posts should be placed in the hands of representatives of the Nejd tribes, and that the Iraq Government would be prepared to consider the proposal that the advanced posts should be placed in the hands of representatives of the Nejd tribes. It was also suggested to King Ibn Saud that the Iraq Government would be prepared to consider the proposal that the advanced posts should be placed in the hands of representatives of the Nejd tribes, and that the Iraq Government would be prepared to consider the proposal that the advanced posts should be placed in the hands of representatives of the Nejd tribes.

Subsequent conversations failed to move King Ibn Saud from this attitude, although his demeanour throughout the resumed conversations was markedly friendly, and even conciliatory, on the main point of principle he was clearly in favour of the Iraq Government's proposal. It was, however, both serious and regrettable that the King did not accept the Iraq Government's proposal. It was, however, both serious and regrettable that the King did not accept the Iraq Government's proposal.

4. It now remains only for His Britannic Majesty's Government to inform the King Ibn Saud of the substance of the Uqair Protocol and the advanced desert posts. You should accordingly communicate to King Ibn Saud the note of which the English text, with Arabic translation, is enclosed herein.

I am, &c
MONTEAGLE

Enclosure in No. 32

*Draft of proposed note to King Ibn Saud**

Your Majesty,
I HAVE the honour to inform your Majesty that I have been instructed by the Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, on behalf of His Britannic Majesty's Government, to address the following communication to your Majesty.
2. His Britannic Majesty's Government, while fully appreciating the friendly spirit in which the recent conversations between your Majesty and Sir Gilbert Clayton were held, have learnt with deep regret that those conversations have not resulted in an agreement on the major question at issue, namely the interpretation of Article 3 of the Uqair Protocol.

3. His Britannic Majesty's Government have given the most careful and sympathetic consideration to the views and arguments put forward by your Majesty, and they are particularly anxious to do everything in their power to assist your

* Addressed to Ibn Saud on November 18, 1925.

8. In that case the Governments concerned must agree for the time being to postpone any settlement as to the true meaning of article 3 of the First Protocol of 1913. Nevertheless the various treaties in question remain in full force and effect and the firm intention of His Britannic Majesty's Government and the Iraq Government scrupulously to observe the obligations imposed upon them by those instruments, and to direct their energies to the prevention of any incidents of a nature to provoke disturbances on the frontier or to disturb the friendly relations of the two countries. They are confident that your Majesty will discharge your obligations with the same scrupulous regard, and they note with satisfaction your Majesty's assurance to Sir Gilbert Clayton that the Government of the Hejaz and of Nejd and its Dependencies intend strictly to observe the terms of all treaties and agreements in its relations with the neighbouring States.

No. 39

H. G. JAKINS.

Abdul Aziz bin-Abdurrahman-al-Faisal to Mr. Stoenewer-Bird

(Trans. A.S.B.,

We hope that your Excellency will forward the following to the central British Government at London as soon as possible.

1. On 22.1347 (July 20, 1928) three aeroplanes came from Transjordan, flew over Nebs, proceeded to Haf, the seat of the Amirate of Qarata. Me. . . then returned. This, as the British Government will realise, is contrary to undertakings and an infraction of covenants.

2 The investigation we ordered concerning the raids previously reported to have been committed from Transjordan on our tribes shows that the total plundered from our subjects from Ramadan up to Zil Hijja 1346 amounts to approximately 1,600 camels slain, besides men, women and children killed and the properties looted.

* Not printed.

We have received the following information concerning bands which, from the beginning of the new year, have frequently attacked our subjects and plundered them

- (a.) On 7.2.1347 (July 24, 1928) the Howaitat raided the Shararat, looting four groups of camels, numbering 180 camels in all, and killed twenty-five men.
- (b.) On 10.2.1347 (July 27, 1928) Mefieh-bin-Mesbeh, accompanied by ten mounted men, raided Shararat at Maqur, looting eighty camels.
- (c.) On 22.2.1347 (August 8, 1928) the Howaitat raided the neighbourhood of Jauf and looted three camels from our residence there.
- (d.) On 26.2.1347 (August 11, 1928) the Howaitat raided the Shararat at Ramamin, taking twenty-one camels.
- (e.) On 27.2.1347 (August 13, 1928) the Howaitat raided the Shararat at Diau, looting five camels.

We protest against all these acts and await the reply of the British Government on these matters, which are overstepping the bounds of patience. This is what we beg your Excellency to communicate to the British Government. Respects.)

IBN SA'ID.

18.4.1347 (October 2, 1928).

E 5448 3182 91]

No. 40

Agent, Jeddah, to Lord Cushendun.—(Received November 15)

(No 98)

(Telegraphic) R.

Jeddah, November 15, 1928.

MINISTER for Foreign Affairs has sent me a strong protest that on 24th and 29th September last raiders from Beni Atia, Sukkur, and Howaitat, from Kerak and Maan took thirty camels and two groups of camels from the neighbourhood of Jauf and returned to Transjordan. (Repeated to Jerusalem, No. 192)

No. 41

Lord Cushendun to Mr. Jakins (Jeddah)

(No. 57)

(Telegraphic) R.

Foreign Office, November 21, 1928

YOU R. Telegram No. 63 of 27th October. A. Jeddah. Nejd. British

I enclose inform Hejaz Government that on 31st August a British aeroplane, returning to Iraq from Egypt, lost track near Kasr Karana and may have crossed Nejd frontier.

You should add that steps have been taken to mark the track more clearly, and that His Majesty's Government trust that this will prevent a repetition of such incidents, which they greatly regret.

E 5700 484 91]

No. 42

Mr. Jakins to Lord Cushendun.—(Received November 30)

(No. 176)

My Lord

Jeddah, November 1, 1928

I HAVE the honour to transmit herewith my report on the situation in the Hejaz for the period the 1st to 31st October 1928.

2 copies of this report are being sent to Egypt, Baghdad, Jerusalem, 2) Jerusalem for transmission to the Royal Air Force Officer Commanding in Palestine and Transjordan, Aden, Delhi, Beirut, Damascus, Singapore, Khartum through Port Sudan, Lagos (2).

I have, &c
H G JAKINS

Enclosure 1 in No. 42

Jeddah Report for Period October 1-31, 1928

THE King is in Riyadh. The Royal family and entourage, in all 500 persons, had the satisfaction of being squeezed for the journey into sixty-two cars instead of the one hundred and fifty cars which were originally planned for the 4th October of all the Nejdies of standing in the villages and among the Bedu so as to come to a decision as to future interior and foreign policy. This convocation is a redoubtable of the power of the King. Sir Gilbert Clayton. Never before, it is said, has there been such a gathering in the country, for 10,000 are expected to be present, and Riyadh looks fuller than Mecca in the height of the pilgrimage season. The meeting has since been postponed until the 29th October and the Viceroy's Minister for the Hejaz, Ibn Humaid-bin Biyad had already arrived but that Fataal-ed-Dowesh was nervous as to his reception. He had therefore sent his sons to Ibn Saud to ask that the settlement of their disputes might be postponed until after he had attended the conference. If this is true it lends considerable support to the view of those who have maintained that Ibn Saud's control of the tribes as a whole has never been weakened to the extent that it was at one time. It is also to be noted that the tendency of the Mecca Foreign Office is any indication of the policy likely to be adopted, one may expect that the might of the sword will give way to the pin-pricks of the pen. The recent decision not to co-operate in bringing to justice the refugees in Nejd accused of complicity in the desert motor convoy raids is an obvious step in this direction.

2. With Buaiyah given over to the peaceful discovery of the cheetah, the centre of raiding interest has shifted to the Transjordan frontier. The Hejaz Foreign Ministry has presented a list of twenty-seven raids by the Howaitat of Transjordan on the Shararat of Nejd, and complained that their own unruly subject, Abdul-Aziz, was allowed to cross the frontier and raid the Nejd. The King has protested against five similar raids and the crossing of the frontier on the west by the Howaitat. The King has produced a second complaint of the same nature. Following the Transjordan raids, only one Nejd counter-raid has taken place, so that the claim that the Nejd tribes are being held in restraint seems to be not entirely unfounded. But so convinced is the King that the Howaitat raids have been inspired by the Bedu of Iraq, whose territory is contiguous to the Hejaz, that he appears to have completely overlooked the serious Ruwala raid which, in Transjordan, is regarded as having initiated the present series. The most effective rejoinder to the string of large and petty Nejd raids is to be found in the preparation of a counter-raid and a statement of the Transjordan position.

3. The incident of the Beni Malek, after greatly exercising the Hejazi mind and imagination, seems to have fizzled out. There are two versions of the story. The full-blooded Nejd one is that the tribe has been completely annihilated, that their chief, Abdulla-bin-Fadl, and his son (not to be confused with the Viceroy's adviser, Abdulla-el-Fadl, or his brother Mohammed) had been spared for execution in Mecca, but that they had not survived the journey and only their heads were now to be expected. The sceptical Hejazi maintains that the Beni Malek were impregnablely ensconced in a mountain valley which could be comfortably defended by two men, and that the Nejd were expected to attack them. Otherwise why was the tribe's stuffs controlled in Lith, behind which the tribe had its habitat, and where was the captured booty? But the prospect of the meeting at Riyadh and the unsettled state of the Transjordan frontier made it imperative that the Government troops, which were estimated at as many as 10,000 in all, converging from various directions, should not fail. It seems therefore most probable that the Beni Malek were betrayed by the Beni Ali sub-section.

4. With the continued export of gold to England, to swell presumably the King's private banking account, the Saudian real remains very unsteady. In Mecca the £ has been at a premium of 8 gish, and although in Jeddah the Dutch bank (Netherlands Trading Society) on the initiative of the Government, but at their own risk, spent £5,000 gold in an attempt to bolster up confidence in the currency, and actually succeeded in bringing the rate of exchange down to within 3 gish of par,

[1928]

the improvement has not been maintained, and on the last day of the month the £ was back to 228. Nor while the present financial policy is pursued is there likely to be any improvement until the pilgrims start arriving in numbers and create a demand for small coins.

5. In the case of the steamship contract it was possible to get down to the market value of the deep affront to the Emir's dignity occasioned by the signing of the Yambo condenser contract. A tentative offer of a reduction in price of £2.8 was made upon which a reply was received from the Emir's private agent, Messrs. Gellatly, Hankey were able to secure a second and approved contract closely modelled on the lines of the "unauthorised" one. Fund Hamza, who seems to have considerable influence with His Royal Highness, insisted on the insertion of a new clause referring any dispute which might arise to the Kadi of Jeddah, to be settled in accordance with the terms of the contract. This clause, in the bright legal mind of the Emir's private agent, was interpreted as a power to deprive them of the agency, and, indeed, so far as this contract is concerned, is sufficient to deprive them of the agency. The Emir's private agent, however, is not without scruples in allowing the contract has proved too great, or the force of habit too strong for they have not yet been able to bring themselves to pay the whole of the new overdue first instalment.

6. During the month a meeting of the leading merchants was called by the Emir's private agent to discuss the responsibility of the shipping agents. It has been made to hold shipping agents responsible for goods until their delivery to buyers. The kaimakam, with the concurrence of the merchants, and much to his own satisfaction as agent for the Turner Morrison line of steamships, has now secured that in accordance with the terms of bills of lading the responsibility of shipping agents will cease when goods leave a vessel. The shirkh of the Emir will thereafter bear the responsibility until relieved by the Customs authorities.

7. The Dutch destroyers "De Ruyter" and "Eversley" put into Jeddah on the 26th October, and left on the 27th October. I gather, although the commanding officer was very hazy on the subject, that the call was in return of the visit of the Emir Faisal to Holland. His Highness came down to Jeddah for two or three days and entertained the officers and the Dutch colony to a dinner. He was subsequently entertained on board. The foreign representatives were not invited owing to the failure of the acting Dutch consul to agree to the suggested placing of the Soviet representative. On the eve of their departure the ships gave a short searchlight and firework display, but I understand the cook is very hurt at the omission of the customary salute on arrival. The visit seems to have fallen flat.

8. Kerim Khakimoff, the Soviet agent, left on the 13th October. When I saw him on the eve of his departure he was in a very low state of mind. He produced an excellent bottle of vodka, and although abstaining himself became increasingly maudlin and affectionately reminiscent of former occupants of this post. He stated his firm conviction that of all the sects of Islam, the Hanbalis, which include the Wahabites, would be the last to enter Paradise. He expects to return to Jeddah after his leave, that is, Turaculov, his successor, comes from Turkistan and has a marked Mongol appearance. He showed himself clearly no stranger to the bottle. He said he could not remember a whole bottle of vodka, and that whisky was not to be had in Jeddah. He was, however, a stout drinker. He speaks little Arabic or French. Now that he has been very active since he arrived. He has frequent contact with the Emir Faisal, while the latter was in Jeddah, and has frequently received him. He has been very active in propagating his views. It is reported that the Bolsheviks wish to make a fresh start in their commercial activities, but it is difficult to believe that much discussion should be limited to preparing the ground for another attempt at mere trading.

9. The Soviet ship "Kommunist" put into Jeddah on the 13th October and left on the same day. She is a vessel of nearly 2,000 tons and carried wood, flour, corn, stuffs and sugar. No cargo was discharged in Jeddah, but a sum of £4,000 gold said to be the proceeds of the sale of Soviet merchandise previously landed was taken on board. I have heard a report which I have no means to confirm that a supply of ammunition was landed. A vessel formerly attached to the Soviet agency in Jeddah, was among the passengers, and stated that he was proceeding to the Persian Gulf. The next port of call was to be Hoderdah, where 2,000 packages would be landed.

10. Mohammed es Said left on the 21st October on his appointment to Constantinople. He announced that he attributed this change, which includes promotion to the rank of consul of the first class, to the remarkable success of the

discussions he had so ably conducted with the King this summer. As nothing has as yet been heard of the proposed new hospitals and water supply and the Egyptian objection to the Hejaz Nationality Law, this declaration was received with some surprise. It appears, however, that my colleague was referring to the effect on His Majesty of his personal charm and elegance.

11. I attach a copy of a regulation relating to motor car companies.

12. I have been officially informed that the pilgrim dues levied last season and detailed in the appendix to Jeddah report for the month of October 1927 will be unchanged next season.

13. One slave, of Sudanese origin, took refuge in this agency during the period under review, and was repatriated to Suakin.

Fuel ware 2 in No. 42.

Motor Car Companies Regulation

ARTICLE 1. A committee will be constituted in the Hejaz to organise the motor car service, and to prevent damage and loss to owners of cars and to pilgrims.

Art. 2. The members comprising the said committee will be chosen by the companies, one from each. Half will be in Jeddah and half in Mecca.

Art. 3. The Government will appoint two officials, one in Jeddah and the other in Mecca, to preside over the committee.

Art. 4. All directors and managers of Hejaz motor car companies must be subjects of the local Government.

Art. 5. The committee will distribute pilgrims among the companies in proportion to the number of their cars and their capacity.

Art. 6. Pilgrims shall be distributed among the companies by a special official for that purpose. Tickets shall be signed by the special official and by the remaining members. Any company carrying passengers without the permission of the committee will be fined £10 for each passenger.

Art. 7. The price of tickets fixed by the Government will not be liable to any increase or decrease. Any company increasing or decreasing the rate fixed by the Government will be fined £10 for each passenger.

Art. 8. A Government official will be appointed to act as a special appointed official. No other official shall interfere in the affairs of the companies except through the intermediary of the special official. The demands will be distributed equally among the companies.

Art. 9. Civil and military employees shall pay half-rates while they remain in the public service.

Art. 10. The Government will pay half rate for their guests.

Art. 11. Every five large cars shall be accompanied by one large spare car. Four or a smaller number of large cars shall be accompanied by one small car. Every five or less than five small cars shall be accompanied by a small spare car.

Art. 12. If one of the companies' cars breaks down with passengers during the journey between Mecca and Jeddah, Jeddah and Medina or Medina and Yambo, and the company concerned fails to arrange for the transport of the passengers with the necessary alacrity, the committee will order the company to send a car for their conveyance within three hours of the receipt of the order if the breakdown occurred between Mecca and Jeddah, within twenty four hours if it occurred between Jeddah and Medina, and within twelve hours if between Medina and Yambo. If the company fails to arrange for the necessary transport before the expiration of the given time, the committee will send a car of another company to carry the passengers and will recover the fare from the first company for payment to the second.

Art. 13. Any car proceeding on any road between Jeddah and Mecca or between Jeddah and Medina carrying no passengers and finding a broken-down car with passengers shall take over the said passengers, and may claim from the committee a fare in proportion to distance to be recovered by the committee from the company whose car broke down.

Art. 14. The committee shall appoint engineers to assist the engineer appointed by the Government to examine cars for Medina and to ensure that they have the proper spare parts and number of passengers.

Art. 15. The company has the right to refuse to carry more than 5 kilogram weight of luggage for each person.

Art. 17. When distributing passengers among the companies the committee shall prepare a statement in duplicate of the number of passengers allotted to each company, and retain one copy and hand the other to the manager of the kashan.

Art. 18. Passengers' fares are to be paid in cash at the office of the committee. One per cent shall be deducted for working expenses, and shall be held by the committee for payment of salaries and other necessary expenses of the committee. At the end of an account of the deductions will be made, and whatever remains over will be returned to the passengers.

Art. 19. Mutawifs will be given a quarter of an Arab real for each pilgrim travelling by car from Jeddah to Mecca, or vice versa, 1 real for those proceeding from Mecca to Medina and 1 real for the return journey.

Art. 20. The committee will advance to the pilgrims a loan of 1 per cent in advance to cover their initial expenses. This loan will be met from the 1 per cent allotted to the committee.

Art. 21. The committee will draw up as soon as possible, and forward to the Government for approval, a regulation for drivers.

Art. 22. The committee will function from the 20th Jamad Tani (sixth month) to the 15th Safar (second month) of each year.

No driver shall be employed unless he produces a certificate of good conduct from the company with which he last served.

E 5622 5622 91]

No. 43.

Sir Austen Chamberlain to Sir H. Rumbold (Berlin)

(No. 1548.)

Sir,

Foreign Office, November 30, 1928

THE German Ambassador called at the Foreign Office on the 23rd November and informed Sir R. Lindsay that his Government had recently received a communication from the Foreign Secretary of the Government of the Hejaz, a communication which had also been addressed to the German Minister in Cairo, expressing the desire that the German Government would formally recognize the Hejaz Government, and would open negotiations with a view to improving commercial relations. The German Government had replied accepting these overtures, and wished His Majesty's Government to be informed immediately of their action.

2. The German Government had since then received a communication from a certain Raghib, an official of the Government of the Imam of the Yemen, asking for recognition and formal relations. To this the German Government had hitherto sent no answer. They understood that relations existed between the Yemen and Italy, and that they were likely to be contracted in the near future with Turkey, but they were not prepared to do so, as the relations between the Yemen and His Majesty's Government, and, while anxious not unduly to delay any answer, thought it would be desirable to have some information on this point before doing so.

3. Sir R. Lindsay informed M. Sthamer that he would make enquiries into the matter and communicate with him further.

I am, &c

AUSTEN CHAMBERLAIN

E 5918 1 91,

No. 44

Agent, Jeddah, to Sir Austen Chamberlain.—(Received December 13)

(No. 100)

Telegraphic R

Jeddah, December 13, 1928

LORD LLOYD has asked me whether there is any truth in reports in Egyptian press of serious revolt in Hejaz against Ibn Saud.

These reports probably arose from Beni Malek incident, which is now regarded as closed. In addition, there has recently been looting at Taif by Ibn Bujad's

Utebah from Ghut Ghut. Qade is said to have been killed, but Amir Feisal went there in person and calm is now restored.

I have no further information respecting situation in the interior, and must regard reports of a revolt either serious or widespread as unfounded.
(Repeated to Cairo, Jerusalem, and Bagdad, No. 196.)

E 5945 3261 91]

No. 45

Agent, Jeddah, to Sir Austen Chamberlain.—(Received December 15)

(No. 101)

(Telegraphic)

Jeddah, December 15, 1928

YOUR despatch No. 215

Minister for Foreign Affairs informs me that Ibn Saud has accepted in principle the Ministry's proposals for the settlement of the situation. I will telegraph details as soon as His Majesty's letter arrives in Jeddah.
(Repeated to Bagdad, No. 198.)

E 5946 1 91]

No. 46

Agent, Jeddah, to Sir Austen Chamberlain.—(Received December 15)

(No. 102)

(Telegraphic) R.

Jeddah, December 15, 1928

MINISTER for Foreign Affairs informs me that at conference at Riyadh with the British representative, the following points were agreed:—
relations with Iraq and Transjordan, and full sovereign rights of Ibn Saud to control policy were confirmed. I understand that there is to be some tribal reorganization.

A special number of "Umel Qura" will be issued giving report of proceedings.
(Repeated to Bagdad and Jerusalem, No. 199.)

E 6012 3261/91]

No. 47

Agent, Jeddah, to Sir Austen Chamberlain.—(Received December 19)

(No. 103)

(Telegraphic)

Jeddah, December 19, 1928

MY telegram No. 101

I have now received an advance copy of King's letter. After much preliminary discussion His Majesty's Government have decided to accept the terms of the letter, "if the British Government will not be satisfied to execute third clause of the Protocol of Uqair as it stands, unless it is referred to arbitration, we accept arbitration."

The following points are put forward for consideration:—

1. Arbitrators to be composed of two parties chosen by Nejd and Iraq respectively.
2. His Majesty's Government to be neutral.
3. Arbitrators to be familiar with conditions of Arabs and anxious for the present and future preservation of Nejd and Iraq independence.
4. Subject for discussion to be decided by the whole question from its origin, and to include examination of frontier between Iraq and Nejd.
- [15] His Majesty's Government to undertake the execution in Iraq of decision reached.
6. After decision consideration to be given to avoid either party suffering from its execution.
7. In view of critical position, the whole question to be settled as soon as possible. His Majesty awaits the views of His Majesty's Government and also asks that provisions of article 6 of Bahra and article 10 of Hadda Agreements be accepted without reservation.

(Repeated to Bagdad, No. 202)

16. During the period under review two slaves, one of Abyssinian and one of Eritrean origin, applied to this agency for repatriation, and were sent to their homes by way of Massawa.

Delete article 11 and substitute:—

One large spare car shall accompany from one to seven large cars, and one small spare car shall accompany from one to seven small cars. Eight to ten large cars shall be accompanied by two large spare cars, and eight to ten small cars by two small spare cars.

No 34

Agent, Jeddah, to Sir Austen Chamberlain.—(Received December 2.)

No. 119

$$\{ (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n) \} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

1 lb Decemr 2- 1842

of Nuri Sand at much-disputed post likely to agitate tribes and give rise to disturbances.

He asks for His Majesty's Government's views on the subject so that His Majesty's Government may take whatever steps may be necessary.

(Repeated to Bagdad, No. 210.)

CHAPTER II.—SYRIA.

E 3338/141 89]

No. 55.

Consul Hale to Sir Austen Chamberlain.—(Received July 8.)

(No 43. Confidential.)

Sir,

Damascus, June 8, 1952.

WITH reference to my despatch No. 36, Confidential, of the 27th April, relative to the elections to the Syrian Constituent Assembly, I have the honour to report that the precautions taken by the French authorities secured the election of Government candidates to the four seats remaining; Shukur-el-Quem was replaced at the instance of the Government by a rich Christian merchant named George Sabawi.

2. Nationalist candidates in the complementary elections, who attribute their

The first of these is the fact that the system is not in a steady state. The second is the fact that the system is not in a steady state. The third is the fact that the system is not in a steady state.

It is my remark that the Government is already divided among itself, and a number of parties have taken place which, though scarcely meriting individual record, have a collective importance which augurs ill for the future.

6 In the meantime the Nationalists seem to concentrate on the question of a "Syrian unity" - the removal of various local claims which were assigned to the Lebanon by General Gouraud in 1921; the French High Commission, on the other hand, has been sufficiently astute to draw a red herring across awkward issues in raising the question of the future monarchical or republican character of the State of Syria, with the well-founded hope of splitting parties into small and jealous factions. The candidates are numerous, Sherif Zeid, Sherif Ali (sons of ex-King Hussein), Faisal bin Sa'ad, Youssef Kamil, Abdul Muhsin, Ali H. al-Lami and the late King's son, Prince Ali, are all considered as future kings, while Nuri al-Tajid-Din and practically every Nationalist leader have hopes of the presidency of the republic.

7. Disposition is not restricted to the Syrians; M. Deléclé-Destoges, the High Commissioner's flag-bearer, is ~~also~~ a violent champion in French circles for his undue optimism regarding the results of the elections, and many military acquaintances of mine have expressed the strongest disapproval of the "idealistic" ~~views~~ of the High Commissioner and his assistant, M. Mangras.

8. I do not feel that any serious progress will be made under the forthcoming Assembly

9. I am sending copy of this despatch direct to His Majesty's High Commissioners at Jerusalem and Bagdad, His Majesty's Charge at Beirut, His Majesty's consul at Aleppo and the British resident at Arman.

I have, &c.

E. C. HOLF

E 3435 141 89

No. 56.

Consul Note to Sir Austen Chamberlain — (Received July 9)

(No. 46. Confidential.)

Sir,

Damascus, June 12 1928

WITH reference to my despatch No. 43, Confidential, of the 8th June, regarding the political situation, I have the honour to report that the opening session of the Constituent Assembly was held on the 9th June.

2. Proceedings began with a carefully prepared speech by the French High Commissioner, of which I have the honour to enclose the complete text. His opening words emphasised the gravity of the task before the Assembly, of drawing up the Constitution and assuring the future evolution of Syria. The present circumstances of concord among Syrians themselves and the mutual confidence of the members of the Assembly and the French representatives were next touched on, and the necessity of a treaty between France and Syria.

3. The speech ended on a note of tactful warning. "You will best contribute to the final solution in demonstrating that political capacity whose maturity will one day lead Syria to her place among the nations. . . . I trust that you will not let any situation develop in the Assembly which might imperil the success of our common effort."

4. Nowhere in the speech was there any mention of the mandate, and the introduction of that unpopular word into the Arabic translation of M. Ponsot's speech evoked a protest from Fawzi Gazi, one of the leaders of the Nationalist party.

5. Sheikh Taj-ed Din, President of the Council, replied in a windy allocution, of which a copy is also appended. The French High Commissioner then left and the Assembly proceeded to elect its officers.

6. The results, as had been anticipated, were a complete success for the Nationalists, the president, the two vice-presidents, two secretaries and three members of the committee all being members of that party. On a straight division for the office of president, the Nationalist candidate, Hashem Attam, formerly Prime Minister to King Faisal, secured a three-quarters majority, a pretty accurate index of the strength of the party.

Subsequent elections on the 11th June also returned exclusively Nationalist officers, and the final selection of the committee of twenty-seven entrusted with the preparation of the Constitution. Their evident preponderance will certainly provoke further accessions from the Nationalists.

7. If the Nationalists can maintain an unbroken front and guard themselves against the intoxication of success, I see no reason why this Assembly should not mark definite progress in the execution of the mandate. For the moment, discipline inside the party is excellent, and although the French authorities regard them as extremist, I do not believe that they aspire to anything beyond the present status of "Iraq," but with a marked tendency towards a republic rather than a monarchy. It is to be feared, however, that the party will split into numerous factions, and the High Commission is undoubtedly discounting this event.

8. The results of the first session evoked noisy but harmless demonstrations by youthful patriots, but otherwise there has been no disturbance.

10. I am sending copy of this despatch direct to His Majesty's High Commissioners at Bagdad and Jerusalem, His Majesty's consul-general at Beirut, His Majesty's consul at Aleppo, and the British Legation at Ankara.

I have, &c.

E. C. BOLL.

95

Enclosure 1 in No. 56.

Speech of the French High Commissioner at the First Session of the Constituent Assembly, June 9, 1928.

C'EST une heure solennelle dans l'histoire de la Syrie que celle qui vous voit ici réunis pour discuter la Constitution de ce pays, c'est-à-dire à l'organisation des pouvoirs publics qui auront chargé de diriger l'évolution et d'assurer l'avenir du pays.

Depuis longtemps, attentifs au progrès de l'esprit politique, nous souhaitons que la Syrie soit libre de choisir son régime et de constituer son Parlement.

L'heure est particulièrement favorable pour le faire aujourd'hui dans un sentiment de concorde entre vous-mêmes et de confiance entre les membres de l'Assemblée et les représentants de la France.

Mais le travail dans lequel nous sommes associés exige de tous une ferme détermination d'aboutir à des solutions qui assurent dans un large esprit de conciliation la garantie de l'avenir du pays.

M. Ponsot, directeur de la Syrie, a été élu président de l'Assemblée. Les propositions qui lui ont été soumises ont été adoptées à l'unanimité. Lorsque vous aurez achevé votre tâche, le nom de la Syrie sera connu et conforme à nos communes aspirations.

La négociation d'un traité permettra d'envisager la solution de tous les problèmes qui, à un degré égal, nous préoccupent, et vous aurez d'autant mieux préparé le règlement définitif que vous aurez dès maintenant fait la preuve de votre capacité, dont le renouveau doit assurer, le moment venu, à la Syrie sa place légitime auprès des autres nations.

Messieurs, je suis pleinement instruit de vos sentiments, de vos vœux, et j'ai vu que certains de vos vœux sont en accord avec les principes de la Constitution. Je vous prie de vouloir bien les faire connaître à l'Assemblée, car c'est elle qui aura le devoir de les discuter et de les adopter.

Enclosure 2 in No. 56.

Speech of the Prime Minister at the First Session of the Constituent Assembly, June 9, 1928.

Messieurs et chers Collègues,

C'EST avec une joie indicible que je salue en ce jour l'ouverture de l'Assemblée constituante chargée d'établir les bases de l'édifice de la Constitution.

Une Assemblée comme la vôtre, composée d'hommes de bien, de sages et de vaillants, est une science et douée d'un patriotisme si élevé, que la tâche qui lui est confiée est facile. Elle est qualifiée pour mener à bien ce grand œuvre de la Constitution, car elle a depuis longtemps de préparer.

Messieurs, l'Assemblée a le devoir de passer au travail. Les événements de ces derniers jours ont été pour nous une grande leçon, nous avons été témoins de la volonté de la Syrie de se constituer.

Messieurs, nous avons devant nous une tâche ardue et nous avons abordé une ère nouvelle. Nous devons nous souvenir que nous sommes tracés. Et c'est dans notre conscience et notre bon sens que nous trouverons la force nécessaire pour mener à bien cette tâche. Le succès n'est-il pas l'aboutissement logique de la sagesse et de la pondération que nous emploierons dans l'examen de nos multiples problèmes?

C'est la France qui a été la première à reconnaître la Syrie et à lui offrir la protection de son armée. C'est la France qui a été la première à reconnaître la Syrie et à lui offrir la protection de son armée.

Soyons donc fiers de nos engagements déjà donnés, je crois devoir, contrairement à l'usage de nos collègues qui ont écrit à ce sujet, nous déclarer que la Constitution imposée. C'est votre honorable Assemblée qui élaborera, dans la plénitude de sa liberté, la Constitution appelée à garantir au pays sa souveraineté nationale.

42

Enclosure 2 in No. 57.

[E 3436 3130, 69]

No. 57

Consul Ho to Sir Austen Chamberlain — (Received July 9.)

(No 4R) Secret

His Majesty's consul at Damascus presents his compliments to His Majesty's Principal Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, and has the honour to transmit a copy of a despatch which he has addressed to the High Commissioner at Bagdad respecting the Syrian political situation.

Dumagueta, June 14, 1928.

Employer 1 in No. 37

Consul Hale to High Commissioner, Bagdad.

(Na. 76. Secret.)

Bie.

Damascus, June 14, 1925

WITH reference to my telegrams Nos. 5 and 6 of the 13th and 14th June, I have the honour to transmit the text of the memorandum handed to me by Lieutenant L. D. Grand, a member of the staff of the Iraq Ministry of Defence, dealing with a conversation he had with certain Arab notables regarding the political situation in

2 The chief point of interest in this conversation is the statement that fifty-five Nationalist Deputies have sworn to support the eventual candidature of the Emir Zaid to the throne of Syria. This assertion was entirely at variance with my own opinion, which I had already expressed in my telegram No. 4 of the 10th June and in paragraph 8 of my despatch No. 40 of the 12th June addressed to His Majesty's Foreign Secretary. However, in view of the standing of Mr Grand's interlocutor, I

3. I had the same evening the opportunity of meeting some well-informed persons, and the result of my enquiries confirmed me in my original opinion. Whatever may be the case a few months hence, the Nationalist party, which has an overwhelming preponderance in the Assembly, has now made up its mind to a republic, and I cannot think that the declarations made to the Minister of Defence were based on anything more than a desire to be agreeable.

4. It is true that in the event of a monarchy being constituted at Damascus, the Emir Zeid would be a popular candidate, and a recently formed ex-officers' group, divided its allegiance between him and ex-King Ali. This group is not represented in the Assembly and will seek support directly from the people, who are of a feudal type. A manifestation of this National Revolt group is contemplated on the 15th June.

5. It must be added that French suspicions of the Hasbenute family have not diminished and their consent to the candidature of the Emir Zeid might be withheld.

6. Other candidates in the field include Yusuf Kemal, of the family of the late Khedive; Feisal, son of Ibn Saud; Abdul Mejd, son of Sherif Ali Haydar; the son of the Bey of Tunis and a member of the Hussein family of Morocco. The last two are very recent competitors, and are said to have been entered by the French authorities.

I am sending copy of this despatch direct to His Majesty's Foreign Secretary, His Majesty's High Commissioner at Jerusalem, His Majesty's consul-general at Beirut, His Majesty's consul at Aleppo and the British Resident at Amman.

I have, &c.

F. C. HOFF.

AΓ present four variables in the system and have produced the following
 constraints for the frequency of use of N₁ and N₂ in the N₁ and N₂ positions.
 N₁ is shared by all N₁ and N₂ positions. N₂ is shared by all N₂ and N₃
 positions. N₃ is shared by all N₃ and N₄ positions. N₄ is shared by all N₄ and N₅
 positions.

2. If King Pasha's son, Prince Zaid, is a Christian and he receives a nomination with an American as a rival, will that rival be a Christian? Having this in mind, his grandfather, the Emir, has said: "The winners of our race has lost the right to rule, for we are a nation who are so much like the Christians."

4. The names of those present who supplied the above are: Ibrahim Hananu, Jamil Mardambey and Nossef Hamza.

5. Local French official opinion was stated to be divided and M. Ponsot was stated not to have made a statement but to be watching, it was believed, with a view to taking whatever line appeared to have real support locally.

6. As I have no knowledge of the standing of the names mentioned in paragraph 4, I simply give the above for what it is worth.

June 13, 1998

L. D. GRAND

E 3567/3180 891

No 58.

Consul Holo to Sir Austen Chamberlain.—(Received July 17)

(No. 50. Secret.)

S. r.

Dattasena, July 21, 1928.

WITH reference to my despatch No. 75 of the 14th June addressed to H. Excellency the High Commissioner at Bagdad relating to the election of a President of the Chamber, I have the honor to reply that the members have shown no signs of being influenced by the Government. No party is sharply republican in tendency. Certain leaders, such as Ibrahim Hananu, have an inclination to a monarchical Constitution, and I am told that from motives of personal attachment to President of the Chamber, Hashem Attam, might support the candidature of a Hashemite; the majority is, however, against them.

[illegible][illegible]

4. Yassin Pasha and Rustom Haidar had unfortunately left for Beirut when I returned their call.

3. It was to apparently the extremely frank attitude of M. Yver to clear up a few points of interest to me. He stated that our first bill, the 1908 bill, had a perfectly perfect basis in the French Government to be as a law in Syria, but he was at the present convinced that the French Government was going for a revision of the Constitution may safely be drawn that the High Commission's desires lie in that direction. He stated definitely that the French Government had not approved or accepted the candidature of any aspirant to the monarchy and that the High Commission proposed to maintain an attitude of complete aloofness in the matter.

19083

6. The general impression left in my mind by conversations with French officials is that M. Ponsot's absence is simply a complete interruption of his work. The military group is furious with him, and I have been told that one of the chief objects of General Vallier's short leave to France is to "disembark" the High Commissioner. A number of officers holding high positions appear to have applied for a transfer from Syria in consequence of their disapproval of M. Ponsot's supine policy, and French officials with whom I am on terms of a certain familiarity have expressed their dissatisfaction in terms of some vigour.

7. The disposition of the Nationalist bloc towards the Government is becoming daily clearer. They display their contempt for Ministers and the minority by holding private sessions outside of the Assembly and presenting in the Chamber a cut-and-dried proposal, which is regularly voted without discussion. This humiliation has been too much for the minority, who are talking of withdrawing from the Assembly in protest.

8. Some changes in the Ministry are in the air and there is talk of replacing S. Mahdineh, Khalil al-Khalil, Hossain Bey and Jami' Mar'ashy at the Ministry of the Interior, Fares Khoury or Mohammed Nahas at the Ministry of Finance, and Asif-el-Sulh at the Ministry of Education. The proposed new Ministers are all Nationalists, and the change is to be made either by agreement with the present Ministry or through the intervention of the High Commissioner, who has discussed the question with the Nationalist leaders in an interview lasting two hours.

9. I am sending copy of this despatch direct to His Majesty's High Commissioners at Jerusalem and Bagdad, Mr. Murray's colleague at Beirut, His Majesty's Consul at Aleppo and the British Resident at Amman.

I have, &c.
E. C. HOLE

\$ 3669,8120 891

No. 39

Consul-General Satow to Sir Austen Chamberlain.—(Received July 17.)

(No. 62. Secret.)

515

Beirut, June 25, 1928.

WITH reference to the third paragraph of Damascus despatch No. 50, Secret, of the 21st June, to you, stating that the presence in Syria of Nuri Pasha Seyyid, Yassin Pasha and Rustem Haider is a source of some anxiety to the French, I have the honour to report that yesterday, after lunch, M. Mangin, secretary-general delegate of the High Commission, having referred half-jeastingly to these persons, I asked him to tell me frankly whether their presence and activities were really embarrassing. He replied that so far they had not proved embarrassing to the French, but that several of the Nationalist leaders had spoken to him on the subject and had asked why these people from outside were interfering in what is a purely Syrian affair. I asked M. Mangin to let me know what action the Government of these Imperial Powers had taken to remove these persons from Syria, and he promised to do so.

2. Yusein Pasha, who left Beirut for Damascus on the 21st June, where he intended to stay a few days before returning to Baghdad. Yusein Pasha, who has, it is said, some idea of summering at Broumana in the Lebanon, is at present in Beirut, as is Rustem Haider. It is, however, likely that they will both soon return to Damascus. So far I have not seen either of them.

3. They are clearly here to try and further the interests of the Emir Zeid as a possible candidate for the Throne of Syria and, therefore, a threat, which I strongly doubt I cannot help feeling that the fruits of this extraordinary journey to the shores of these unofficial agents of His Majesty King Faisal, will have some real effect in our plans. It appears to me to be quite regrettable that efforts should be made, as they are being made, by outsiders to influence the choice of the representatives of the Syrian people of their future form of government.

I think it's important to have a flag like the one we see on Flag 13-1 and 14-1.

H. E. SATOW.

E 3666, 3130 /897

No. 60

Consul Hole to Sir Austen Chamberlain.—(Received July 23.)

(No. 33. Secret.)

HIS Majesty's consul at Damascus presents his compliments to His Majesty's Principal Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, and has the honour to transmit to him copy of a despatch he has addressed to His Majesty's High Commissioner at Bagdad respecting the political situation in Syria.

Damascus, June 28, 1928

Enclosure in No. 60.

Consul Hole to His Majesty's High Commissioner, Bagdad

[Faint handwritten notes at the bottom of the page]

Lomaxena, June 28, 1928.

WITH reference to your telegram No. 338 of the 26th June addressed to the Secretary of State for the Colonies, I have the honour to report that Nuri Sayyid Pasha and Rustem Haidar inform me that they have had an interview with M. Ponsot, in which he appears to have manifested the friendliest attitude towards the Hashemite family.

M. Ponsot is as a rule extremely non-committal, and I believe that on this occasion he did not so much make declarations as allow inferences to be drawn.

3. The substance of the conversation was reported to me, but I thought well not to telegraph it to you, as it added little to the King's information; moreover, my movements being still the object of deep interest to the Service des Renseignements, I did not care to risk creating the impression that I was taking an active part in internal politics, and this could easily be done by the King if necessary. An interview immediately followed by the despatch of a long telegram to Bagdad.

4. M. Poincaré discussed the form of government to be adopted in Syria and professed himself strongly in favour of a monarchy, although he was careful to add that he would leave the Constituent Assembly a completely free hand. He made it clear that, although the choice of the form of government was within the province of the Assembly, the choice of a King or Queen was a matter for the Government. He stressed the importance of the Government's action that might jeopardise cordial relations with his neighbours.

5. Certain candidates to the throne of Syria being mentioned, the High Commissioner seems to have shown scant respect for their qualifications and to have left Nuri and Rustam Haidar with the impression that he would not consider any candidate but a member of the Hashemite family. He added that the question being of an international scope should evidently be treated at Paris, and, in reply to an enquiry as to the state of French public opinion, remarked that, though it was still somewhat ill-disposed to the dynasty, that was by no means beyond remedy.

A more open discussion, and he will doubtless inform me of its course.

7. It must be kept in mind that, although the French High Commissioner and his immediate entourage are understood to incline towards a monarchy in Syria, their attitude is far from being undisputed; M. Delelée Desloges, the delegate at Damascus, and Colonel Morner, the head of the Service des Renseignements, are strongly in opposition to their view and his position is at all times a delicate one. The military party is almost wholly against him and is probably conducting vigorous intrigues in Paris.

8. With regard to the attitude of the Assembly itself, I have as yet little reason to modify my opinion that its sentiment is definitely republican. It is possible that if the mandatory Power made a pronouncement to the effect that if the Assembly decided on a monarchy the throne would be reserved for the Emir Zaid, the personal popularity of the Hashemite family would override intellectual preferences for a republic, and it would be difficult to ignore the public support that such an announcement would engender. But I cannot see how it would be possible for the French Government to make any such declaration.

[19083]

I HAVE, &c.
E. C. HOLE

7. The Government, on the other hand, is not without its own squabbles. The Minister of Instruction has been attacking the Prime Minister, not for other reasons than the 'Muqtadas,' but the difference has been composed by the Minister of Instruction has now proved the sincerity of his change of heart by moving the Minister of the Interior to suspend the 'Muqtadas' *one day*.

8 The question of changes in the Ministry, reported on in paragraph 5 of my despatch No. 50 of the 21st June, has continued to agitate the Assembly. The three Ministers have been pressed to resign but have refused, stating that they would only bow to the orders of the French High Commissioner, who had appointed them and might dismiss them, the High Commissioner maintaining his attitude of aloofness. At present there is a proposal that they should take sick leave for two months, a solution which may be accepted as saving everybody's face.

9 On the other hand, distinct progress seems to have been made as between the Nationalists and the French High Commissioner. The latter has said to reaffirm the principal aspirations of Syria, among others, the return of the kazas, proportional representation, a national army, a consular service and freedom of the press. In a recent speech to the Young Nationalists, Ibrahim Hanano gave it to be understood that he had assurances from M. Ponsot that nine-tenths of their proposals were acceptable to France.

10 The frontiers of Syria have not been fixed, so as not to exclude the eventual absorption of Palestine and Transjordan.

11 It remains to be seen whether the Nationalists at present are strong enough to pass the Constitution, and whether M. Ponsot, on his side, will be able to resist the growing military opposition. The various elements in the party have been induced to sign a kind of formal truce for a month, and M. Ponsot has adjourned his return to France until after that date, but the horizon is far from cloudless.

12 I am sending copy of this despatch direct to His Majesty's High Commissioners at Jerusalem and Bagdad, His Majesty's acting consul general at Beirut, His Majesty's consul at Aleppo and the British Resident at Amman.

I have, &c

E. C. HOLF

E 3944/141 89]

No. 65

Consul Hole to Sir Austen Chamberlain.—(Received August 10)

(No. 64. Confidential.)

Sir

Damascus, July 31, 1926.

WITH reference to my despatch No. 62, Confidential, of the 27th July on the political situation, I have the honour to report that the draft of the Syrian Constitution is now ready and will be laid before the Assembly on the 2nd August. The following notes on its composition have been obtained from a private and usually authoritative source—

2 The draft Constitution consists of 116 articles, of which the majority, dealing with questions of administration, judicature, education and the like are an amalgam of a dozen Constitutions of other countries and offer few features of immediate interest.

3 The first three articles cover the controversial ground. The first lays down the principle of independence of the Syrian State, the second defines the powers of the National Assembly, and the third deals with the boundaries of the State, much as I forecasted in the tenth paragraph of my despatch under reference, so as to reserve the claims of Syria to territories incorporated in other States.

4 The third article provides for a republican form of government, the President to be a Moslem. A later article lays down that the official language shall be Arabic.

5 The national flag is next dealt with. It is a horizontal tricolour, green, black and white, with an obtuse triangle of red next the hoist bearing three stars. This is the flag of the Young Turks, but for the difference in the number of stars. The present provisional Syrian flag embodies the French tricolour in the first quarter.

6 Legislation will be entrusted to a single Chamber elected for four years, the President has the right to dissolve Parliament, but must proclaim new elections within two months of the dissolution.

7 The formation of a national army and tribal government will be the subjects of special legislation. Pious foundations are to be the province of the head of each sect.

8 The last article provides that the first President of the Republic shall be elected by the Assembly for a term of two years. The normal term of office will be three years.

9 Of the three candidates mentioned in paragraph 8 of my despatch under reference, M. Ponsot, who will be elected, is a strong supporter of the French High Commissioner. The Nationalists, however, allows him to stimulate the affection of hesitant voters by lavish promises of preferment.

10 Relations between the High Commissioner and the Nationalists appear to be very friendly, and they are expected to be so. It is consequently expected that the draft Constitution will be accepted as it stands by the High Commissioner, who will have no reservations to make.

11 I am sending copy of this despatch direct to His Majesty's High Commissioners at Bagdad and Amman, His Majesty's acting consul general at Beirut, His Majesty's consul at Aleppo and the British Resident at Amman.

I have, &c

E. C. HOLF

E 4390/141 89]

No. 66

Consul Hole to Lord Cushendun.—(Received September 3.)

(No. 67. Confidential.)

My Lord,

Damascus, August 9, 1926.

WITH reference to my despatch No. 64 Confidential, of the 31st July and my telegrams Nos. 4 and 5 of the 1st and 2nd August, I have the honour to report that the Nationalists are now in a position to accept the draft Constitution, and that the French Government has decided to set a limit to the policy of the French Government.

2 As I reported in my despatch under reference and earlier despatches, the relations between the High Commissioner and the Nationalists have been very friendly, and they are expected to be so. It is consequently expected that the draft Constitution will be accepted as it stands by the High Commissioner, who will have no reservations to make. The Nationalist leaders, and Ibrahim Hanano had made a public statement that they had accepted the French Government's draft Constitution, and that they had accepted the French Government's draft Constitution.

3 This, indeed, I believe to have been the case, and the changed attitude of the Nationalists towards the French Government is a very important development. It is a development which has been a long time in the making, and it is a development which has been a long time in the making. It is a development which has been a long time in the making, and it is a development which has been a long time in the making.

4 Six articles in the draft Constitution are considered unacceptable. They concern Syrian territorial claims, the powers of the National Assembly, the power of the President, the form of government, the official language, and the national flag. These six articles are the only ones which have been considered unacceptable, and they are the only ones which have been considered unacceptable.

5 It is indeed a fortunate that events were allowed to go so far as to require the French Government intervened. The explanation offered by the High Commissioner is that the committee engaged in drawing up the Constitution was asked by M. Maugras not to take it until the six points had been submitted to Paris, whereupon they published it at once. Even so, the manner of this morning's intervention was of a nature to precipitate a critical decision and to arouse unnecessary passion. M. Ponsot might have required the adjournment of the debate until the six articles had been the subject of further negotiation, or that a committee should consider recasting them with specific reference to the anticipated treaty, which would have

4. The President of the Central Shelter Trust made a speech recommending the acceptance of the French demands, and provoked a vigorous attack on the Government by Fakhri Baroudi, who accused him, *inter alia*, with squandering the public revenues for his personal ends. It is indeed notorious that he has done so, but the accusation in full Assembly was too much for him, and he left the Chamber in high dudgeon.

8. I have the honour to enclose the French text of M. Ponsot's note to the Assembly, and a translation of its reply, together with the six articles to which exception was taken.*

8 I am sending copy of this despatch direct to His Majesty's High Commissioners at Beirout and Jerusalem. His Majesty's acting consul-general at Beirout, His Majesty's consul at Aleppo and the British Resident at Amman.

Enclosure in No. 60:

Article 73, 74, 75, 110, 112 and 2 of the Draft Constitution

$$\{ \langle \tau_i, (t_{i+1}, t_{i+2}) \rangle \}_{i=0}^{n-1}$$

April 1-75 The President of the Republic has the right to grant amnesty and pardon, but cannot declare a general amnesty without a law being passed

Article 74. The President of the Republic is authorised to conclude international treaties (including treaties of friendship, commerce, consular rights, extradition, and other treaties of a similar nature) which require ratification by the Parliament, and conclude them without the sanction of the Parliament.

will appoint Ministers on his advice and accept their resignations. He is authorised to appoint political representatives and receive foreign political representatives, and appoint civil officials and judges, and preside official ceremonies, within the limits of the law.

Article 110. The formation of any army which will be established will be provided for by a special law.

Art. 101 of the Constitution of the Republic of the Philippines declares martial law in places where there are disturbances. He is to inform the Chamber of his action, and if the Chamber is not sitting he can at once convene a special session.

Article 2. The Syrian territories which were severed from the Turkish Empire have as their political entity and territorial base of jurisdiction after the end of the general war.

[E 4391/141/89]

No. 07.

Acting Consul-General Ellison to Lord Cushendun — (Received September 8.)

to be considered,
by Lord.

Beirut, August 14, 1959

I HAVE the honour to report that the Lebanese Cabinet resigned on the afternoon of the 6th August after a stormy meeting of the Chamber of Deputies had rejected a vote of confidence.

2. The history of the crisis is as follows - Some weeks ago a group of Deputies started an agitation for an increase in the number of Ministers from three to five.

* Not printed.

3. On the 9th August the session took place. Amid stormy scenes M Bechara-el-Khoury put the question of establishing a constitutionally elected assembly. The Minister for Education, Mr. Tawfik Bey, supported the proposal. The Ministry then returned

4. Endeavours have since been in progress to form a new Cabinet. Days of unsubstantiated rumour followed. Finally a new Cabinet has been constituted as follows:—

- (1) President of the Council, Minister for Public Health and Minister for Public Instruction - Habib Pasha-el-Saad.
- (2) Minister of Interior - Moussa Nazimour
- (3) Minister of Finance - Sobhi Haider
- (4) Minister of Public Works and Minister of Agriculture - Hussein Ahdab
- (5) Minister of Justice - Sheukri Kardahi

5. **Habib Pasha-el-Saad** is the well-known Maronite leader, who has held office both before and since the war. He is about 65, and is generally considered to be suffering from advancing years as he is no longer a suitable man for the post. He is a typical representative of the Turkish old régime.

Moussa Nammour was the leader of the malcontents who overthrew Bechara-el-Khouri's Government. He is a Maronite. He was President of the Chamber of Deputies before its amalgamation with the Senate. He is a clever lawyer, and has a strong following in the Chamber. He is probably too clever to be dishonest, and is a considerable strength to the new Cabinet.

Soubbi Haidar is a Shiah Moslem of good family. His qualifications, especially for the Ministry of Finance, are negligible and he may be considered a notable failure. His personal prestige amongst the Deputies is considerable.

Hussein Abdab was Minister for Finance and Public Works in Bechara-el Khoury's Cabinet, and previously Administrator of Beirut. He is a Sunni Moslem, and clever, though his honesty is not above suspicion.

Shoukri Kordahi is a Greek Catholic who held the Ministry of Justice in the last Cabinet but one. He is a capable judge, and said to be honest, but as he is at present in Paris, it is quite possible that he will refuse to hold office.

8. It is impossible to estimate how long the new Cabinet will last. It has, at present, a firm majority in the Chamber, but may at any time be overthrown by intrigues similar to those which placed it in power.

The general impression in the country is one of disgust. The previous Cabinet had been unexpectedly successful, and their programme of reforms was in good train, roads had been improved, considerable reforms had been made in the gendarmerie and police, excellent work was done for public health. Outside the circle of politicians and their supporters in the press, no one has any sympathy with their overthrow for purely personal reasons.

8. The attitude of the French High Commission was neutral, although their delegate tried at one time to use his influence to postpone the crisis.

Copies of this despatch have been sent to Bagdad and Jerusalem.

I have &c

R ELDON ELLISON

Acting Consul-General

[E 4495, 155 65]

No. 68

Consul Monck-Mason to Lord Cushendun.—(Received September 4.)(No. 68.)
My Lord,

Aleppo, August 22, 1928.

WITH reference to my despatch No. 61, Confidential, of the 31st July, on the subject of the Derbessieh-Nissibine section of the Bezzanti-Alep-Nissibine et Prolongements Railway, I have the honour to report that Kadri Bey informed the Director of Public Works at Aleppo on the 17th instant that the Turkish Commission of Public Works agreed with him that the new line could not be opened until a number of technical defects had been put in order.

2. The French company in reporting the matter to its quarters in Paris and asking for fresh credits, explained that the Turkish Public Works attached great importance to the homogeneity of the line as a whole, apart from any political considerations. The company expressed its anxiety to conclude the work as soon as possible, on account of the growing interest taken in the Nissibine route by the Government.

3. I am sending by this despatch to His Majesty's Ambassador at Constantinople, His Majesty's High Commissioner at Bagdad, His Majesty's Consul at Damascus, and to the acting British consul-general at Beirut.

I have, &c.

A. MONCK-MASON

[E 4488, 141, 89]

No. 69

Consul Hole to Lord Cushendun.—(Received September 11.)(No. 69. Confidential.)
My Lord,

Damascus, August 15, 1928.

WITH reference to my despatch No. 67, Confidential, of the 9th August, I have the honour to report that on the 11th August the Constituent Assembly again met and was adjourned for three months by decree of the High Commissioner. Some demonstrations followed and speeches were made, not of an inflammatory nature, and the crowd allowed itself to be dispersed by Syrian police. The scene now shifts to Paris.

2. This was the only possible solution in the circumstances, and it is a pity that the French did not follow this line on the 9th August instead of exposing themselves to an adverse vote in the Assembly. The reason for this lamentable tactical error is apparently the High Commissioner's confidence in Sheikh Taj, who assured them he had a majority ready to vote for acceptance of the French demand; also a large number of deputies had given them assurances of their own votes, without any intention of keeping their promise. This is by no means the first time this has occurred, and M. Ponsot's ingenueness is almost beyond belief.

3. High feeling was aroused by the sudden reversal of M. Ponsot's policy, and the tension has been greater than at any time since the rebellion. The French Command sent all troops to attack and beat a retreat, ready for immediate action. The Nationalists have at no time considered it as a matter of course to exerting the population, and feeling is beginning to subside. The general effect, however, of the French action has been thoroughly bad.

4. M. Ponsot now proceeds to Paris, and no one expects him to return. Marshal Franchet d'Esperey is already canvassed as his successor; if appointed, he will be the eighth High Commissioner in as many years. The Nationalists propose to send a delegation to Paris; its composition is not yet quite definite, but from what I have seen it is moderate and competent.

5. Certain attempts were made to induce His Majesty's Government to assume responsibility for the French change in policy; it was asserted that the vague wording of article 2 regarding the frontiers of Syria excited British apprehension concerning the future of the country, and that His Majesty's Government had obliged the French Government to refuse the Syrian Constitution. I was myself

credited with making a strong protest to the High Commissioner. A Beirut newspaper has taken up the matter and has expressed the hope that the French Government will not allow itself to be misled by the false promises of the Syrian Government and its agents.

6. I have the honour to transmit a copy of the High Commissioner's letter of the 11th August adjourning the Assembly.

7. I am sending copy of this despatch direct to His Majesty's High Commissioners for Palestine and Iraq, His Majesty's acting consul-general at Beirut, His Majesty's consul at Aleppo and the British Resident at Amman.

I have, &c.

E. C. HOLE

[E 4425, 141, 89]

No. 70

Sir W. Tyrrell to Lord Cushendun.—(Received October 5.)(No. 1663.)
My Lord,

Paris, October 3, 1928.

WITH reference to Mr. Consul Hole's despatch No. 68 of the 15th August, I have the honour to inform you that on the 1st October I had the opportunity of a conversation with the head of the Levant and Africa Department at the Ministry for Foreign Affairs to make a personal enquiry into the truth of the rumours respecting the possible departure of M. Ponsot from the French High Commissioner's post.

2. M. de Saint-Quentin stated that it was true that there was, on the part of certain elements in Syria, and even in French Syria, a desire to see M. Ponsot leave. M. de Saint-Quentin stated that he was not at all surprised at this, and that there was always a section of opinion, particularly in military circles, which was in favour of a more vigorous policy in Syria. The Ministry for Foreign Affairs would naturally regard M. Ponsot's presence in Syria as a hindrance to the whole situation in Syria, and they—and, M. de Saint-Quentin stated, also the French Government—were very satisfied with the action he had taken. In these circumstances M. de Saint-Quentin stated that he thought that a departure of M. Ponsot would be a good thing for the French Government.

I have, &c.

W. TYRRELL

[E 5116, 155, 65]

No. 71.

Consul Monck-Mason to Lord Cushendun.—(Received October 25.)(No. 77.)
My Lord,

Aleppo, October 15, 1928.

WITH reference to my despatch No. 68 of the 22nd August, relative to the Bezzanti-Alep-Nissibine et Prolongements Railway, I have the honour to inform you that the Derbessieh-Nissibine section has been opened for goods traffic only. Stations from Mersina to Aleppo are now open, and the line is now open for slow and fast freight traffic and for the newly opened section.

2. I have informed the High Commissioner, Bagdad, by telegram and am sending copies of this despatch to the Department of Overseas Trade, His Majesty's Ambassador, Constantinople, His Majesty's High Commissioner, Bagdad, and to His Majesty's consuls at Beirut, Damascus and Mersina.

I have, &c.

A. MONCK-MASON

[E 5338/141/59]

No. 72.

Consul Monck-Mason to Lord Cushendun.—(Received November 9.)

(No. 93. Confidential.)

My Lord,

Aleppo, October 30, 1928.

I HAVE the honour to transmit herewith a translation of the gist of a "proclamation" which was affixed in the Moslem quarters of Aleppo a few days ago and forthwith removed by the police. This effusion is said to be the work of the Nationalist extremists in Damascus, and to have been circulated throughout Syria and the Lebanon; it is also suggested that it emanates from Sultan-el-Atrache. The high serial number is intended no doubt to convey to the ignorant the impression that the "committee" is active and influential.

2. No one, I think, that propaganda shown in Aleppo are unlikely to bear much fruit in organised disaffection, though it is quite possible that the economic position in Syria, owing to a combination of causes, from a bad farming year, may encourage a feeling of discontent. The Moslems of Aleppo are not likely to be affected.

3. The Aleppo population is said to be 100,000, but I am not sure that 50,000 are Armenians. The existing Moslem population is said to be 50,000, but I am not sure that 25,000 are Armenians. The existing Moslem population is said to be 50,000, but I am not sure that 25,000 are Armenians.

4. I am sending copies of this despatch to His Majesty's consular officers at Beirut and Damascus.

I have, &c.

A. MONCK-MASON.

Enclosure in No. 72.

Proclamation.

(Translation.)

SYRIANS and dear countrymen, the French deluded us at the beginning with promises that they would make us happy and free, but they have broken their promises. Daily we record acts of oppression and inhuman treatment.

The principles of Wilson and the decision of the League of Nations are mere words, masking intrigues for the dominion of the weak nations by the strong. The French policy in this country is a policy of murder, fire and destruction, growing more and more violent since 1919. Syrians have a duty of honour to leave no stone unturned to have these wrongs redressed.

Syrians, the French have ruined the prosperity of the country, one of the richest in the world, and have spread death and misery wherever they have gone. Their armies, recruited from every kind of savages, have burnt and destroyed, and their drunken soldiers have abused our wives and daughters. The French have burnt down Damascus and slain its peaceful population; we cannot forget what happened at Damascus in this civilised 19th century, and we will surely revenge our martyrs.

Not satisfied with these acts of oppression, they have recently introduced into our land 100,000 Armenian refugees, of whom many have been raised to high rank. We Syrians, who bravely withstood the Turks, seem now to be the prisoners of these Armenians. On every side foreign communities have grown up: Circassians, Kurds and others have been more favoured than we whose land they live in. All our commerce and finance have gone to these usurpers.

Syrians, revolt against oppression is ordered by God, and death for freedom's sake is better than a life of slavery. Let us meet under the flag of honour, and before long we shall have our reward.

(Seal.)

Committee for the Independence and
Liberation of Syria.

[E 5339 155 65]

No. 73.

Consul Monck-Mason to Lord Cushendun.—(Received November 9.)

(No. 94.)

My Lord,

Aleppo, October 30, 1928.

WITH reference to my despatch No. 68 of the 22nd August relative to the Bozanti-Alep-Nissibin and Prolongements Railway, I have the honour to report that the latter company have shown considerable willingness to fall in with Turkish ideas as regards the management of the line and the construction of the line. It is realised that the line will be a great benefit to the country and will be a great help to the country. One of the main points of the line is the line from the line to the line. The line is a great help to the country and will be a great help to the country.

It is stated that the line will be a great help to the country and will be a great help to the country. The line is a great help to the country and will be a great help to the country. The line is a great help to the country and will be a great help to the country. The line is a great help to the country and will be a great help to the country.

3. I understand that the Turkish authorities are not taking much trouble to punish the nomads in Turkish territory who carry off railway material or otherwise among the company's workmen on the line.

4. I am sending copy of this despatch direct to the Department of Overseas Trade His Majesty's Ambassador at Constantinople His Majesty's High Commissioner at Bagdad, His Majesty's consuls at Damascus and Messina and to the acting British Consul-General at Beirut.

I have, &c.

A. MONCK-MASON.

[E 5535 230 59]

No. 74.

Consul Monck-Mason to Lord Cushendun.—(Received November 21.)

(No. 95.)

My Lord,

Aleppo, November 8, 1928.

WITH reference to my despatch No. 87 of the 23rd ultimo, relative to Franco-Turkish relations, I have the honour to report that there has been a considerable increase of banditry on the western Turco-Syrian frontier recently.

2. It is stated in the press that a number of men "wanted" by the French authorities in Syria have fled into Turkey, where they recruit sympathisers to raid the Syrian frontier regions. The Kaza of Kurd Dagb is especially exposed to attacks of this nature which take place principally at the end of the month when little resistance is met with. An unknown bandit is said to have fallen upon and killed the brother of the Deputy for Kurd Dagb. The complaint is made that the Nahié of Radjun is very insufficiently protected, in the whole of the Kurd Dagb there are only forty-two gendarmes, of which three gendarmes and a lieutenant are allotted to the Radjun Nahié.

3. The Syrian gendarmerie post of Kameshbe (Kaza of Der-az-Zor), the "Keré" or "Keré" of Mr. Consul-General's despatch No. 1 of the 17th September, 1926, is often attacked by mounted Turkish brigands, with occasional loss of life. In spite of much provocation, the French have kept loyal to the Angora Convention, in preventing the local Arab chiefs from carrying the war into the enemy's country.

4. Representations having been made to the mandatory authorities by tribes inhabiting the border, as well as in the Syrian press, Colonel Armand, the French frontier commandant was recently sent to Kilitia to discuss the recent frontier activity with the Turkish frontier commandant Muntaz Bey. It is understood that fresh promises have been made on both sides with a view to the loyal execution of the terms of the Angora Convention by collaboration against bands and surrender of prisoners taken. Turkish grievances against the Syrian customs administration are to be submitted to the favourable consideration of the French High Commissioner.

5. As a result of the meeting at Kilia, a Franco-Turkish Commission is to be formed with a view of settling the question of the control of the frontier districts. If the control exercised by the French authorities over the border region is not very effective, at least the Turkish side of the frontier is no better policed. Local tribes do not seem to be discouraged from going on foray over the border; while desertion from the Turkish forces are not infrequent. Some of these deserters, in the region straggled, and others are allowed to settle in Syria.

I am enclosing copies of this despatch to His Majesty's Ambassador, Constantinople, His Majesty's High Commissioner, Bagdad, and to His Majesty's consular officers at Beirut, Damascus and Mersina.

I have, &c.

A. MONCK-MASON

E 5631 853 44]

No. 75.

Consul Hole to Lord Cushendun.—(Received November 26.)

(No. 88. Confidential.)

My Lord,

Damascus, November 14, 1928.

WITH reference to Mr Monck-Mason's despatch No. 93 of the 30th October 1928, I have to report that no similar proclamation has appeared in Damascus, strong feeling has been excited by a somewhat belated announcement by the Havas Agency that France was contemplating the settlement of 50,000 Armenians in Syria. Protests were made by newspapers and the religious communities, and the excitement has only partially subsided with the issue of an official *demen*.

2. I am sorry to hear that the Administration of the Syrian Republic has decided to create a public works office, its object is always been to create an Armenian settlement in the Ghouta. For some time the French Administration has been anxious to see the Ghouta settled with a large number of Armenians. The French Administration has been anxious to see the Ghouta settled with a large number of Armenians. The French Administration has been anxious to see the Ghouta settled with a large number of Armenians.

3. The Armenian refugees who were driven from Cilicia with you in 1922, I have to report that they have been settled in the Ghouta. When Cilicia was abandoned by the French, a large number of Armenian refugees found their way to Damascus and a number of them were settled in the Ghouta. Many of them were settled in the Ghouta. Many of them were settled in the Ghouta.

4. I have serious doubts as to the political expediency of the planting of these colonies which would be entirely in the hands of the parties opposed to the French administration. Moreover, their eventual loyalty to France is doubtful. It may be observed that in the late elections the Armenians voted Nationalist.

I have &c.

E. C. HOLE

[E 5766/141 89]

No. 76.

Sir W. Tyrrell to Sir Austen Chamberlain.—(Received December 4.)

(No. 2016.)

Sir,

Paris, December 3, 1928.

I HAVE the honour to inform you that during the discussion of the War Ministry Estimates in the Chamber of Deputies on the 30th November a Socialist Deputy proposed the abandonment of the Syrian mandate by France.

2. In opposing this proposal, the President of the Council informed the Chamber that, were France to abandon her mandate, "the League of Nations would have no difficulty in finding a successor, and it would not be only French prestige which would be endangered, but also French good faith and the general interest. Good faith because we entered into an undertaking towards the League, but also towards the interested populations, and because we promised to lead them to independence. This undertaking was entered into and has been continuously renewed not only *vis-à-vis* the League, but also *vis-à-vis* the populations of Syria and of the Lebanon. Are you sure that other mandatory powers would fulfil this undertaking as faithfully as France? Are you sure that in the future the new nations which the League of Nations had created would show the same magnificent disinterestedness in preparing these populations for independence and for full sovereign rights, with no desire other than that of maintaining with them friendly relations? Would you not be afraid that one day Powers with imperialist designs would instal themselves in the midst of these populations which we are protecting, and that these Powers would seek to dominate them? The danger which I am pointing out is by no means impossible, and I am amazed that, at a time such as this, proposals of this kind can be made from the Socialist benches. No Government could accept the proposal which has just been made. As for this Government, which is at the moment anxious to see France at home and abroad, it rejects the proposal unreservedly and I assure the division thereon one of opposition."

3. The Government secured a majority of 354 votes against 216. The Socialists and Communists voted in the minority with 80 of 125 Socialist Radicals and some 20 members of the other Left groups.

4. There is a tendency in certain circles here to assume that, in referring to "Powers with imperialist designs," M. Poincaré was thinking of Italy.

I have, &c.

W. TYRRELL.

[E 5785 141 89]

Consul Hole to Lord Cushendun.—(Received December 5.)

(No. 92. Confidential.)

My Lord,

Damascus, November 24, 1928.

THE three months that have elapsed since my last political report (Damascus despatch No. 66 of the 15th August), while unusually free from incident, have witnessed the slow subsidence of the feeling aroused by the announcement of the French Administration regarding the settlement of Armenians in the Ghouta under the personal inspiration of the High Commissioner and M. Maugras. This had created an atmosphere of tension comparable only to the period of the rebellion and French troops were kept in readiness for immediate action. Nationalist leaders, however, studiously avoided giving any provocation, and feeling slowly simmered down.

2. In September a small party of brigands showed some activity in the Ghouta. This band is composed of well known professional thieves, of the Qittat, or Cat, family. The French Administration at once adopted measures utterly disproportionate to the importance of the affair and for a fortnight the whole of the Ghouta was placed under a strict curfew. The population of certain villages in the contaminated area were confined to their homes, on pain of being shot at sight, and irrigation was rendered impossible. Many villagers in panic removed their belongings to Damascus. The fatality of these proceedings was demonstrated by the

occurrence of a series of highway robberies, which were subsequently brought home to Capitaine Collet's own Circassians.

3. It is a little difficult to determine the motive underlying the extraordinary measures taken by the French, whether they were really convinced that the present crimes were the prelude to a new revolt, or whether they found in them a convenient pretext for a display of military force calculated to discourage any such dispositions, I incline to the latter alternative.

4. The collection of rifles in the Jebel Druse, reported in my despatch No. 71 of the 21st November, has been proceeding in the most active manner since the arrival of Abdul Ghaffar Pasha el Atrash. A succession of bad harvests have reduced the Druses of the Mountain to a condition of extreme want and set up a strong current of emigration to the less unfortunate districts of the Hauran and the Lebanon. Large numbers also came to Damascus to obtain work as day-labourers on certain road and tramway repairs, now approaching completion. Those who remained are said to have been obliged to sell everything they had to buy arms from Transjordan for surrender to the French.

5. At Damascus, Sheikh Taj-ed-Din has been endeavouring to consolidate his position by various devices, and has succeeded so far that he is now regarded with a mixture of indifference and resignation. When the mandatory Power finds it convenient to drop him he will not be mourned.

6. An attempt was recently made to introduce new and vigorous blood into his Cabinet in the person of Riza Pasha Rikabi, formerly King Feisal's Prime Minister and a member of the Syrian National Assembly. It was hoped that he would have been a great success, but he was found to be a poor creature, and the attempt has achieved a partial success, and the combination is now at least conceivable.

7. A certain amount of discreet agitation for a monarchy continues, meetings are held, but the supporters seem to be more numerous than articulate.

8. The question of the Constitution preoccupies public attention. The return of M. Ponsot revives hopes that his policy has been approved and that the Constituent Assembly will be given some opportunity of collaboration. On the other hand, the renewed adjournment of the Assembly suggests an eventual dissolution, and may be considered the anæsthetic before the amputation. A nominated Chamber on Lebanese lines would then approve whatever Constitution would be put before it.

9. It is to be hoped that France will make no more experiments before settling on a policy. The economical situation is frankly bad, and the one thing indispensable to its improvement is stability. Syria would have been far happier if France had made a Protectorate of it in the first place and kept to it. It is the continual changes of policy that aggravate France's difficulties in Syria and drive the Syrians to desperate courses.

10. Copies of this despatch are being sent direct to His Majesty's High Commissioners at Bagdad and Jerusalem, the British Resident at Amman, and His Majesty's consular officers at Beirut and Aleppo.

I have, &c
E. C. HOLE

[E 5976 853 44]

No. 78.

Consul-General Satow to Lord Cushendun.—(Received December 17)

(No. 84. Confidential) {
My Lord,

Beirut, November 23, 1923

I HAVE the honour to call attention to certain statements made in a confidential despatch, No. 68, addressed to you on the 14th November by His Majesty's consul at Damascus, relative to the question of the settlement of Armenian refugees in the Lebanon and in Syria, which are, at any rate so far as this consular district is concerned, incorrect, and therefore misleading.

2. I do not consider that Mr. Hole's suggestion that the French authorities in tackling, somewhat belatedly, the problem are actuated by motives of a political nature is correct. The refugees have been here ever since the French evacuation of the Lebanon, and the appointment of Dr. Duguet as Inspector-General of Health Services at the High Commission some two and a half years ago that really active steps have been taken to alleviate the conditions in which the refugees have

been living here and elsewhere. This officer is both keen and efficient, and I feel sure that in dealing with the refugees question he has had no political *arrière-pensée*. In any case, there has for some time past been here, in connexion with the settlement of the refugees, a M. Burnier, who is the delegate of the International Labour Office at Geneva. He works in close touch with Dr. Duguet, who also collaborates with the Rev. Harold Buxton, the representative of the Lord Mayor's Fund, with the director of the American Near East School of and with others. The whole question is therefore being dealt with on an international basis, although the main direction is, as is only natural, in the hands of the French.

3. As regards what is actually being done in Beirut with such funds as are available, the main object is the breaking-up of the "camp," which for a long time was in the nature of a *ghetto*, and a permanent village to be built on the site of the wooden buildings which would burn like tinder. On a high lying site on the outskirts of the town small houses which should take about 200 families have during the past summer been under construction. They should be ready for occupation early next year. Funds do not permit of more buildings being erected, but a large plot of land has been purchased in the vicinity of the new settlement on which Armenians from the camp will be allowed to reside on the condition that they themselves within some given time erect proper houses. By these two schemes it is estimated that 700 families will be provided for.

4. There is no intention to transplant the Beirut settlement to Tyre. An attempt was made to do so in the summer of 1922, but it failed, and the idea has been abandoned. The reason for the failure was mainly that an attempt was made to run the colony on "métayer" (profit-sharing) lines.

5. There are already some ten Armenian agricultural colonies in the Antioch district. This is a suitable region, as even before the war there were a fair number of Armenian villages in the vicinity. Both Dr. Duguet and Mr. Buxton consider that the scheme is proving successful, and it is intended, as funds permit, to extend it. The idea is to form settlements of people who formerly lived in the same places as they now do. In view of what has happened in the past, it is considered undesirable that Armenians should be settled in the interior far from the sea. It is therefore very unlikely that there was ever any serious intention of settling Armenians in the Jebel Druse for fear of possible help and in the midst of a wild and potentially hostile population. Certainly, I have never heard of any such scheme, nor has Mr. Buxton. Dr. Duguet told me recently that when he was in Mesopotamia between Aleppo and the Taurus, he had been told that Armenians might be sent. Mr. Buxton appeared to consider this unlikely to happen for the reasons referred to above.

6. I see that an honest attempt, rendered by lack of money, is being made to deal with the question of the Armenian refugees at Beirut, Aleppo, Alexandretta and elsewhere. A good many of them have left for the Americas but more than enough remain to be embarrassing. Considerable vested interests have grown up in the camps, and the dwellers in them are reluctant to leave. This creates an additional complication.

7. There is no doubt that the Armenians are for a variety of reasons, of which Mr. Hole mentions one, extremely unpopular. At the same time, the refugees have proved to be of real value to the country as the more intelligent, skilled and hard-working. They have largely contributed to their labour to the rebuilding of the old and ruined quarters of Beirut which without them would not have advanced as rapidly as it has. At the same time, their very qualities render them distasteful to the native population.

Copies of this despatch have been sent to Aleppo (No. 53) and Damascus (No. 71).

I have, &c
H. E. SATOW

CHAPTER III. IRAQ.

[E 4362/94 65]

No. 7.

*Mr. Chilton to Lord Cushendun.—(Received September 3.)*N 1041
M 1041

Washington, August 18, 1928.

With reference to Sir F. Howard's letter N. 167 of the 24th January last, I have the honour to inform you that, according to an announcement appearing in American newspapers of recent date, the organisation of the Near East Development Corporation has now been completed. The corporation has been chartered under the laws of the State of Delaware as a holding company to take over 23.75 per cent. of the shares of the Turkish Petroleum Company allotted to five American oil companies, namely, the Atlantic Refining Company, the Gulf Oil Corporation, the Pan American Petroleum and Transport Company, the Standard Oil Company of New Jersey and the Standard Oil Company of New York. Mr. Walter C. Teagle, president of the Standard Oil Company of New Jersey, has been elected president of the new corporation, and its other officers are as follows:—

Vice-president, C. S. Davison of the Gulf Oil Corporation
Treasurer, Howard E. Cole of the Standard Oil Company of New York.
Secretary, C. Stuart Morgan

The directorate consists of the president, vice-president and treasurer, together with Mr. W. M. Irish of the Atlantic Refining Company and P. G. Stewart of the Pan American Petroleum and Transport Company.

I have, &c.

For the Chargé d'Affaires,

J. JOYCE BRODRICK

[E 4347/112 65]

No. 80.

Note by Sir R. Lindsay.

THE Turkish Ambassador called to-day and handed to me the attached note about a frontier incident between the Assyrians and Turkish troops in the neighbourhood of Amadia. I read to Ferid Bey the account of this incident which we had received in Iraq Intelligence Report No. 17, and pointed out to him that this was nothing but an ordinary frontier incident. I told him of the action which had already been taken, from which he could see that two of his specific demands had already been met, and I suggested to him that the best way for treating this business would be to refer it to the Frontier Commission and allow it to receive its normal settlement in that manner.

The Ambassador laid great emphasis on the request that the Assyrians should in general be removed altogether from the frontier and planted somewhere else. He maintained very strongly that so long as they were in the neighbourhood of the frontier we would have constant disturbances and a multiplication of difficulties. I said in reply that I would forward his suggestion to the Colonial Office, but I did not encourage him to expect any favourable reply. I said that these people had to live in high mountains and it was very difficult to find ground suitable for them in other parts of Iraq, and that I thought that there was just every bit as much danger of frontier disturbances from Kurdish tribes like the G. yans who, as the Assyrians had also been chased out of Turkey in the past.

September 11, 1928.

R. C. L.

Enclosure in No. 80.

*Ferid Bey to Lord Cushendun.**Ambassade de la République turque,**Londres, le 11 septembre 1928.*

M. le Ministre,

LE 13 août dernier, un certain nombre de Nestoriens se trouvant dans le voisinage de la zone d'Ashuta, franchissaient avec leurs troupeaux la frontière turco-irakienne, entre les poteaux portant les Nos. 36 et 39, et s'avançaient à plus de 1 kilom. dans l'intérieur du territoire turc.

Invités par notre poste-frontière à se retirer immédiatement, loin d'obéir aux injonctions qui leur étaient adressées, ils firent feu sur les soldats turcs, qui se virent ainsi contraints de riposter. Attirés par les détonations, une centaine de Nestoriens, armés de fusils et de fusils automatiques, vinrent bientôt se joindre aux agresseurs et attaquèrent notre poste-frontière comprenant d'ailleurs un nombre restreint de soldats. Trois de ces derniers furent tués, deux furent blessés et deux autres emportés prisonniers par les agresseurs. Les deux fusils automatiques et les deux fusils furent laissés sur le territoire turc.

Ainsi que votre Excellence se souviendra parfaitement, mon Gouvernement avait à maintes reprises signalé au Gouvernement de Sa Majesté les dangers que présentait, au point de vue de la sécurité de la frontière, l'installation en masse et à proximité du territoire turc, de ces bandes de Nestoriens armés. L'événement très pénible qui s'est déroulé à la frontière et qui a coûté la vie à trois soldats turcs, événement dont la gravité ne saurait échapper à votre Excellence, démontre une fois de plus combien les inquiétudes et les démarches antérieures du Gouvernement de la République étaient fondées.

Votre Excellence conviendra sans peine que les agissements réitérés et intolérables de ces bandes de Nestoriens armés, dans le voisinage de la frontière, constituent une menace à la sécurité de la frontière en dépit des stipulations expresses du Traité d'Angora et des engagements formels pris par les deux États, et que ces agissements sont de nature à porter atteinte aux relations de bon voisinage que le susdit traité visait à établir entre les deux États voisins.

C'est dans le but de prévenir cette situation fâcheuse que, d'ordre de mon Gouvernement, je prie votre Excellence de vouloir bien agir auprès des autorités irakiennes aux fins

1. D'éloigner le plus tôt et le plus loin possible de la frontière les bandes de Nestoriens armés.
2. De punir les assassins et les coupables d'une manière exemplaire.
3. De faire relâcher immédiatement les deux soldats qui ont été emportés prisonniers et d'assurer la restitution des équipements volés.
4. De faire payer une indemnité adéquate pour les trois soldats assassinés, victimes de leur devoir.

Veuillez, &c.

FERID.

[E 4892/112/65]

No. 81.

*Ahmed Ferid Bey to Lord Cushendun.—(Received October 10.)**Ambassade de la République turque, Londres,**le 8 octobre 1928.*

M. le Ministre,

LA question ayant trait à l'installation des Assyriens à proximité de la frontière turco-irakienne et aux conséquences regrettables qu'en ont résulté au point de vue des relations de bon voisinage entre les deux pays, avait été l'objet de mes nombreuses démarches auprès de votre Excellence.

Notamment dans mon aide-mémoire, daté du 14 novembre 1927, je signalais l'installation dans la région d'Amadia et Zasko des groupements d'Assyriens qui ont manifesté à diverses reprises leur hostilité envers la Turquie, et j'ajoutais que ce rassemblement n'était pas fait pour contribuer au maintien de l'ordre et de la sécurité tant désirés par les signataires du Traité d'Angora.

[19083]

x 2

Dans la note responsive que votre Excellence avait bien voulu me transmettre, vous m'avez informé que les Assyriens installés dans les régions d'Amadiéh et Zakho, tout en déclarant que cette colonisation ne s'effectuait pas dans le voisinage immédiat de la frontière. Les agissements dont nos postes-frontières ont été l'objet et que j'ai relatés dans ma note du 11 septembre dernier ont prouvé le bien-fondé de nos inquiétudes et la justesse de nos démarches.

Tout récemment encore, mon Gouvernement a été informé que 8,000 autres familles de Nestoriens ont été dirigées sur la zone de la frontière située au sud de Héréki, en vue d'être colonisées dans la région de Kias Kesh et Houstan. Le rassemblement continu et toujours croissant de ces éléments de trouble dans la région-frontière est de nature à créer dans cette zone un état perpétuel d'insécurité et d'agitation, susceptible de causer les plus grands torts à l'harmonie et à la bonne entente qui devraient régler les relations des deux pays voisins.

A ce propos, je ne saurais assez insister sur les termes de l'article 10 du traité signé à Angora le 5 juin 1926, qui, pour l'application des dispositions du chapitre consacré au "Bon Voisinage," prévoit la création d'une sous-frontière de 75 kilom. de part et d'autre de la frontière. Le traité vise et a pour objet incontestablement à débarrasser ces régions de tout élément subversif apte à s'adonner au banditisme ou à la propagande anti-turque tant nuisibles à la paix et à la sécurité de la frontière. Il suffit, d'ailleurs, de remarquer la place réservée au susdit article du traité dans le chapitre II précité relatif au "Bon Voisinage," la façon même dont il a été rédigé, pour constater que les parties contractantes se proposent par les dispositions en vue de maintenir à tout prix un état de sécurité absolue dans la zone-frontière, pour se rendre compte combien cette paix et sécurité, ainsi que l'harmonie des relations mutuelles basées sur la bonne entente réciproque, leur tenaient à cœur.

Votre Excellence conviendra sans aucune peine que le rassemblement des éléments subversifs dans cette même zone, qu'en a pour ainsi dire voulu isoler, est peu compatible avec les stipulations et le but du Traité d'Angora.

Je vous prie de faire part de ces observations aux autorités irakiennes en vue de faire rapporter les mesures de colonisation nouvellement prises et de leur recommander de faire éloigner au moins de 75 kilom. vers le sud de la frontière les Nestoriens antérieurement colonisés dans la région d'Amadiéh et Zakho.

Dans mon aide-mémoire précité du 14 novembre 1927, j'avais également signalé à l'attention de votre Excellence les propagandes qui se faisaient, sous l'instigation des Gouverneurs irakiens et de quelques officiers britanniques, à Amadiéh, Zakho, Akra, Zibar et Dehok, pour former dans ces régions des associations kurdes ayant pour tâche de réveiller chez les Kurdes le sentiment du nationalisme et d'assurer l'expansion de ce sentiment.

Dans votre note responsive vous vouliez bien me communiquer que cette question avait été posée lors de la dernière session de la Commission permanente de la Frontière, session au cours de laquelle les délégués irakiens avaient réfuté l'existence d'un système organisé de propagande anti-turque dans les régions kurdes au nord de l'Irak.

Or, mon Gouvernement vient de m'informer que, malgré les assurances données par les délégués irakiens au cours de la cinquième session de la Commission permanente de la Frontière, une intense propagande anti-turque a été menée à bien par Seid Taha, continue de sévir à Ravanduz, centre de l'organisation révolutionnaire kurde. Les démarches faites par les délégués turcs, au cours de la session précitée de la Commission de la Frontière, en vue de mettre fin à ces propagandes et à l'activité de Seid Taha, n'ont pas abouti à un résultat heureux, et, finalement, les délégués irakiens ont suggéré que la question soulevée ne pourrait être résolue que par la voie diplomatique.

D'ordre de mon Gouvernement, je prie donc également votre Excellence de vouloir bien intervenir aux fins d'éloigner du centre d'agitation le principal instigateur Seid Taha, et mettre un fin définitive à ces propagandes qui continuent à exciter les passions contre la Turquie et les Turcs.

Veuillez agréer, &c.
FERID

[E 5470 94 '85]

No. 82.

Sir Austen Chamberlain to M. de Fleuriau

Your Excellency,

Foreign Office, November 28, 1928.

ON the 16th November you enquired, with reference to the negotiations which have recently been taking place between the Iraqi Government and the Turkish Petroleum Company, whether His Majesty's Government had intervened in order to oblige the company to take upon themselves the construction of a railway between Haifa and Bagdad.

2. In reply to this enquiry I have the honour to inform your Excellency that the position in this matter is as follows:—

3. The period within which the Turkish Petroleum Company was obliged under article 5 of its convention with the Government of Iraq, to select its plots was due to expire, after certain extensions had been granted, on the 14th November, 1928. The company has attached great importance to obtaining the consent of the Iraqi Government to a further considerable extension of this period, and has for some time been negotiating with the Government for that purpose. As the result of these negotiations the company has now put forward a draft agreement, which, if accepted by the Iraqi Government, will require the approval of the Iraqi Parliament. Under this agreement, if so approved, the period allowed to the company for the selection of its plots will be extended for two years. As a consideration for this extension, the company will undertake, among other things, to survey the line of a railway from Bagdad to the Mediterranean, to investigate the technical and financial problems involved in the construction of such a railway, and (within the period of two years) to submit to the Iraqi Government a complete project for the construction of such a railway. The company further proposes to undertake, in a letter addressed to the Iraqi Government, that this contemplated survey shall be made in the first instance between Bagdad and Haifa, and a scheme submitted for the construction of a railway on that route.

4. The proposed agreement further provides that the company shall receive an option (valid for two years) to consider and frame a proposal to construct the Iraqi section of a Bagdad-Mediterranean railway, and that, if the Iraqi Government conclude with the company or its nominee an agreement to construct the Iraqi section of such railway the company shall receive in part consideration an extension for a further three years of the period for the selection of its plots.

5. His Majesty's Government consider that the Iraqi Government are fully justified in obtaining some consideration in return for the valuable concession made by them in the extension of time granted to the Turkish Petroleum Company, and do not consider that there is any object on the part of the company, the main lines of which in so far as they affect the railway proposals, have been indicated above. Under the proposed agreement it would remain open to the Turkish Petroleum Company, if it does not wish to undertake the construction of the railway, either itself or through its nominee, to refrain from exercising its option and to abandon its right to the final three years' extension.

I have, &c.

AUSTEN CHAMBERLAIN

[E 5709 112 '85]

No. 83

Sir Austen Chamberlain to Ferid Bey

Your Excellency

Foreign Office, December 4, 1928.

WITH reference to your Excellency's note of the 8th October, on the subject of a concentration of Assyrians stated to have been effected in the neighbourhood of the Iraq-Turkey frontier I have the honour to inform you that the various incidents referred to therein have been under examination by His Majesty's Government and the Government of Iraq.

2. Before alluding to the result of the investigations which have been carried out in this matter, I desire to draw your attention to the fact that, as direct diplomatic relations have now been established between the Governments of Turkey

and Iraq, the complaints contained in your note might conveniently have been addressed in accordance with normal diplomatic procedure, to the Iraqi Government through the Turkish diplomatic representative at Bagdad. A copy of your Excellency's note will accordingly be communicated to the Iraqi Government, who will address it to the Turkish Government through the Turkish Legation at Bagdad or through the Iraqi Minister at Ankara. There are, however, certain points in that note which call for independent comment on the part of His Majesty's Government.

3. In the first place, it has been ascertained from His Majesty's High Commissioner in Iraq that there is no foundation for the statement that there have been preliminary concentrations of Assyrians in the neighbourhood of the frontier, and in particular that 8,000 Nestorian families have been concentrated with a view to their settlement in the region south of Harki. The anxiety apparently felt on this subject by the Turkish Government is, I believe, due to the fact that I informed you in my note of the 5th March last, a scheme for the settlement of Assyrians in the Baradost area has for some time been under consideration. No progress has as yet been made with the carrying out of this project, but it is possible that some sixty families may be settled in that area early next year.

4. As was explained in my note of the 5th March last, the reports which reached the Turkish Government in regard to the skirmish between Assyrian shepherds and Turkish frontier detachments on the 12th August had evidently been much exaggerated. Further enquiries have shown that there was no aggressive or criminal intention on the part of the Assyrians concerned in the incident, which clearly arose out of a misunderstanding on one side or the other. The skirmish in question actually originated in an attempt made by certain Turkish frontier detachments to arrest a party of Assyrian shepherds, who in the darkness mistook the aggressors for transborder robbers, and therefore carried out a counter-attack.

5. As no basis can be found for the suggestion that this incident constituted an act of aggression on the part of the Assyrians of a nature likely to endanger the peace of the frontier, the question of the removal of the Assyrians from the frontier zone cannot, in the considered opinion of His Majesty's Government, be held to arise.

6. There is a further point to which I take leave to call your Excellency's serious attention. His Majesty's Government cannot but resent the renewed allegations of the Turkish Government in regard to the alleged transgressions of these allegations, which they are themselves satisfied are devoid of foundation, and which they are themselves satisfied are devoid of foundation, and which they are themselves satisfied are devoid of foundation.

7. In conclusion, I have the honour to inform you that within the last few weeks His Majesty's Government have received reports of a number of raids into Iraq originating from the Turkish side of the frontier. They are concerned to learn, not only that these incidents have lately shown a tendency to become more frequent but that the Iraqi Government are in possession of evidence which goes to prove that on several occasions the raiding parties were accompanied by uniformed Turkish soldiers. I have accordingly forwarded to the Turkish Government with copies of this evidence, which takes the form of sworn statements of Iraqi police and other eye-witnesses.

8. His Majesty's Government cannot but feel that the improvement of the situation on the frontier, which is so earnestly desired by both Governments, might more speedily be realised if the Turkish Government would examine more closely the value of the reports which appear to reach them regarding alleged transgressions by Iraqis, and would at the same time impress upon the Turkish local authorities the importance of preventing incursions by Turkish nationals, evidence of which has frequently been brought to their notice.

I have &c
AUSTEN CHAMBERLAIN

E 5752 94 '65]

No. 84

Sir Austen Chamberlain to Sir W. Tyrrell (Paris)

(No. 2728)

Sir,

Foreign Office, December 5, 1929

WITH reference to my letter of No. 2672 of the 29th November, respecting the representations made by the French Ambassador in connexion with the proposed construction of a railway between Haifa and Bagdad, I have to inform your Excellency that M. de Fleuriau called here on the 1st December and said that my note of the 28th November did not reply exactly to the question which he had asked on the 16th November. This question was, as you will remember, whether His Majesty's Government had intervened with the Turkish Petroleum Company in order to oblige that company to take upon themselves the expense of constructing a railway between Haifa and Bagdad.

2. His Excellency went on to say that the explanation given in my note of the position of the Turkish Petroleum Company was that the Turkish Government indicated that His Majesty's Government approved the attitude adopted by the company. It was, however, possible to say that it was on their advice that Sir I. Chamberlain had signed the draft letter approved by the Board of the Turkish Petroleum Company in such a way as to oblige the Government of Iraq to pay in the first instance the cost of a pipeline or railway between Iraq and Haifa.

3. His Excellency further observed that the intervention of His Majesty's Government in this matter necessitated a similar intervention on the part of the French Government, who could not admit that any action on the part of either the mandatory Power in Iraq or of the Iraqi Government with the Turkish Petroleum Company that suggested an action of a political character should be taken as a priority in view of the particular need for a pipeline and railway—a *tracé* which might well after technical examination be found to be incompatible with the interests of the company. The French Government would be justified by motives of a similar political character in claiming a detailed survey, from a technical point of view, for laying a pipeline by the shortest route to a terminus situated on the Syrian coast.

4. And above all, his Excellency added, the French Government, basing themselves on the San Remo Agreement, had the right to protest against the construction at the expense of the Turkish Petroleum Company of a railway between Iraq and Haifa, for the cost of the construction and maintenance of such a railway, which would impose on the company additional and useless expenditure, would increase the price of the Mesopotamian oil, a share of which had been granted to France.

5. M. de Fleuriau concluded by recalling the spirit of mutual trust and collaboration which had led to the San Remo Agreement and asked that His Majesty's Government would intervene with the Iraqi Government in order to induce that Government to abandon its requirements, which were injurious to the Turkish Petroleum Company and French interests.

6. His Excellency was informed that the matter would be further examined and that the result would be communicated to him as soon as possible.

I am, &c

AUSTEN CHAMBERLAIN

J. W.

E 5919 94 '65]

No. 85

Memorandum from French Embassy.—(Received in Foreign Office, December 14.)

PAR sa note en date du 28 novembre 1929, le Secrétaire d'Etat pour les Affaires étrangères a bien voulu exposer l'économie du projet d'accord entre l'Irak et la Turkish Petroleum Company, qui avait fait l'objet de la démarche de l'Ambassadeur de France, en date du 18 novembre.

Le Gouvernement britannique a donné, à ce sujet, les précisions suivantes :

"La période pendant laquelle la Turkish Petroleum Company était obligée, d'après l'article 3 de sa convention avec l'Irak, de chercher les pétroles devant expirer après que certaines prolongations eurent été accordées, le 14 novembre 1928. La compagnie a attaché une grande importance à obtenir le consentement du Gouvernement irakien à une importante prolongation ultérieure de cette période.

et a, pendant quelque temps, négocié avec ce Gouvernement dans ce but. A la suite de ces négociations, la compagnie a maintenant mis sur pied un projet d'accord qui, s'il est accepté par le Gouvernement irakien, doit recevoir l'approbation du Parlement irakien. D'après cet accord, et s'il est approuvé, la période accordée à la compagnie pour le choix de ses lots sera prolongée de deux ans. En considération de cette prolongation, la compagnie doit entreprendre, entre autres choses, d'étudier la ligne d'un chemin de fer allant de Bagdad à la Méditerranée.

Le projet d'accord prévoit, d'autre part, que la compagnie recevra une option (valable pour deux ans), pour étudier et mettre sur pied une proposition pour la construction de la section irakienne d'un chemin de fer Bagdad-Méditerranée, et que, si le Gouvernement irakien conclut un accord avec la compagnie ou ses représentants, la compagnie devra recevoir, comme compensation partielle, une prolongation pour trois nouvelles années de la période de choix de ses lots.

En priant l'Ambassadeur de France d'accuser réception au Foreign Office de ces indications, dont il prend bonne note, le Gouvernement français l'a chargé d'exprimer le regret que la lettre du 28 novembre ne réponde pas exactement à la question posée le 16 novembre par M. de Fauriol. Il a également exprimé sa conclusion de l'approbation donnée par le Gouvernement britannique, à la décision prise par le Président du Turkish Petroleum Company, qui a été prise à cet effet de la nécessité d'obtenir l'appui de la Puissance mandataire, a modifié la lettre annexée au projet d'accord et approuvée par le Conseil de la Turkish Petroleum Company, dans des conditions qui assurent une priorité à l'établissement d'un trajet de chemin de fer aboutissant à Haïffa.

Le Gouvernement français estime que les questions soulevées par la production et le transport des huiles de la Turkish Petroleum Company sont purement techniques et doivent, à ce titre, être exclusivement discutées par cette société. Ainsi, ne serait-il pas intervenu dans les tractations que la Turkish Petroleum Company a engagées avec le Gouvernement irakien, en vue d'obtenir une prorogation du délai fixé pour le choix de ses lots et d'étudier la ligne d'un chemin de fer susceptible de relier l'Irak à la Méditerranée, si la compagnie avait pu poursuivre ses négociations en toute liberté. Mais la prétention de l'Irak d'exiger qu'une préférence soit accordée à l'établissement d'un chemin de fer aboutissant à Haïffa n'offre pas d'intérêt direct pour cet Etat, puisqu'il est extérieur à son territoire, et l'intervention dans le même sens du Gouvernement britannique auprès du Président du Turkish Petroleum Company, dans la mesure où cette compagnie a été prononcée dans un sens contraire, obligent le Gouvernement français à intervenir à son tour et à préciser son point de vue.

Le Gouvernement français rappelle que, d'après les Accords de San Remo, une part des pétroles de Mésopotamie libre de toutes charges autres que celles qui sont afférentes à leur exploitation. Il a transféré ses droits à la Compagnie française des Pétroles, membre de la Turkish Petroleum Company. Il estime, en conséquence, que toute action exercée sur la Turkish Petroleum Company, soit par la Puissance mandataire en Irak, soit par le Gouvernement irakien, tenu en l'occurrence de prendre conseil de la Puissance mandataire, et ayant pour effet de grever d'une charge politique les exploitations de cette compagnie, constitue un manquement aux Accords de San Remo.

Il ne saurait admettre, notamment, que soit imposée à la Turkish Petroleum Company la construction d'un chemin de fer et, par voie de conséquence, la pose d'un pipe-line suivant un tracé qui ne serait pas reconnu comme étant le plus conforme aux intérêts de la compagnie. Une telle solution imposerait, en effet, des charges supplémentaires et inutiles, qui augmenteraient le prix des pétroles mésopotamiens revenant à la France.

Or, le Gouvernement français est dans l'obligation de constater que l'intervention du Gouvernement britannique a, d'ores et déjà, eu pour résultat

d'amener la Turkish Petroleum Company à se départir de la position qu'elle avait prise à l'égard de la construction d'un chemin de fer Bagdad-Haïffa, une priorité qui tend à préjuger de la construction d'un chemin de fer et de l'établissement d'un pipe-line suivant ce tracé.

Il insiste, en conséquence, de la façon la plus pressante, pour que la question soit réglée sur la base d'un accord qui n'ait rien de politique et qui ne soit pas le résultat d'une intervention dans les affaires de la compagnie. Il rappelle que, de différents projets de voie ferrée réalisables, y compris celui de Bagdad-Haïffa, soit dispensée de donner une préférence à ce dernier tracé. Ainsi ramené à sa forme primitive, et en conséquence, la question de la construction d'un chemin de fer Bagdad-Haïffa n'aurait plus de sens.

Fidèle à l'esprit de confiance collaboration qui a présidé à la conclusion des Accords de San Remo et qu'il entend apporter à leur application, le Gouvernement de la République a le ferme espoir que le Gouvernement britannique acceptera d'intervenir auprès du Gouvernement irakien pour assurer le respect de ces accords.

Ambassade de France, Londres,
le 13 décembre 1928

3. As regards the Turkish Lottery Bonds, the Palestine Government understand that, owing to the premium and redemption to be paid on these Bonds, the delivery of a number of the said Bonds will not cancel their liability entirely. They suggest, therefore, that the Debt Council should administer these Bonds as in the case of the Italian Government, encash the premium and redemption, and meet the liability of the Palestine Government. Accounts might be settled from time to time, eventual surplus being paid to the Palestine Government and deficits being met by the said Government.

4. In view of the fact that the share of the Palestine Government in respect of the Loans on account of which a cash payment has been made is only a small fraction, and that the actual payment of this small fraction to the interested creditors might ~~be made by the Palestine Government~~ have the Debt Council to deal with their share in the same manner as the Council will have to deal in future in respect of the share incumbent upon Turkey.

5. In making this offer, the Palestine Government stipulate that no other debtor State shall profit either directly or indirectly by this settlement, all possible profits being ~~paid into the special Reserve Fund to be constituted or used by the Debt Council~~ for such purposes as they may think fit.

6. The Palestine Government realise, lastly, that the reception and the checking of the Bonds and Coupons delivered will impose some expenditure on the Debt Council. They are, however, prepared to meet this expenditure when most convenient to the Council.

August 1928

[illegible]

STATEMENT No. 4

OFFICIAL PUBLIC DEBT CONTINUING SHARE OF LARGEST

10 years (Part II) due to *Eurythidura*.

Retirement Date March 1, 1928.

E 4539 407/91

No. 57

Government of Palestine to Colonial Office. — (Communicated to Foreign Office,
September 12)

(Confidential.)

Sir,

Jerusalem, August 14, 1928.

IN continuation of my telegram No. 114 of the 7th August on the subject of the Hejaz Railway Conference, I have the honour to enclose, for your information, a report by the Acting General Manager, Railways, on the proceedings at Haifa.

2. The position at present is that the conference stands adjourned *sine die* pending the receipt of any further instructions. Dr. Damluji is proceeding to the Lebanon on a holiday of some weeks and would be available to resume attendance at the conference should circumstances render its resumption possible.

I have, &c.

H. C. LUKE,

Officer Administering the Government.

Enclosure in No. 87

Acting General Manager, Palestine Railways, to Chief Secretary,
Palestine Government.

(Confidential.)

Haifa, August 10, 1928

THE conference opened at Haifa on the 6th August under my chairmanship, and was attended as under:—

Government of Syria represented by—

M. Hopenot, Chief of the Bureau diplomatique.
M. Vasselet, Adviser for Public Works.
Djemil Bey, Traffic Superintendent

Government of Hejaz represented by—

Dr. Damluji, Minister for Foreign Affairs.
Khalid Bey, Chief Adviser.
Mohammed Effendi Rida, Secretary.

Government of Palestine represented by—

Lieutenant-Colonel G. M. Campigli, Acting General Manager
Mr. H. A. Cotching, Acting Assistant General Manager
Mr. L. F. Williams, Secretary.

Mr. J. E. Abbrad, Interpreter

The object of the conference having been explained, Dr. Damluji immediately requested information on the following points:

- (a.) What lines constituted the Hejaz Railway
- (b.) What are the rights of the Hejaz Railway
- (c.) Who owned the Hejaz Railway.

With regard to (a) Khalid Bey stated that, in addition to the existing lines at present administered by the Governments of Syria and Palestine, the lines which, during the war, extended from Wadi Surar to Beersheba, and within a short distance from Gaza, were part of the Hejaz Railway. I informed him that those latter lines were no longer existent, and that as far as I was aware they were constructed by the Turks during the war, and therefore could not very well be regarded as being part of the old Hejaz Railway. He, however, wished to press the point, but Dr. Damluji agreed that it could be dealt with as a separate question and the conference could proceed.

With regard to (b), Khalid Bey stated that among other rights, such as the El Hammeh Baths, the Hejaz Railway owned the port at Haifa. I informed Dr. Damluji that I was not in a position to discuss this question, and M. Hopenot expressed a similar view. Dr. Damluji agreed to this question being treated similarly to (c).

With regard to (c), I explained that this was quite outside the scope of the conference, the terms of reference of which limited discussions to purely technical matters. Dr. Damluji maintained that a decision on the three questions raised by him was of fundamental importance and *sine qua non* to discussion of the technical points indicated in the terms of reference. M. Hopenot drew attention to the fact that the presence of the Syrian delegates was simply in connexion with the technical discussions and that he was not prepared to enter into any discussion which was of a political nature. He considered, however, that the questions raised by Dr. Damluji came within the latter category and that the conference should not concern itself with them. Dr. Damluji agreed, but emphasized that the sections of the railway in Syria, Palestine and Transjordan were Wakf property, and that His Majesty Ibn Saud required the restitution to himself of these sections and for the line to be administered as a whole by a special committee to be appointed.

I then endeavoured to get the delegates to discuss the technical matters, and asked Dr. Damluji if he could inform the conference in regard to the condition of the line between Medawara and Medina. It was thought that information in respect of this would be available, having regard to paragraph 6 of the *aide-memoire*, but Dr. Damluji stated that he was not in a position to do so, as he was not in possession of the section of the railway, though all particulars could be supplied when the ownership of the railway as a whole had been settled.

At this point, M. Hopenot, referring to the *aide-memoire*, Dr. Damluji, and His Majesty Ibn Saud required that the railway should be administered as a separate entity, instead of Syria and Palestine operating the sections within their respective spheres of influence, and that the technical discussions should be based accordingly. Dr. Damluji, in referring to the *aide-memoire* of His Majesty Ibn Saud in regard to the railway as a whole, it was realized that no useful purpose would be served by continuing the discussion that morning, and I therefore suggested that in view of the situation which had arisen it would be better for Dr. Damluji, M. Hopenot and me to arrange to see the Acting General Manager at the Government at Jerusalem and place the facts before him. M. Hopenot was not disposed to proceed to Jerusalem. I telephoned the Acting Chief Secretary, and it was arranged for Dr. Damluji and me to have an interview with Mr. Luke and the Acting Chief Secretary on the morning of the 7th August. It was clearly shown at this meeting that Dr. Damluji had come on a mission which was entirely contrary to the specific purpose for which the conference had been convened, and I was instructed by Mr. Luke that there was no alternative but to adjourn the conference *sine die*. The conference reassembled at Haifa on the morning of the 8th August and I acquainted the delegates accordingly. M. Hopenot wishes to make it clear that although the conference was convened entirely upon the Hejaz Railway, the discussions throughout were conducted in a very cordial spirit, and Dr. Damluji and M. Hopenot expressed their appreciation of the welcome and hospitality extended to them by this Administration.

G. M. CAMPIGLI

CHAPTER V.—GENERAL.

E 4365 3269/65]

No. 88.

Sir G. Clerk to the Earl of Balfour.—(Received September 3.)

(No. 402.)

My Lord,

Constantinople, August 29, 1926

THE Iraq Charge d'Affaires will be the first to meet the Turkish Foreign Minister upon the latter's recent return from abroad, and that Tewfik Rushdi Bey informed him that he had seen His Highness Taimourtache. Tewfik Rushdi Bey had asked the Persian Minister of Court to tell him what the trouble was between Persia and Iraq, as he intended to offer the mediation of Turkey. Taimourtache had replied that there were various differences, but that they were not insoluble, and in repeating this the Turkish Minister assured Sabih Bey that all would be settled in six months.

2 Tewfik Rushdi Bey then went on to tell Sabih Bey that he was going to Persia before long, and, in reply to a hope expressed by the Iraq Chargé d'Affaires, he promised to see whether he could not visit Bagdad on his way. He added that he still attached great importance to concluding an agreement with Iraq, which, in conjunction with those existing already with Persia and Afghanistan, would create a sort of quadruple entente.

3 His Excellency then turned to the Turco-Syrian frontier, and said that Turkey meant to have the extreme north-east tip of the territory now claimed by Syria—the "bec du canard"—and presumed that Iraq would have no objection. Sabih Bey, however, replied that Iraq would not object to Turkey having the tip, but that she would like their common frontier to extend to the Mediterranean.

4 Tewfik Rushdi Bey spoke of foreign and local privileges in Iraq, and in his airy way assured Sabih Bey that the Iraq Government need not bother about that question. The Iraq Government had only to appoint a few more British judges and Turkey would be perfectly satisfied with the equality of treatment which would then be accorded her.

5 I am sending a copy of this despatch to His Majesty's High Commissioner at Bagdad.

I have &c

GEORGE R. CLERK

E 4410 917/89]

No. 89.

Lord Curzon to Sir W. Tyrrell (Paris).

(No. 2040)

Sir,

Paris, September 1, 1928

WITH reference to Sir Austen Chamberlain's despatch No. 489 of the 1st March 1928, and previous correspondence relative to the proposed revision of the Syria-Iraq frontier, I have to inform your Excellency that His Majesty's Government have now reconsidered the question in view of the note of the 9th December from the French Ministry for Foreign Affairs, and Sir H. Dobbs's comments thereon, and I shall be glad if you will address them on the subject on the following lines.

2. The French Government point out in the first place that the convention of the 23rd December, 1920, was signed eight months after the conclusion of a provisional local agreement, known as the Leachman Agreement, in which the frontier line was drawn considerably to the west of that laid down in the convention; and they argue that as the Leachman line was subsequently abandoned by His Majesty's Government when they signed the convention, it cannot be contended that the more easterly line of the convention was fixed in ignorance of local conditions.

3. This argument appears to be based on a double misconception. In the first place, it is clear from the records in the possession of His Majesty's Government that that general trace of the line between the Tigris and the Euphrates, as embodied in the convention, did not imply any intention of detaching districts at the extreme west of the

convention was negotiated, but was simply that agreed upon at San Remo in April 1920, which in turn was based on a line agreed upon between the late Marquess Curzon and M. Berthelot some months previously.

4. Apart from this, however, the text of the Leachman Agreement cannot be invoked in support of the thesis maintained in the French note. It ran as follows:—

"In the village of Al 'Ashsharah.

"Provisional agreement concluded between Kaimekam 'Ali Jaudat Bey and the Assistant Military Governor at Dair-al-Zor, Muzhar Bey, the accredited representative of the Military Governor, Dair-al-Zor, of the one part, and Political Officer Lieutenant-Colonel Leachman, of the other part.

"In accordance with orders received by him from the commander-in-chief at Bagdad, the colonel requested that the provisional boundaries between the west [sic] Arab Government and the British Government may be: between Albu Kamal and Al Qaim, between Al Hara village and Huziba village on the right bank of the Euphrates, and between Al Baghuz and Al Habi on the left bank; and that the British army shall retire behind this provisional boundary and hand Albu Kamal over to the Arab Government.

"At the above request, 'Ali Jaudat and Muzhar Bey, the accredited representatives of the Military Governor Dair-al-Zor, agreed to this provisional boundary.

"MUZHAR ARISLAN

"Assistant Accredited Representative of the Military Governor.

"COLONEL LEACHMAN

Political Officer.

"'Ali, Kaimekam.

"May 5, 1920.

"The first party, 'Ali Jaudat Bey and Muzhar Bey, reserve their rights in respect of the original (or fundamental) boundary of Dair-al-Zor, which is Wadi Hauran, between 'Anah and Hit, and they consider this boundary a provisional one.

"MUZHAR ARISLAN, Assistant.

"'Ali, Kaimekam."

5. It will be seen from the text that the agreement was dealt with as a frontier between Albu Kamal and Al Qaim on the Euphrates, and contained no reference whatever to the north-easterly portion of the frontier in the region of the Khazir, the Jebel Sinjar. Apart, therefore, from the fact that the line eventually laid down in the convention of the 23rd December, 1920, was agreed upon before the Leachman Agreement of May 1920 was negotiated, the concession of a "Leachman line" in the Khazir region, having been abandoned, the line should not be extended.

6. The French Government, basing themselves on the assumption (which, for the reasons indicated above, His Majesty's Government cannot accept) that a definite territorial concession was made to them in the region of the Jebel Sinjar between May and December 1920, proceed to contend that this concession was intended to form part of the compensation offered to France in return for her abandonment of her claim, under the Sykes-Picot Agreement of 1916, to the Vilayet of Mosul.

7. The records in the possession of His Majesty's Government show, however, that this claim was abandoned in return for concessions of a purely economic character—i.e., the grant to France of a 25 per cent. interest in the Turkish Petroleum Company, which was agreed upon at San Remo in April 1920. Economic compensation of this nature was, in fact, all that M. Berthelot asked for in the note communicated by him to Lord Curzon on the 12th December, 1919, in which he stated that the French Government were ready to abandon their claim to Mosul in return for "strict equality in the exploitation of the oil in Mesopotamia and Kurdistan," and no question of further compensation to France in this respect arose in connexion with the negotiations which led to the conclusion of the 1920 convention.

8. In reply to the British argument that a strict maintenance of the 1920 convention line would create difficulties by dividing the homogeneous Yezidi population of the Jebel Sinjar between two administrative areas, the French Government contend that the Yezidis are divided into autonomous tribes united by the loosest of bonds and possessing no common political organisation. This view is not, however, borne out by the report

[19083]

of the League of Nations commission on the Turco-Iraqi frontier question (League Paper C 400, 1925, VII), on p. 49 of which the following passage occurs:—

"Puis, c'est en tout cas un élément de la population nettement distinct des autres, très uni comme organisation, et . . . , dans le désert de l'ouest, il forme presque la seule population sédentaire en masse compacte."

The Yezidis do in fact owe allegiance not only to one common religious head, who resides, not in the Sinjar, but in a village north of Mosul, but also to one paramount sheikh, who is recognised as such by the Iraqi Government. It is true that dissensions exist among them and that the authority of the paramount sheikh would be difficult to sustain were it not supported by official recognition; but such dissensions would obviously be accentuated by the existence of an artificial frontier such as would be created by rigid adherence to the letter of the 1920 convention. There would be continual attempts to play off one Government against another, and malcontents from the territory of one State would inevitably seek refuge in the territory of the other. Difficulties would be experienced similar to those which have arisen between the Syrian and Iraqi sections of the Shammar tribe, and they would indeed be intensified in the case of a compact body of people like the Sinjar Yezidis. Never yet in the course of their history have the Sinjar Yezidis been divided between two administrations. It is true, as the French note of the 9th December observes, that this argument, taken by itself, can be invoked in favour of attaching the whole of the Jebel Sinjar to Syria instead of to Iraq; but the Jebel is connected with Iraq by a chain of villages and separated from Syria by miles of desert. Its associations, commercial, religious, social and historical, are with Mosul and not with Dair-az-Zor. It is true that in 1870 the Jebel, which had previously always been administered from Mosul, was attached to the Dair-az-Zor mutessarilik, but the experiment was a failure and was abandoned in 1872, when the Sinjar was restored to the Mosul Vilayet.

2. For the foregoing reasons His Majesty's Government are still of opinion that it is most desirable, in the best interests both of Syria and of Iraq, and for the sake of the future relations between those territories, that certain modifications, not necessarily in favour of Iraq alone, should now be made in the line indicated in the 1920 convention. The necessity of such modification exists, indeed, in other portions of the line besides that in the regions of the Khabur and Jebel Sinjar.

10. Apart from the well-known desire of the French authorities in Syria for a modification of the line on the Euphrates in the neighbourhood of the town of Abu Kemal, a difficult situation has recently arisen in Transjordan, where the French authorities occupy a considerable area to the south of the 1920 convention line between Nasib and Irtan. The British authorities of Transjordan did not raise any objection to the occupation of this area, since they had no wish to embarrass the French authorities in their military action for the suppression of the Druze revolt. The French occupation has, however, recently been extended further south to such places as Semme and Umm-el-Jamal, and this movement has created in Transjordan considerable excitement which the British authorities find it increasingly difficult to allay. His Majesty's Government recognise that a frontier fixed in strict conformity with the 1920 convention in this neighbourhood might be a source of much embarrassment to the French Administration; and they are fully prepared to consider in the most friendly spirit, in conjunction with the general question of amending other portions of the line where local experience has shown such modifications to be desirable, any proposals which the French Government may wish to make with a view to the final delimitation of the Syria-Transjordan sector.

11. The French Government will no doubt agree with His Majesty's Government that a permanent settlement of the whole frontier is eminently desirable in the interest of all three territories concerned; and it seems evident that, in view of the circumstances described above, a discussion between the two Governments regarding the nature of the modifications to be made in the various sectors must take place before the final frontier-line can be delimited on the ground. His Majesty's Government therefore feel confident that the French Government will be willing to reconsider their view that such a discussion is superfluous, and to appoint suitable delegates for the purpose.

(Confidential.)

12. It seems possible that the reluctance of the French Government to agree to such a discussion has been due to their being unaware of the fact that His Majesty's Government are prepared to urge the Iraqi Government to offer to the

French authorities in Syria, as partial compensation for a modification of the line in favour of Iraq at the western end of the Jebel Sinjar, certain concessions in other portions of the Syria-Iraq frontier. It is not yet possible to make any definite statement of this point, which must be subject to the consent of the Iraqi Government. You are, however, authorised, if you consider it desirable, to intimate to the French Government that His Majesty's Government would have no objection, for instance, to such modifications at the north-eastern end of the line as would afford the French authorities a better access than they possess under the 1920 convention to the Tigris and to the region known as the "Duck's Beak," adding that His Majesty's Government fully appreciate how important it is for the French authorities to possess satisfactory means of communication with that region.

13. A copy of the note which I am addressing to the French Ambassador in reply to his Excellency's note of the 9th May (a copy of which was enclosed in my despatch No. 1143 of the 19th May) regarding the delimitation of the Syria-Transjordan frontier, is enclosed herein for your information.

I am, &c.
CUSHENDUN.

Enclosure in No. 89.

Lord Cushendun to M. de Fleuriau.

Your Excellency, Foreign Office, September 13, 1928.
I HAVE the honour to inform your Excellency that the question of delimiting the boundary between Syria and Transjordan, which was dealt with in your note of the 9th May, has engaged the attention of His Majesty's Government.

2. His Majesty's Government would have preferred, for reasons of local convenience, to see some provisional agreement made between His Majesty's High Commissioner for Transjordan and the French High Commissioner for Syria as to a *de facto* line separating the two administrations. They recognise, however, the force of M. Ponsot's objections to the conclusion of such an agreement, and are now prepared in certain circumstances to consider the permanent delimitation of the frontier.

3. Meanwhile, His Majesty's Government have for some time been in correspondence with the French Government, through His Majesty's Ambassador in Paris, regarding certain modifications which are in their opinion necessary, in the best interests of the two countries concerned, in the frontier between Syria and Iraq as laid down by the convention of the 23rd December, 1920. They are now instructing His Majesty's Embassy in Paris to suggest to the French Government a discussion, between delegates to be nominated for the purpose, of the modifications which require to be made in the 1920 convention line before a delimitation commission can be set to work; and also to propose that the frontier between Syria and Transjordan (and more especially the sector between Nasib and Irtan, which has formed the subject of the present correspondence with you), shall be discussed at the same time.

I have, &c.
CUSHENDUN.

[E 5619/230/89]

No. 90.

Sir G. Clerk to Lord Cushendun.—(Received November 26.)

(No. 497.)

My Lord,

Constantinople, November 20, 1928.

THE French Ambassador, who broke his sojourn in Angora in order to attend the French armistice celebrations here, was good enough to call upon me on the eve of his return to the capital, to give me a confidential account of the present stage of his negotiations regarding the Turco-Syrian frontier.

2. M. de Chambrun said that his instructions from Paris were explicit, not to budge an inch from the frontier line laid down by the commission under General Ernst. Nevertheless, if he found in the course of his discussions with Tewfik Rushdi Bey that an agreement could be reached by some slight concession on the

[19083]

r 2

part of France, he intended to urge his Government to yield to that extent. M. de Chambrun appears to have discovered near Nisabın some Kurdish villages which he is ready to cede to the Turks, a sacrifice which may prove less welcome than he anticipates to both Turk and Kurd.

3. M. de Chambrun had no exaggerated hopes of an immediately successful issue to his negotiations, and was prepared for the moment when it would be necessary to admit that agreement was not at present possible and to suggest that the question should be left in abeyance for a few months. His only fear was that during this interval the Turks might work up a serious incident, but, as I said to him, I think that they are too intelligent to create a situation which would render it impossible for the French Government to make any concessions at all.

4. M. de Chambrun said that he had told me everything that was in his mind, for one reason, because he meant to be always completely open with me, and for another, because he would welcome any views of mine as to the wisdom of the line he was adopting.

5. I said that I fully reciprocated his desire for complete frankness between us, and would answer him in that spirit. Naturally, I looked upon his discussions with the Turkish Government from a more general point of view than he could perhaps do. So far as I could gauge the present trend of Turkish policy, one of its objects seemed to be the formation of what I might call a Central Eastern bloc, consisting of Turkey, Persia, Afghanistan, Iraq and Syria, with the direction thereof firmly settled at Angora. I did not even shut out the possibility of there being a vague idea of the eventual inclusion of the Georgians. So long as the British and French mandates over Iraq and Syria existed, Turkey had not a free hand; but those mandates would not last for ever, and she was probably working for the day when they expired. There was not necessarily any aggressive intention underlying this Turkish conception, which might almost be called a natural effort on the part of Turkey to consolidate her position, nor, indeed, need it be altogether disadvantageous to either of our two countries, should our relations with Turkey remain friendly and cordial. Most of the fuss the Turks were making over the Syrian frontier seemed therefore to me designed with an eye to the eventual exercise of Turkish influence over a nominally independent Syria.

6. To come to the concrete question of the actual point at issue between M. de Chambrun and Tewfik Rushdi Bey—the Nisabın-Jezireh frontier line—there seemed to me two reasons for the curiously intense anxiety of the Turks to obtain a few more square kilom. of what I gathered was entirely stones and desert. It was very probable that the Turks would prefer a line running from Nisabın to Khamik at the junction of the Tigris and the Khabur River, rather than to Jezireh, and they might hope by working up sufficient agitation to obtain such a concession from France. Alternatively, as M. de Chambrun had doubtless himself already noticed, hints were frequently appearing in the press to the effect that the Turco-Syrian line on the west was inequitable. (I enclose a copy of a rather violent article* by Yunus Nadi Bey in the "République" of the 20th November, of this nature, and I would also refer to the second paragraph of Mr. Knox's despatch No. 150 of the 7th March last.) It might be that Tewfik Rushdi Bey was manoeuvring for a position where he could suggest that, as the Nisabın-Jezireh frontier was unalterable, some compensation for the Turks could perhaps be found, in the interests of general good relations, to the west.

7. In any case, so far as my humble opinion went, his Excellency was well advised in his intention to keep the present discussion strictly confined to its original limits, while prepared to offer at the suitable moment, if his Government allowed him to do so, the slight concession which he had mentioned to me. I had no doubt that his Excellency would not let himself be too much perturbed by the attacks on France in the press, the purpose of which was obvious.

I have, &c.

GEORGE R. CLERK.

[E 5866/230/89]

No. 91.

Mr. Edmonds to Sir Austen Chamberlain.—(Received December 10.)

(No. 524. Confidential.)

Sir,

Angora, December 4, 1925.

SHORTLY before my arrival at Angora, the Iraq Minister called at the Embassy and acquainted Mr. Roberts, in confidence, of a conversation which he had recently had with the Minister for Foreign Affairs, whom he had had occasion to visit to discuss the direct interchange of health reports between the Turkish and Iraq authorities.

2. Dr. Tefik Rushdi had spoken to Sabih Bey of his recent conversations with the French Ambassador over the delimitation of the Turco-Syrian frontier between Nisabın and the Tigris. Sabih Bey has formed the impression that neither Turkey nor France is disposed to yield, and that a settlement is not, therefore, to be anticipated in the near future. The Minister for Foreign Affairs hinted that, as already indicated in paragraph 2 of Mr. Knox's despatch No. 150 of the 7th March, the Turkish Government would be more ready to make concessions if they were dealing with an independent Government in Syria, similar to that established in Iraq.

3. Dr. Tefik Rushdi asked Sabih Bey whether the Syrian-Iraq frontier was fixed, to which the latter replied in the affirmative. The Minister for Foreign Affairs then said that the French Ambassador had told him that an agreement had been concluded between France and Great Britain whereby Iraq would cede to Syria a strip of territory opposite a point on the "duck's beak," at which Syrian territory would be constricted into a dangerously narrow neck if the French conceded the Turkish claims.

4. Sabih Bey told the Minister for Foreign Affairs that he had no knowledge of any agreement between France and Great Britain, such as his Excellency suspected, and at the latter's request he undertook to address an enquiry to his Government, which he has done. Mr. Roberts, who had not seen Lord Cushendun's despatch No. 2049 of the 12th September to Sir W. Tyrrell, which appeared in the Confidential print (Eastern) as section 1 of that date, replied that he also was unaware of any such negotiations, and he was therefore inclined to agree with Sabih Bey's view that the French Ambassador had probably made no such statement as that attributed to him, and that this was a *ballon d'essai* on Dr. Tefik Rushdi's part.

5. I gather from the despatch quoted above that the primary subject of the conversations with the French Government is a modification in favour of Iraq of the existing Syro-Iraq frontier at the western end of Jebel Sinjar, against which His Majesty's Government might be prepared, subject to the consent of the Iraq Government, to make certain concessions in favour of Syria on other points of the frontier, as, for instance, in the "duck's beak" area. I should be grateful if I might be furnished with a copy of any subsequent correspondence which may have been exchanged on this subject, and if I might be informed, for my guidance in speaking to Sabih Bey when he reverts to the subject, how far, if at all, the Iraq Government have been taken into the confidence of His Majesty's Government.

6. Yesterday Sabih Bey sent to the Embassy his secretary, Fuad Bey, with information as to what he believed to be the Turkish Government's final proposals. He also sent a sketch map, which shows exactly what is involved, and of which I have the honour to enclose a copy.* The map is said to be based upon a decision just taken by the Turkish General Staff, the shaded areas being those from which Turkey would, in the last resort, be prepared to withdraw; in no circumstances would she yield a single foot more. The westernmost of these two areas contains a military post, and Turkish withdrawal from this area would apparently be dependent upon acceptance of certain military conditions, by which apparently is meant an undertaking not to fortify this post, or, at any rate, to limit its garrison. The base of the triangle formed by the easternmost area is said to be about 12-15 miles long. Too little is known of the progress of the Franco-Turkish negotiations for it to be possible to assess the value of the information received by Sabih Bey.

I have, &c.

W. S. EDMONDS

[E 6057/58/91]

No. 92.

Consul-General Satow to Sir Austen Chamberlain.—(Received December 22.)

(No. 88.)

Sir,

Beirut, December 8, 1928.

I HAVE the honour to transmit to you herewith a return of Moslem pilgrims passing through this port in 1928.

2. The publication from which this is extracted states that the number leaving in 1928 (3,819) showed a decrease of 579 as compared with 1927 (4,398), and attributes the decrease to the refusal of the Persian Government to allow its pilgrims to pass through Iraq. It will be noticed that the number of returning Persians was more than ten times that of those leaving. The sanitary condition of the returning pilgrims was good, and no case of contagious disease was detected on any steamer arriving here.

3. It will be noticed that the percentage of pilgrims using the land route was, for departure and return combined, just under 25 per cent.

Copies of this despatch have been sent to Bagdad and Jerusalem.

I have, &c.

H. E. SATOW.

Enclosure in No. 92.

Return of Moslem Pilgrims passing through Beirut in 1928.

Race.	Departure.		Return.	
	By Land.	By Sea.	By Land.	By Sea.
Iraqis	540	1,053	326	936
Persians	91	168	1,323	1,849
Syrians	61	1,191	43	1,244
Turks	32	299	21	153
Afghans	37	157	7	106
Hedjazis	2	29	..	9
Moroccans	19	..	125
Senegalese	33	..	56
Algerians	34	1	34
Tunisians	32	..	5
Indians	11	15	7
Kurds	60
Various	1	12	1	19
Totals	761	3,658	1,643	4,590
	5,419		6,232	

[E 6078/230/89]

No. 93.

Mr. Edmonds to Sir Austen Chamberlain.—(Received December 24.)

(No. 541.)

Sir,

Angora, December 18, 1928.

IN continuation of my despatch No. 524 of the 4th instant regarding the Franco-Turkish dispute over the Syrian frontier, I have the honour to report that these negotiations have come to a momentary standstill owing to the illness of the French Ambassador. Meantime the Turks have been employing their usual tactics against the French. A good instance of administrative pin-pricks is the Turks' refusal to allow the French railway company to run traffic on the newly-opened section between Deir Bessieh and Nisibin (see despatch No. 103 of the 21st ultimo from His Majesty's consul at Aleppo). The press loses few chances of making the French feel that they are unpopular. It contrasts relations on the Iraq frontier with those on the Syrian frontier. It complains of constant raids from Syria and of the harbouring of Kurdish agitators by the French authorities. It contrasts British

colonial policy with French policy in Syria. It contrasts intellectual France with political France, declaring that it will always welcome French technicians and men of learning, but will have no more priests and nuns. It complains of the treatment accorded to Turkish property in Syria. As a foil to all this the press holds up Turco-Italian relations. Signor Mussolini and all Italian institutions receive a surprising amount of praise. The Turco-Italian pact, it declares, is founded on a real community of interests, and therefore grows stronger daily. It is making a great fuss over Signor Grandi's visit to Angora.

2. To enquirers, the Minister for Foreign Affairs explains that Turkey wants only two things: Order on the frontier, and the adoption of the "old road" as the boundary on the Nisibin-Jezireh sector of the frontier. As regards the latter, he asserts that the wording of the Turco-Syrian Convention of the 30th May, 1926 (*cf.* "abornement" in article 2), does not justify the neutral president of the Boundary Commission in making an award, but that the dispute must be settled by diplomatic means. The stubbornness of the Turkish Government is generally explained here as inspired by the General Staff, which, it is true, often carries extraordinary weight in the councils of the Government. The staff is said to insist on a territorial settlement in the "duck's beak," which would, firstly, leave to Turkey some twenty villages belonging to the Kurdish chief Hajjo, the head of the Haverki tribes, while impeding communication between Syria and Kurdish districts north-east of Jezireh, and would, secondly, give Turkey easier access to the flat country south of Faishkhabur, on the Iraq side of the Tigris. These strategic objects hardly seem to warrant the use of the heavy guns the Turks are employing. A satisfactory arrangement as regards the Kurds could evidently be more easily obtained as part of a general settlement, and there is no question of the better access to Iraq being of more than remote potential value.

3. The attitude of the Turkish Government continues to lend colour to the suggestion made by His Majesty's Ambassador in his despatch No. 497 of the 20th ultimo that the real objective of Turkey may be something more extensive, such as territorial concessions in the neighbourhood of Aleppo and Alexandretta, or even a change of régime in Syria. The importance attached by the Turkish Government to its railway system is well known, and the advantage of an all-Turkish line from Adana to Nisibin is obvious. Alternatively, it is just possible that the Turkish Government would not be altogether sorry to keep the Syrian question open, hoping to see disorder in that country increase—and perhaps to encourage it in other ways—until France should make up her mind to abandon the mandate. According to this view, the Turkish Government does not trust the French Government to observe the same friendly attitude in Syria as it believes His Majesty's Government to be obliged by self-interest to maintain in Iraq. Even if France were not immediately succeeded in Syria by some tractable republic, the reversion to Italy of the mandate over such a hornets' nest as Syria would absorb the expansionist energies of Italy for many years to come. Such a policy, if indeed it were contemplated, would be a gamble, but a gamble is not repugnant to the Turk.

4. I am sending a copy of this despatch to the British High Commissioner at Bagdad.

I have, &c.

W. S. EDMONDS.

[E 6131/155/65]

No. 94.

Consul Monck-Mason to Sir Austen Chamberlain.—(Received December 28.)

(No. 110.)

Sir,

Aleppo, December 13, 1928.

WITH reference to my despatch No. 87, Confidential, of the 23rd October, 1928, relative to the prohibition of the entry of foreigners into the Turkish provinces east of the Euphrates River, I have the honour to report that the Syrian railway staff on the part of the line running inside Turkish territory has been replaced by Turkish nationals, diplomatic negotiations having led to no result.

2. The technical defects complained of by the Turkish Commissariat of Public Works, mentioned in my despatch No. 68 of the 22nd August, have been remedied, and the Turkish Government will be notified accordingly. By agreement with the International Wagons-Lits Company, it is hoped to extend sleeping-car facilities as far as Nisibin as soon as the line is allowed to be opened to passenger traffic.

3. I learn that Mr. Hain Nathaniel's proposal to guarantee freight for the railway in exchange for a special rate has interested Paris, and an agreement is likely to be reached, after some modifications of the original plan have been arranged.

4. It is proposed shortly to make trial consignments of carpets from Tabriz by the overland route over Khanikin, Mosul and Alexandretta, by rail where possible, to Europe and the United States, in view of the economy in time and cost, in comparison with the present route adopted via Basra.

5. I am sending copies of this despatch to the Department of Overseas Trade, His Majesty's Embassy, Constantinople, His Majesty's High Commissioner, Bagdad, and to His Majesty's consular officers at Beirut, Damascus, Tabriz and Mersina.

I have, &c.

A. MONCK-MASON